# Deep Learning Tutorial ICML, Atlanta, 2013-06-16

Yann LeCun Center for Data Science & Courant Institute, NYU yann@cs.nyu.edu http://yann.lecun.com



Marc'Aurelio Ranzato Google ranzato@google.com http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~ranzato



## Deep Learning = Learning Representations/Features

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### The traditional model of pattern recognition (since the late 50's)

Fixed/engineered features (or fixed kernel) + trainable classifier



## End-to-end learning / Feature learning / Deep learning



## This Basic Model has not evolved much since the 50's

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



- Built at Cornell in 1960
- The Perceptron was a linear classifier on top of a simple feature extractor
- The vast majority of practical applications of ML today use glorified linear classifiers or glorified template matching.
- Designing a feature extractor requires considerable efforts by experts.







## Deep Learning = Learning Hierarchical Representations Y LeCun

MA Ranzato

#### It's deep if it has more than one stage of non-linear feature



Feature visualization of convolutional net trained on ImageNet from [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]

## Trainable Feature Hierarchy

Hierarchy of representations with increasing level of abstraction

- Each stage is a kind of trainable feature transform
- Image recognition

▶ Pixel  $\rightarrow$  edge  $\rightarrow$  texton  $\rightarrow$  motif  $\rightarrow$  part  $\rightarrow$  object

#### Text

 $\triangleright$  Character  $\rightarrow$  word  $\rightarrow$  word group  $\rightarrow$  clause  $\rightarrow$  sentence  $\rightarrow$  story

#### **Speech**



Sample → spectral band → sound → ... → phone → phoneme →



Deep Learning addresses the problem of learning hierarchical representations with a single algorithm

#### The Mammalian Visual Cortex is Hierarchical

The ventral (recognition) pathway in the visual cortex has multiple stages
Retina - LGN - V1 - V2 - V4 - PIT - AIT ....

#### Lots of intermediate representations



## Let's be inspired by nature, but not too much

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### It's nice imitate Nature,

#### But we also need to understand

- How do we know which details are important?
- Which details are merely the result of evolution, and the constraints of biochemistry?
- For airplanes, we developed aerodynamics and compressible fluid dynamics.
  - We figured that feathers and wing flapping weren't crucial
- QUESTION: What is the equivalent of aerodynamics for understanding intelligence?



L'Avion III de Clément Ader, 1897 (Musée du CNAM, Paris) His Eole took off from the ground in 1890, 13 years before the Wright Brothers, but you probably never heard of it.

#### A hierarchy of trainable feature transforms

- Each module transforms its input representation into a higher-level one.
- High-level features are more global and more invariant
- Low-level features are shared among categories



Learned Internal Representations

How can we make all the modules trainable and get them to learn appropriate representations?

#### Feed-Forward: multilayer neural nets, convolutional nets



Feed-Back: Stacked Sparse Coding, Deconvolutional Nets



Bi-Drectional: Deep Boltzmann Machines, Stacked Auto-Encoders



## Three Types of Training Protocols

#### Purely Supervised

- Initialize parameters randomly
- Train in supervised mode
  - typically with SGD, using backprop to compute gradients
- Used in most practical systems for speech and image recognition
- Unsupervised, layerwise + supervised classifier on top
  - Train each layer unsupervised, one after the other
  - Train a supervised classifier on top, keeping the other layers fixed
  - Good when very few labeled samples are available

Unsupervised, layerwise + global supervised fine-tuning

- Train each layer unsupervised, one after the other
- Add a classifier layer, and retrain the whole thing supervised
- Good when label set is poor (e.g. pedestrian detection)

Unsupervised pre-training often uses regularized auto-encoders

#### Do we really need deep architectures?

Theoretician's dilemma: "We can approximate any function as close as we want with shallow architecture. Why would we need deep ones?"

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{P} \alpha_i K(X, X^i) \qquad y = F(W^1.F(W^0.X))$$

kernel machines (and 2-layer neural nets) are "universal".

Deep learning machines

-

$$y = F(W^{K}.F(W^{K-1}.F(....F(W^{0}.X)...)))$$

Deep machines are more efficient for representing certain classes of functions, particularly those involved in visual recognition
 They can represent more complex functions with less "hardware"

We need an efficient parameterization of the class of functions that are useful for "AI" tasks (vision, audition, NLP...)

## Why would deep architectures be more efficient? [Bengio & LeCun 2007 "Scaling Learning Algorithms Towards AI"]

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### A deep architecture trades space for time (or breadth for depth)

- more layers (more sequential computation),
- but less hardware (less parallel computation).

#### Example1: N-bit parity

- requires N-1 XOR gates in a tree of depth log(N).
- Even easier if we use threshold gates
- requires an exponential number of gates of we restrict ourselves to 2 layers (DNF formula with exponential number of minterms).

#### Example2: circuit for addition of 2 N-bit binary numbers

- Requires O(N) gates, and O(N) layers using N one-bit adders with ripple carry propagation.
- Requires lots of gates (some polynomial in N) if we restrict ourselves to two layers (e.g. Disjunctive Normal Form).
- Bad news: almost all boolean functions have a DNF formula with an exponential number of minterms O(2^N).....

## Which Models are Deep?

- 2-layer models are not deep (even if you train the first layer)
  - Because there is no feature hierarchy
- Neural nets with 1 hidden layer are not deep
- SVMs and Kernel methods are not deep
  - Layer1: kernels; layer2: linear
  - The first layer is "trained" in with the simplest unsupervised method ever devised: using the samples as templates for the kernel functions.
- Classification trees are not deep
  - No hierarchy of features. All decisions are made in the input space



Y LeCun

## Are Graphical Models Deep?

There is no opposition between graphical models and deep learning.

- Many deep learning models are formulated as factor graphs
- Some graphical models use deep architectures inside their factors

Graphical models can be deep (but most are not).

#### Factor Graph: sum of energy functions

Over inputs X, outputs Y and latent variables Z. Trainable parameters: W



Each energy function can contain a deep network

The whole factor graph can be seen as a deep network

#### Deep Learning involves non-convex loss functions

- With non-convex losses, all bets are off
- Then again, every speech recognition system ever deployed has used non-convex optimization (GMMs are non convex).

#### But to some of us all "interesting" learning is non convex

- Convex learning is invariant to the order in which sample are presented (only depends on asymptotic sample frequencies).
- Human learning isn't like that: we learn simple concepts before complex ones. The order in which we learn things matter.

#### No generalization bounds?

- Actually, the usual VC bounds apply: most deep learning systems have a finite VC dimension
- We don't have tighter bounds than that.
- But then again, how many bounds are tight enough to be useful for model selection?

#### It's hard to prove anything about deep learning systems

Then again, if we only study models for which we can prove things, we wouldn't have speech, handwriting, and visual object recognition systems today.

## Deep Learning: A Theoretician's Paradise?

#### Deep Learning is about representing high-dimensional data

- There has to be interesting theoretical questions there
- What is the geometry of natural signals?
- Is there an equivalent of statistical learning theory for unsupervised learning?
- What are good criteria on which to base unsupervised learning?

#### Deep Learning Systems are a form of latent variable factor graph

- Internal representations can be viewed as latent variables to be inferred, and deep belief networks are a particular type of latent variable models.
- The most interesting deep belief nets have intractable loss functions: how do we get around that problem?

Lots of theory at the 2012 IPAM summer school on deep learning

Wright's parallel SGD methods, Mallat's "scattering transform", Osher's "split Bregman" methods for sparse modeling, Morton's "algebraic geometry of DBN",....

## Deep Learning and Feature Learning Today

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Deep Learning has been the hottest topic in speech recognition in the last 2 years

- A few long-standing performance records were broken with deep learning methods
- Microsoft and Google have both deployed DL-based speech recognition system in their products
- Microsoft, Google, IBM, Nuance, AT&T, and all the major academic and industrial players in speech recognition have projects on deep learning

#### Deep Learning is the hottest topic in Computer Vision

- Feature engineering is the bread-and-butter of a large portion of the CV community, which creates some resistance to feature learning
- But the record holders on ImageNet and Semantic Segmentation are convolutional nets

#### Deep Learning is becoming hot in Natural Language Processing

#### Deep Learning/Feature Learning in Applied Mathematics

The connection with Applied Math is through sparse coding, non-convex optimization, stochastic gradient algorithms, etc...

## In Many Fields, Feature Learning Has Caused a Revolution (methods used in commercially deployed systems)

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Speech Recognition I (late 1980s)

Trained mid-level features with Gaussian mixtures (2-layer classifier)

#### Handwriting Recognition and OCR (late 1980s to mid 1990s)

Supervised convolutional nets operating on pixels

- Face & People Detection (early 1990s to mid 2000s)
  - Supervised convolutional nets operating on pixels (YLC 1994, 2004, Garcia 2004)
  - Haar features generation/selection (Viola-Jones 2001)
- Object Recognition I (mid-to-late 2000s: Ponce, Schmid, Yu, YLC....)
  - Trainable mid-level features (K-means or sparse coding)

Low-Res Object Recognition: road signs, house numbers (early 2010's)

- Supervised convolutional net operating on pixels
- Speech Recognition II (circa 2011)
  - Deep neural nets for acoustic modeling

Object Recognition III, Semantic Labeling (2012, Hinton, YLC,...)

Supervised convolutional nets operating on pixels

SHALLOW

DEEP Y LeCun MA Ranzato



SHALLOW DEEP Y LeCun MA Ranzato Boosting **Neural Net RNN** AE **D-AE** Perceptron **Conv.** Net **DBN SVM RBM DBM** Sparse **GMM** Coding **BayesNP** ΣΠ

Probabilistic Models

## DecisionTree

SHALLOW

Y LeCun

MA Ranzato



SHALLOW

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



What Are Good Feature?

## Discovering the Hidden Structure in High-Dimensional Data The manifold hypothesis

- Learning Representations of Data:
  - Discovering & disentangling the independent explanatory factors
- The Manifold Hypothesis:
  - Natural data lives in a low-dimensional (non-linear) manifold
  - Because variables in natural data





#### Example: all face images of a person

- 1000x1000 pixels = 1,000,000 dimensions
- But the face has 3 cartesian coordinates and 3 Euler angles
- And humans have less than about 50 muscles in the face
- Hence the manifold of face images for a person has <56 dimensions</p>
- The perfect representations of a face image:
  - Its coordinates on the face manifold
  - Its coordinates away from the manifold

We do not have good and general methods to learn functions that turns an image into this kind of representation



## **Disentangling factors of variation**

#### The Ideal Disentangling Feature Extractor



## Data Manifold & Invariance: Some variations must be eliminated

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Azimuth-Elevation manifold. Ignores lighting.

[Hadsell et al. CVPR 2006]



## **Basic Idea fpr Invariant Feature Learning**

#### Embed the input non-linearly into a high(er) dimensional space

In the new space, things that were non separable may become separable

#### Pool regions of the new space together

Bringing together things that are semantically similar. Like pooling.



## Non-Linear Expansion → Pooling

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Entangled data manifolds



## Sparse Non-Linear Expansion → Pooling

#### Use clustering to break things apart, pool together similar things



# Overall Architecture: Y LeCun Normalization → Filter Bank → Non-Linearity → Pooling Y LeCun MA Ranzato



#### Stacking multiple stages of

▶ [Normalization  $\rightarrow$  Filter Bank  $\rightarrow$  Non-Linearity  $\rightarrow$  Pooling].

#### Normalization: variations on whitening

- Subtractive: average removal, high pass filtering
- Divisive: local contrast normalization, variance normalization

Filter Bank: dimension expansion, projection on overcomplete basis

- Non-Linearity: sparsification, saturation, lateral inhibition....
  - Rectification (ReLU), Component-wise shrinkage, tanh, winner-takes-all

Pooling: aggregation over space or feature type  $X_i$ ;  $L_p$ :  $\sqrt[n]{X_i^p}$ ; PROB:  $\frac{1}{b}\log\left(\sum_i^{2}e^{iX_i}\right)$ 

# Deep Supervised Learning (modular approach)

## Multimodule Systems: Cascade



- Complex learning machines can be built by assembling modules into networks
- Simple example: sequential/layered feed-forward architecture (cascade)
- Forward Propagation:

let 
$$X = X_0$$
,

$$X_i = F_i(X_{i-1}, W_i) \quad \forall i \in [1, n]$$

$$E(Y, X, W) = C(X_n, Y)$$
#### **Multimodule Systems: Implementation**



#### Each module is an object

- Contains trainable parameters
- Inputs are arguments
- Output is returned, but also stored internally
- Example: 2 modules m1, m2

#### Torch7 (by hand)

- hid = m1:forward(in)
- out = m2:forward(hid)

#### Torch7 (using the nn.Sequential class)

- > model = nn.Sequential()
- > model:add(m1)
- > model:add(m2)
- >out = model:forward(in)

#### **Computing the Gradient in Multi-Layer Systems**

Energy Е C(Xn, Y) dE/dXn Fn(Xn-1, Wn) dE/dWn dE/dXn-1 Xn-1 dE/dXi Xi Fi(Xi-1, Wi) dE/dWi Xi-1 dE/dXi-1 X1 dE/dX1 F1(X0, W1) dE/dw1 X0 desired input X output Y

- To train a multi-module system, we must compute the gradient of E with respect to all the parameters in the system (all the  $W_i$ ).
- Let's consider module *i* whose fprop method computes  $X_i = F_i(X_{i-1}, W_i)$ .
- Let's assume that we already know  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_i}$ , in other words, for each component of vector  $X_i$  we know how much E would wiggle if we wiggled that component of  $X_i$ .

#### **Computing the Gradient in Multi-Layer Systems**



We can apply chain rule to compute  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial W_i}$ (how much E would wiggle if we wiggled each component of  $W_i$ ):

$\partial E$	=	$\partial E$	$\partial F_i(X_{i-1}, W_i)$
$\overline{\partial W_i}$		$\overline{\partial X_i}$	$\partial W_i$

$$1 \times N_w] = [1 \times N_x] \cdot [N_x \times N_w]$$

 $\frac{\partial F_i(X_{i-1}, W_i)}{\partial W_i}$  is the Jacobian matrix of  $F_i$  with respect to  $W_i$ .

$$\left[\frac{\partial F_i(X_{i-1}, W_i)}{\partial W_i}\right]_{kl} = \frac{\partial \left[F_i(X_{i-1}, W_i)\right]_k}{\partial [W_i]_l}$$

Element (k, l) of the Jacobian indicates how much the k-th output wiggles when we wiggle the l-th weight. Using the same trick, we can compute  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{i-1}}$ . Let's assume again that we already know  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_i}$ , in other words, for each component of vector  $X_i$  we know how much E would wiggle if we wiggled that component of  $X_i$ .

We can apply chain rule to compute  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{i-1}}$  (how much E would wiggle if we wiggled each component of  $X_{i-1}$ ):

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{i-1}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial F_i(X_{i-1}, W_i)}{\partial X_{i-1}}$$

 $\frac{\partial F_i(X_{i-1}, W_i)}{\partial X_{i-1}}$  is the Jacobian matrix of  $F_i$  with respect to  $X_{i-1}$ .

- $F_i$  has two Jacobian matrices, because it has to arguments.
- Element (k, l) of this Jacobian indicates how much the k-th output wiggles when we wiggle the l-th input.

The equation above is a recurrence equation!



#### Jacobians and Dimensions

derivatives with respect to a column vector are line vectors (dimensions:  $[1 \times N_{i-1}] = [1 \times N_i] * [N_i \times N_{i-1}])$ 

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{i-1}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial F_i(X_{i-1}, W_i)}{\partial X_{i-1}}$$

(dimensions:  $[1 \times N_{wi}] = [1 \times N_i] * [N_i \times N_{wi}]$ ):

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial W_i} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial F_i(X_{i-1}, W_i)}{\partial W}$$

we may prefer to write those equation with column vectors:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{i-1}}' = \frac{\partial F_i(X_{i-1}, W_i)'}{\partial X_{i-1}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_i}'$$
$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial W_i}' = \frac{\partial F_i(X_{i-1}, W_i)'}{\partial W} \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_i}'$$

### **Back Propgation**

To compute all the derivatives, we use a backward sweep called the **back-propagation** algorithm that uses the recurrence equation for  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_i}$ 



### **Multimodule Systems: Implementation**



### Linear Module

The input vector is multiplied by the weight matrix.



- fprop:  $X_{\text{out}} = WX_{\text{in}}$
- bprop to input:  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{\text{in}}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{\text{out}}} \frac{\partial X_{\text{out}}}{\partial X_{\text{in}}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{\text{out}}} W$
- by transposing, we get column vectors:  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{\text{in}}}' = W' \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{\text{out}}}'$
- bprop to weights:  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial W_{ij}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{\text{out}i}} \frac{\partial X_{\text{out}i}}{\partial W_{ij}} = X_{\text{in}j} \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{\text{out}i}}$
- We can write this as an outer-product:  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial W}' = \frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{\text{out}}}' X'_{in}$

### Tanh module (or any other pointwise function)

Xout DE/DXat Xout: F(Xint 6) DE = F(Xint8) DE Xin DE/DXin

fprop:  $(X_{out})_i = \tanh((X_{in})_i + B_i)$ bprop to input:  $(\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{in}})_i = (\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{out}})_i \tanh'((X_{in})_i + B_i)$ bprop to bias:  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial B_i} = (\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{out}})_i \tanh'((X_{in})_i + B_i)$   $\tanh(x) = \frac{2}{1 + \exp(-x)} - 1 = \frac{1 - \exp(-x)}{1 + \exp(-x)}$ 

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

### **Euclidean Distance Module**



fprop:  $X_{\text{out}} = \frac{1}{2} ||X_{\text{in}} - Y||^2$ bprop to X input:  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{\text{in}}} = X_{\text{in}} - Y$ bprop to Y input:  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial Y} = Y - X_{\text{in}}$ 

#### **Any Architecture works**



#### Any connection is permissible

Networks with loops must be "unfolded in time".

#### Any module is permissible

As long as it is continuous and differentiable almost everywhere with respect to the parameters, and with respect to non-terminal inputs.

#### Module-Based Deep Learning with Torch

#### Torch7 is based on the Lua language

- Simple and lightweight scripting language, dominant in the game industry
- Has a native just-in-time compiler (fast!)
- Has a simple foreign function interface to call C/C++ functions from Lua

#### Torch7 is an extension of Lua with

- A multidimensional array engine with CUDA and OpenMP backends
- A machine learning library that implements multilayer nets, convolutional nets, unsupervised pre-training, etc
- Various libraries for data/image manipulation and computer vision
- A quickly growing community of users

#### Single-line installation on Ubuntu and Mac OSX:

curl -s https://raw.github.com/clementfarabet/torchinstall/master/install | bash

Torch7 Machine Learning Tutorial (neural net, convnet, sparse auto-encoder):

http://code.cogbits.com/wiki/doku.php

Net for SVHN digit recognition

10 categories
Input is 32x32 RGB (3 channels)

1500 hidden units

Creating a 2-layer net
Make a cascade module
Reshape input to vector
Add Linear module
Add tanh module
Add Linear Module
Add Linear Module

```
Noutputs = 10;
nfeats = 3; Width = 32; height = 32
ninputs = nfeats*width*height
nhiddens = 1500
```

-- Simple 2-layer neural network
model = nn.Sequential()
model:add(nn.Reshape(ninputs))
model:add(nn.Linear(ninputs,nhiddens))
model:add(nn.Tanh())
model:add(nn.Linear(nhiddens,noutputs))
model:add(nn.LogSoftMax())

```
criterion = nn.ClassNLLCriterion()
```

#### Create loss function module

See Torch7 example at http://bit.ly/16tyLAx

#### Example: Training a Neural Net in Torch7

```
one epoch over training set
for t = 1,trainData:size(),batchSize do
                                                       Get next batch of samples
  inputs, outputs = getNextBatch()
  local feval = function(x)
                                                      Create a "closure" feval(x) that takes the
                                                       parameter vector as argument and returns
    parameters:copy(x)
                                                      the loss and its gradient on the batch.
    gradParameters:zero()
    local f = 0
                                                       Run model on batch
    for i = 1, #inputs do
       local output = model:forward(inputs[i])
       local err = criterion:forward(output,targets[i])
       f = f + err
       local df do = criterion:backward(output,targets[i])
       model:backward(inputs[i], df do)
                                                      backprop
    end
    gradParameters:div(#inputs)
                                                       Normalize by size of batch
    f = f/\#inputs
    return f, gradParameters
                                                      Return loss and gradient
         – of feval
  end
  optim.sqd(feval, parameters, optimState)
                                                       call the stochastic gradient optimizer
end
```

#### Toy Code (Matlab): Neural Net Trainer

```
% F-PROP
for i = 1 : nr_layers - 1
  [h{i} jac{i}] = nonlinearity(W{i} * h{i-1} + b{i});
end
h{nr_layers-1} = W{nr_layers-1} * h{nr_layers-2} + b{nr_layers-1};
prediction = softmax(h{l-1});
% CROSS ENTROPY LOSS
loss = - sum(sum(log(prediction) .* target)) / batch_size;
% B-PROP
dh{l-1} = prediction - target;
for i = nr_layers - 1 : -1 : 1
 Wgrad{i} = dh{i} * h{i-1}';
 bgrad{i} = sum(dh{i}, 2);
 dh{i-1} = (W{i}' * dh{i}) .* jac{i-1};
end
% UPDATE
for i = 1 : nr_layers - 1
 W{i} = W{i} - (lr / batch_size) * Wgrad{i};
 b{i} = b{i} - (lr / batch_size) * bgrad{i};
```

Y LeCun

MA Ranzato

```
end
```

#### **Deep Supervised Learning is Non-Convex**

Example: what is the loss function for the simplest 2-layer neural net ever
 Function: 1-1-1 neural net. Map 0.5 to 0.5 and -0.5 to -0.5 (identity function) with quadratic cost:

 $y = \tanh(W_1 \tanh(W_0.x))$   $L = (0.5 - \tanh(W_1 \tanh(W_00.5)^2)$ 



### Backprop in Practice

- Use ReLU non-linearities (tanh and logistic are falling out of favor)
- Use cross-entropy loss for classification
- Use Stochastic Gradient Descent on minibatches
- Shuffle the training samples
- Normalize the input variables (zero mean, unit variance)
- Schedule to decrease the learning rate
- Use a bit of L1 or L2 regularization on the weights (or a combination)
  - But it's best to turn it on after a couple of epochs
- Use "dropout" for regularization
  - Hinton et al 2012 http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.0580
- Lots more in [LeCun et al. "Efficient Backprop" 1998]
- Lots, lots more in "Neural Networks, Tricks of the Trade" (2012 edition) edited by G. Montavon, G. B. Orr, and K-R Müller (Springer)

# Deep Learning In Speech Recognition

# **Case study #1: Acoustic Modeling**

Y LeCun

MA Ranzato

A typical speech recognition system:



# **Case study #1: Acoustic Modeling**

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

## A typical speech recognition system:



- Here, we focus only on the prediction of phone states from short time-windows of spectrogram.
- For simplicity, we will use a fully connected neural network (in practice, a convolutional net does better).

Mohamed et al. "DBNs for phone recognition" NIPS Workshop 2009 Zeiler et al. "On rectified linear units for speech recognition" ICASSP 2013

## Data

- US English: Voice Search, Voice Typing, Read data
- Billions of training samples
- Input: log-energy filter bank outputs
  - 40 frequency bands
  - 26 input frames
- Output: 8000 phone states

## Architecture

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

- From 1 to 12 hidden layers
- For simplicity, the same number of hidden units at each layer:  $1040 \rightarrow 2560 \rightarrow 2560 \rightarrow ... \rightarrow 2560 \rightarrow 8000$
- Non-linearities: \_\_/ output = max(0, input)

# Energy & Loss

• Since it is a standard classification problem, the energy is:

$$E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -\mathbf{y} f(\mathbf{x})$$
 **y** 1-of-N vector

• The loss is the negative log-likelihood:

$$L = E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \log\left(\sum_{\overline{\mathbf{y}}} \exp\left(-E(\mathbf{x}, \overline{\mathbf{y}})\right)\right)$$

## **Optimization**

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

SGD with schedule on learning rate

$$\theta_{t} \leftarrow \theta_{t-1} - \eta_{t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{t-1}}$$
$$\eta_{t} = \frac{\eta}{max(1, \frac{t}{T})}$$

### Mini-batches of size 40

 Asynchronous SGD (using 100 copies of the network on a few hundred machines). This speeds up training at Google but it is not crucial.



















# Training



## Word Error Rate

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

Number of hidden layers	Word Error Rate %
1	16
2	12.8
4	11.4
8	10.9
12	11.1

GMM baseline: 15.4%

# Convolutional Networks
## **Convolutional Nets**

#### Are deployed in many practical applications

Image recognition, speech recognition, Google's and Baidu's photo taggers

#### Have won several competitions

ImageNet, Kaggle Facial Expression, Kaggle Multimodal Learning, German Traffic Signs, Connectomics, Handwriting....

#### Are applicable to array data where nearby values are correlated

Images, sound, time-frequency representations, video, volumetric images, RGB-Depth images,.....

# One of the few models that carebe trained purely supervised



## Fully-connected neural net in high dimension

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Example: 200x200 image

- Fully-connected, 400,000 hidden units = 16 billion parameters
- Locally-connected, 400,000 hidden units 10x10 fields = 40 million params
- Local connections capture local dependencies



## Shared Weights & Convolutions: Exploiting Stationarity

- Features that are useful on one part of the image and probably useful elsewhere.
- All units share the same set of weights
- Shift equivariant processing:
  - When the input shifts, the output also shifts but stays otherwise unchanged.
- Convolution
  - with a learned kernel (or filter)
  - Non-linearity: ReLU (rectified linear)

 $A_{ij} = \sum_{kl} W_{kl} X_{i+j.k+l}$ The filtered "image" Z is called a feature map  $Z_{ii} = max(0, A_{ij})$ 

#### Example: 200x200 image

- 400,000 hidden units with 10x10 fields = 1000 params
- 10 feature maps of size 200x200, 10 filters of size 10x10



# **Multiple Convolutions with Different Kernels**

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

- Detects multiple motifs at each location
- The collection of units looking at the same patch is akin to a feature vector for that patch.
- The result is a 3D array, where each slice is a feature map.





## **Early Hierarchical Feature Models for Vision**

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### [Hubel & Wiesel 1962]:

- simple cells detect local features
- complex cells "pool" the outputs of simple cells within a retinotopic neighborhood.



Cognitron & Neocognitron [Fukushima 1974-1982]

### The Convolutional Net Model (Multistage Hubel-Wiesel system)



# Feature Transform: Normalization → Filter Bank → Non-Linearity → Pooling MA

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



#### Stacking multiple stages of

- ▶ [Normalization  $\rightarrow$  Filter Bank  $\rightarrow$  Non-Linearity  $\rightarrow$  Pooling].
- Normalization: variations on whitening
  - Subtractive: average removal, high pass filtering
  - Divisive: local contrast normalization, variance normalization

Filter Bank: dimension expansion, projection on overcomplete basis

- Non-Linearity: sparsification, saturation, lateral inhibition....
- Rectification, Component-wise shrinkage, tanh, winner-takes-all

Pooling: aggregation over space or feature type, subsampling

$$X_i; \quad L_p: \sqrt[p]{X_i^p}; \quad PROB: \frac{1}{b} \log\left(\sum_i e^{bX_i}\right)$$

# Feature Transform: Y LeCun Normalization → Filter Bank → Non-Linearity → Pooling Y LeCun MA Ranzato



- Filter Bank → Non-Linearity = Non-linear embedding in high dimension
- Feature Pooling = contraction, dimensionality reduction, smoothing
- Learning the filter banks at every stage
- Creating a hierarchy of features
- Basic elements are inspired by models of the visual (and auditory) cortex
  - Simple Cell + Complex Cell model of [Hubel and Wiesel 1962]
  - Many "traditional" feature extraction methods are based on this
  - SIFT, GIST, HoG, SURF...
- [Fukushima 1974-1982], [LeCun 1988-now],
  - since the mid 2000: Hinton, Seung, Poggio, Ng,....

## **Convolutional Network (ConvNet)**

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



Non-Linearity: half-wave rectification, shrinkage function, sigmoid
 Pooling: average, L1, L2, max
 Training: Supervised (1988-2006), Unsupervised+Supervised (2006-now)

## **Convolutional Network Architecture**

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



## Convolutional Network (vintage 1990)

#### If iters $\rightarrow$ tanh $\rightarrow$ average-tanh $\rightarrow$ filters $\rightarrow$ tanh $\rightarrow$ average-tanh $\rightarrow$ filters $\rightarrow$ tanh



## "Mainstream" object recognition pipeline 2006-2012 somewhat similar to ConvNets

Filter Nonfeature Filter Nonfeature Classifier Pooling Pooling Linearity Bank Linearity Bank Winner Oriented Histogram **K-means Spatial Max** Any simple Takes All **Edges Sparse Coding** Or average (sum) classifier Fixed (SIFT/HoG/...) Unsupervised **Supervised** 

Y LeCun

MA Ranzato

Fixed Features + unsupervised mid-level features + simple classifier

- SIFT + Vector Quantization + Pyramid pooling + SVM
  - [Lazebnik et al. CVPR 2006]
- SIFT + Local Sparse Coding Macrofeatures + Pyramid pooling + SVM
  - [Boureau et al. ICCV 2011]
- SIFT + Fisher Vectors + Deformable Parts Pooling + SVM
  - [Perronin et al. 2012]

- Handwriting recognition MNIST (many), Arabic HWX (IDSIA)
- OCR in the Wild [2011]: StreetView House Numbers (NYU and others)
- Traffic sign recognition [2011] GTSRB competition (IDSIA, NYU)
- Pedestrian Detection [2013]: INRIA datasets and others (NYU)
- Volumetric brain image segmentation [2009] connectomics (IDSIA, MIT)
- Human Action Recognition [2011] Hollywood II dataset (Stanford)
- Object Recognition [2012] ImageNet competition
- Scene Parsing [2012] Stanford bgd, SiftFlow, Barcelona (NYU)
- Scene parsing from depth images [2013] NYU RGB-D dataset (NYU)
- Speech Recognition [2012] Acoustic modeling (IBM and Google)
- Breast cancer cell mitosis detection [2011] MITOS (IDSIA)

The list of perceptual tasks for which ConvNets hold the record is growing.Most of these tasks (but not all) use purely supervised convnets.

### **Ideas from Neuroscience and Psychophysics**

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

- The whole architecture: simple cells and complex cells
- Local receptive fields
- Self-similar receptive fields over the visual field (convolutions)
- Pooling (complex cells)
- Non-Linearity: Rectified Linear Units (ReLU)
- LGN-like band-pass filtering and contrast normalization in the input
- Divisive contrast normalization (from Heeger, Simoncelli....)
  - Lateral inhibition
- Sparse/Overcomplete representations (Olshausen-Field....)
- Inference of sparse representations with lateral inhibition
- Sub-sampling ratios in the visual cortex
  - between 2 and 3 between V1-V2-V4
- Crowding and visual metamers give cues on the size of the pooling areas

## Simple ConvNet Applications with State-of-the-Art Performance

## Traffic Sign Recognition (GTSRB)

- German Traffic Sign Reco Bench
- 99.2% accuracy



## House Number Recognition (Google)

Street View House Numbers



### Piotr Mirowski, Deepak Mahdevan (NYU Neurology), Yann LeCun

PatientC Sz1.p

Server and a serve	A MANA MANANA MANANA MANANA MANANA MANANA MATANA MANANA MANANA MATANA MANANA MANANA MANANA MANANA MANANA MANANA MANANA		
		Alexandra and an	an <u>Anna an Anna Anna Anna</u> An Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna An Anna Anna
Provide And			
The second and the se			
chan when the second se			
	WWW WWW		

# **Epilepsy Prediction**



# ConvNet in Connectomics [Jain, Turaga, Seung 2007-present]

#### **3D** convnet to segment volumetric images



Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Won the 2012 ImageNet LSVRC. 60 Million parameters, 832M MAC ops

4M	FULL CONNECT	4Mflop
16M	FULL 4096/ReLU	16M
37M	FULL 4096/ReLU	37M
	MAX POOLING	
442K	CONV 3x3/ReLU 256fm	74M
1.3M	CONV 3x3ReLU 384fm	224M
884K	CONV 3x3/ReLU 384fm	149M
	MAX POOLING 2x2sub	
	LOCAL CONTRAST NORM	
307K	CONV 11x11/ReLU 256fm	223M
	MAX POOL 2x2sub	
	LOCAL CONTRAST NORM	
35K	CONV 11x11/ReLU 96fm	105M



# ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge 1000 categories, 1.5 Million labeled training samples



#### Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Method: large convolutional net

- 650K neurons, 832M synapses, 60M parameters
- Trained with backprop on GPU
- Trained "with all the tricks Yann came up with in the last 20 years, plus dropout" (Hinton, NIPS 2012)
- Rectification, contrast normalization,...
- Error rate: 15% (whenever correct class isn't in top 5)
   Previous state of the art: 25% error

#### <u>A REVOLUTION IN COMPUTER VISION</u>

Acquired by Google in Jan 2013
Deployed in Google+ Photo Tagging in May 2013



#### Y LeCun MA Ranzato



leopard	motor scooter	container ship	mite
leopard	motor scooter	container ship	mite
jaguar	go-kart	lifeboat	black widow
cheetah	moped	amphibian	cockroach
snow leopard	bumper car	fireboat	tick
Egyptian cat	golfcart	drilling platform	starfish
THE R. LEWIS CO., NAME AND ADDRESS OF			TOTAL COLOR AND



grille	mushroom	cherry	Madagascar cat
convertible	agaric	dalmatian	squirrel monkey
grille	mushroom	grape	spider monkey
pickup	jelly fungus	elderberry	titi
beach wagon	gill fungus	ffordshire bullterrier	indri
fire engine	dead-man's-fingers	currant	howler monkey

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



## **RETRIEVED IMAGES**



## ConvNet-Based Google+ Photo Tagger

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Searched my personal collection for "bird"



## Another ImageNet-trained ConvNet [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]

#### Convolutional Net with 8 layers, input is 224x224 pixels

- conv-pool-conv-pool-conv-conv-conv-full-full
- Rectified-Linear Units (ReLU): y = max(0,x)
- Divisive contrast normalization across features [Jarrett et al. ICCV 2009]

#### Trained on ImageNet 2012 training set

- 1.3M images, 1000 classes
- 10 different crops/flips per

## Regularization: Dropout

- [Hinton 2012]
- zeroing random subsets of

## Stochastic gradient descent

- for 70 epochs (7-10 days)
- With learning rate annealin



© Copyright 2013

-

#### http://horatio.cs.nyu.edu

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

Image Classifier Demo  Image Classifier Demo  Image Classifier Demo  Dem	🔊 🗇 🗊 🛛 Image Classifier Demo - Chromium		
<ul> <li> <ul> <li>Poratio.cs.ryu.edu</li> <li>Por</li></ul></li></ul>	Image Classifier Demo ×		
<ul> <li>academic.res Addition Blog Printrbot Talk F Princh District Boing Boing Addition Blog Addition</li></ul>	🕻 🖒 🧲 🗋 horatio.cs.nyu.edu		☆ 🍇 🏹 🖏 🖓 😒 💭
<section-header>Manage Classified Data (Net Arrow Classified Data) (Ne</section-header>	🗋 academic.rese 🛛 💿 Arduino Blog 📄 Printrbot Talk F 🗜 French Distr	t bb Boing Boing	🔻 📄 Other Bookma
<ul> <li>Provide the product of the</li></ul>	Image Classifier Demo Demo About Terms		
<ul> <li>Upload your images to have them classified by a machinel Upload multiple images using the button below or dropping them on this page. The predicted objects will be refreshed automatically. Images are resized such that the smallest dimension becomes 256, then the center 256x256 crop is used. More about the demo can be found here.</li> <li>Upload Images @ Remove All _ Show help tips</li> <li>Tagree to the Terms of Use</li> <li>If your images have objects that are not in the 1,000 categories of ImageNet, the model will not know about them.</li> <li>Other objects can be added from all 20,000+ ImageNet categories (it may be slow to load the autocomplete resultsjust wait a little).</li> <li>The maximum file size for uploads in this demo is 10 MB.</li> <li>Only image files (JPEG, JPG, GIF, PNG) are allowed in this demo.</li> <li>You can drag &amp; drop files from your desktop on this webpage will oospie Chrome, Mozilla Firefox and Apple Safari.</li> <li>Some mobile browsers are known to work, others will not. Try updating your browser or contact us with the problem.</li> <li>All images for your current IP and browsing session are shown above and not shown to others.</li> <li>All images for your current IP and browsing session are shown above and not shown to others.</li> <li>This demo is problems, please contact zeller@cs.nyu.edu</li> </ul> Demo created by: Matthew Zeller	Image Classifier Demo		۴ NYU
<ul> <li>Demo Notes</li> <li>If your images have objects that are not in the 1,000 categories of ImageNet, the model will not know about them.</li> <li>Other objects can be added from all 20,000+ ImageNet categories (it may be slow to load the autocomplete resultsjust wait a little).</li> <li>The maximum file size for uploads in this demo is 10 MB.</li> <li>Only image files (JPEG, JPG, GIF, PNG) are allowed in this demo.</li> <li>You can drag &amp; drop files from your desktop on this webpage with Google Chrome, Mozilla Firefox and Apple Safari.</li> <li>Some mobile browsers are known to work, others will not. Try updating your browser or contact us with the problem.</li> <li>All images for your current IP and browsing session are shown above and not shown to others.</li> <li>This demo is powered by research out of New York University. Click here to find out more</li> <li>If you encounter problems, please contact zeiler@cs.nyu.edu</li> </ul>	The predicted objects will be relieshed automatically. Image 256, then the center 256x256 crop is used. More about the demo can be      Upload Images     The predicted objects will be relieshed automatically. Image 256, then the center 256x256 crop is used. More about the demo can be      The predicted objects will be relieshed automatically. Image 256, then the center 256x256 crop is used. More about the demo can be      The predicted objects will be relieshed automatically. Image 256, then the center 256x256 crop is used. More about the demo can be      The predicted objects will be relieshed automatically. Image 256, then the center 256x256 crop is used. More about the demo can be      The predicted objects will be relieshed automatically. Image 256, then the center 256x256 crop is used. More about the demo can be      The predicted objects will be relieshed automatically. Image 256, then the center 256x256 crop is used. More about the demo can be      The predicted objects will be relieshed automatically. Image 256, then the demo can be      The predicted objects will be relieshed automatically. The predicted objects will be relieshed automatically. The predicted objects will be  expected objects will be relieshed automatically. The predicted objects will be  expected objects will be expecte	found here .	Sindlest dimension becomes
Demo created by: Matthew Zeiler	<ul> <li>Demo Notes</li> <li>If your images have objects that are not in the 1,000 categories of ImageN</li> <li>Other objects can be added from all 20,000+ ImageNet categories (it may</li> <li>The maximum file size for uploads in this demo is 10 MB.</li> <li>Only image files (JPEG, JPG, GIF, PNG) are allowed in this demo .</li> <li>You can drag &amp; drop files from your desktop on this webpage with Google</li> <li>Some mobile browsers are known to work, others will not. Try updating yo</li> <li>All images for your current IP and browsing session are shown above and</li> <li>This demo is powered by research out of New York University. Click here for the you encounter problems, please contact zeiler@cs.nyu.edu</li> </ul>	t, the model will not know about e slow to load the autocomple Chrome, Mozilla Firefox and A browser or contact us with th ot shown to others. find out more	out them. ete resultsjust wait a little). Apple Safari. he problem.
	Demo created by: Matthew Zeiler		

	Val	Val	Test
Error %	Top-1	Top-5	Top-5
Deng et al. SIFT + FV [7]	——	——	26.2
Krizhevsky et al. [12], 1 convnet	40.7	18.2	
Krizhevsky et al. [12], 5 convnets	38.1	16.4	16.4
*Krizhevsky et al. [12], 1 convnets	39.0	16.6	
*Krizhevsky et al. [12], 7 convnets	36.7	15.4	15.3
Our replication of [12], 1 convnet	41.7	19.0	——
1 convnet - our model	$38.4\pm0.05$	$16.5\pm0.05$	——
5 convnets - our model (a)	36.7	15.3	15.3
1 convnet - tweaked model (b)	37.5	16.0	16.1
6 convnets, (a) & (b) combined	36.0	14.7	14.8

## Features are generic: Caltech 256



3: [Bo, Ren, Fox. CVPR, 2013] 16: [Sohn, Jung, Lee, Hero ICCV 2011]

## Features are generic: PASCAL VOC 2012

#### Network first trained on ImageNet.

#### Last layer trained on Pascal VOC, keeping N-1 first layers fixed.

Acc %	[15]	[19]	Ours	Acc %	[15]	[19]	Ours
Airplane	92.0	97.3	96.0	Dining table	63.2	77.8	67.7
Bicycle	74.2	84.2	77.1	Dog	68.9	83.0	87.8
Bird	73.0	80.8	88.4	Horse	78.2	87.5	86.0
Boat	77.5	85.3	85.5	Motorbike	81.0	90.1	85.1
Bottle	54.3	60.8	55.8	Person	91.6	95.0	90.9
Bus	85.2	89.9	85.8	Potted plant	55.9	57.8	52.2
Car	81.9	86.8	78.6	Sheep	69.4	79.2	83.6
Cat	76.4	89.3	91.2	Sofa	65.4	73.4	61.1
Chair	65.2	75.4	65.0	Train	86.7	94.5	91.8
Cow	63.2	77.8	74.4	Tv/monitor	77.4	80.7	76.1
Mean	74.3	82.2	79.0	# won	0	15	5

[15] K. Sande, J. Uijlings, C. Snoek, and A. Smeulders. Hybrid coding for selective search. In PASCAL VOC Classification Challenge 2012,

[19] S. Yan, J. Dong, Q. Chen, Z. Song, Y. Pan, W. Xia, Z. Huang, Y. Hua, and S. Shen. Generalized hierarchical matching for sub-category aware object classification. In PASCAL VOC Classification Challenge 2012

## Semantic Labeling: Labeling every pixel with the object it belongs to

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

# Would help identify obstacles, targets, landing sites, dangerous areas Would help line up depth map with edge maps



## Scene Parsing/Labeling: ConvNet Architecture

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

- Each output sees a large input context:
  - 46x46 window at full rez; 92x92 at ½ rez; 184x184 at ¼ rez
  - [7x7conv]->[2x2pool]->[7x7conv]->[2x2pool]->[7x7conv]->
  - Trained supervised on fully-labeled images



## Scene Parsing/Labeling: Performance

#### Stanford Background Dataset [Gould 1009]: 8 categories

	Pixel Acc.	Class Acc.	CT (sec.)
Gould <i>et al.</i> 2009 [14]	76.4%	-	10 to 600s
Munoz <i>et al.</i> 2010 [32]	76.9%	66.2%	12s
Tighe <i>et al.</i> 2010 [46]	77.5%	-	10 to 300s
Socher <i>et al.</i> 2011 [45]	78.1%	-	?
Kumar <i>et al.</i> 2010 [22]	79.4%	-	< 600s
Lempitzky <i>et al.</i> 2011 [28]	81.9%	72.4%	> 60s
singlescale convnet	66.0 %	56.5 %	0.35s
multiscale convnet	78.8 %	72.4%	0.6s
multiscale net + superpixels	80.4%	74.56%	0.7s
multiscale net + gPb + cover	80.4%	75.24%	61s
multiscale net + CRF on gPb	81.4%	76.0%	60.5s

[Farabet et al. IEEE T. PAMI 2013]

# Scene Parsing/Labeling: Performance

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

	Pixel Acc.	Class Acc.
Liu et al. 2009 [31]	74.75%	-
Tighe <i>et al.</i> 2010 [44]	76.9%	29.4%
raw multiscale net <sup>1</sup>	67.9%	45.9%
multiscale net + superpixels <sup>1</sup>	71.9%	50.8%
multiscale net + cover <sup>1</sup>	72.3%	50.8%
multiscale net + $cover^2$	78.5%	29.6%

SIFT Flow Dataset [Liu 2009]: **33** categories

		Pixel Acc.	Class Acc.
	Tighe <i>et al.</i> 2010 [44]	66.9%	7.6%
Barcelona dataset	raw multiscale net <sup>1</sup>	37.8%	<b>12.1</b> %
[Tighe 2010]:	multiscale net + superpixels <sup>1</sup>	44.1%	12.4%
170 categories.	multiscale net + cover <sup>1</sup>	46.4%	12.5%
	multiscale net + $cover^2$	<b>67.8</b> %	<b>9.5</b> %

[Farabet et al. IEEE T. PAMI 2012]

# Scene Parsing/Labeling: SIFT Flow dataset (33 categories) Y LeCun

MA Ranzato

#### Samples from the SIFT-Flow dataset (Liu)



#### Scene Parsing/Labeling: SIFT Flow dataset (33 categories) Y LeCun MA Ranzato



# Scene Parsing/Labeling

Y LeCun MA Ranzato




[Farabet et al. ICML 2012, PAMI 2013]





[Farabet et al. ICML 2012, PAMI 2013]





[Farabet et al. ICML 2012, PAMI 2013]



#### No post-processing

Frame-by-frame

#### ConvNet runs at 50ms/frame on Virtex-6 FPGA hardware

But communicating the features over ethernet limits system performance

### Scene Parsing/Labeling: Temporal Consistency

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



#### Causal method for temporal consistency

# NYU RGB-Depth Indoor Scenes Dataset

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### 407024 RGB-D images of apartments

#### [Silberman et al. 2012]

1449 labeled frames, 894 object categories





### Scene Parsing/Labeling on RGB+Depth Images

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



### Scene Parsing/Labeling on RGB+Depth Images

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



#### Ground truths



Our results

### Semantic Segmentation on RGB+D Images and Videos

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



# Energy-Based Unsupervised Learning

### Energy-Based Unsupervised Learning

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

Learning an energy function (or contrast function) that takes

- Low values on the data manifold
- Higher values everywhere else



### Capturing Dependencies Between Variables with an Energy Function

The energy surface is a "contrast function" that takes low values on the data manifold, and higher values everywhere else

- Special case: energy = negative log density
- Example: the samples live in the mapping  $(Y_1)^2$



The energy can be interpreted as an unnormalized negative log density

- Gibbs distribution: Probability proportional to exp(-energy)
  - Beta parameter is akin to an inverse temperature
  - Don't compute probabilities unless you absolutely have to
    - Because the denominator is often intractable

$$P(Y|W) = \frac{e^{-\beta E(Y,W)}}{\int_{y} e^{-\beta E(y,W)}}$$

$$E(Y,W) \propto -\log P(Y|W)$$

$$E(Y,W) \propto -\log P(Y|W)$$

I

### Learning the Energy Function

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### parameterized energy function E(Y,W)

- Make the energy low on the samples
- Make the energy higher everywhere else
- Making the energy low on the samples is easy
- But how do we make it higher everywhere else?



### Seven Strategies to Shape the Energy Function

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

- 1. build the machine so that the volume of low energy stuff is constant
   PCA, K-means, GMM, square ICA
- 2. push down of the energy of data points, push up everywhere else
   Max likelihood (needs tractable partition function)
- **3**. push down of the energy of data points, push up on chosen locations
  - contrastive divergence, Ratio Matching, Noise Contrastive Estimation, Minimum Probability Flow
- 4. minimize the gradient and maximize the curvature around data points
   score matching
- 5. train a dynamical system so that the dynamics goes to the manifold
   denoising auto-encoder
- 6. use a regularizer that limits the volume of space that has low energy
   Sparse coding, sparse auto-encoder, PSD
- 7. if E(Y) = IIY G(Y)II^2, make G(Y) as "constant" as possible.
  - Contracting auto-encoder, saturating auto-encoder

### #1: constant volume of low energy

1. build the machine so that the volume of low energy stuff is constant
 PCA, K-means, GMM, square ICA...

PCA

 $E(Y) = \|W^T WY - Y\|^2$ 



K-Means, Z constrained to 1-of-K code  $E(Y) = min_z \sum_i ||Y - W_i Z_i||^2$ 



### #2: push down of the energy of data points, push up everywhere else

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

Max likelihood (requires a tractable partition function)



### #2: push down of the energy of data points, push up everywhere else

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

Gradient of the negative log-likelihood loss for one sample Y:



### **#3. push down of the energy of data points,** push up on chosen locations

Contrastive divergence, Ratio Matching, Noise Contrastive Estimation, Minimum Probability Flow

#### Contrastive divergence: basic idea

- Pick a training sample, lower the energy at that point
- From the sample, move down in the energy surface with noise
- Stop after a while
- Push up on the energy of the point where we stopped
- This creates grooves in the energy surface around data manifolds
- CD can be applied to any energy function (not just RBMs)

#### Persistent CD: use a bunch of "particles" and remember their positions

- Make them roll down the energy surface with noise
- Push up on the energy wherever they are
- Faster than CD

#### 🗾 RBM

$$E(Y, Z) = -Z^T WY$$
  $E(Y) = -\log \sum_z e^{Z^T WY}$ 

### #6. use a regularizer that limits the volume of space that has low energy

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Sparse coding, sparse auto-encoder, Predictive Saprse Decomposition



Sparse Modeling, Sparse Auto-Encoders, Predictive Sparse Decomposition LISTA

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

Factor Graph with an asymmetric factor

- Inference  $Z \rightarrow Y$  is easy
  - Run Z through deterministic decoder, and sample Y

Inference  $Y \rightarrow Z$  is hard, particularly if Decoder function is many-to-one

- MAP: minimize sum of two factors with respect to Z
- Z\* = argmin\_z Distance[Decoder(Z), Y] + FactorB(Z)

Examples: K-Means (1of K), Sparse Coding (sparse), Factor Analysis



### **Sparse Coding & Sparse Modeling**

[Olshausen & Field 1997]

#### Sparse linear reconstruction

Energy = reconstruction\_error + code\_prediction\_error + code\_sparsity

$$E(Y^{i}, Z) = ||Y^{i} - W_{d}Z||^{2} + \lambda \sum_{j} |z_{j}|$$





#### Examples: most ICA models, Product of Experts



#### **Encoder-Decoder Architecture**

[Kavukcuoglu, Ranzato, LeCun, rejected by every conference, 2008-2009]

Y LeCun

**MA Ranzato** 

Train a "simple" feed-forward function to predict the result of a complex optimization on the data points of interest



1. Find optimal Zi for all Yi; 2. Train Encoder to predict Zi from Yi

- Training sample
- Input vector which is NOT a training sample
- Feature vector





- Training sample
- Input vector which is NOT a training sample
- Feature vector

*Training based on minimizing the reconstruction error over the training set* 



- Training sample
- Input vector which is NOT a training sample

• Feature vector BAD: machine does not learn structure from training data!! It just copies the data.



- Training sample
- Input vector which is NOT a training sample
- Feature vector

IDEA: reduce number of available codes.





- Training sample
- Input vector which is NOT a training sample
- Feature vector

IDEA: reduce number of available codes.



- Training sample
- Input vector which is NOT a training sample
- Feature vector

IDEA: reduce number of available codes.



#### Predictive Sparse Decomposition (PSD): sparse auto-encoder Y LeCun MA Ranzato

[Kavukcuoglu, Ranzato, LeCun,  $2008 \rightarrow arXiv:1010.3467$ ], Prediction the optimal code with a trained encoder

Energy = reconstruction\_error + code\_prediction\_error + code\_sparsity

$$E(Y^{i}, Z) = ||Y^{i} - W_{d}Z||^{2} + ||Z - g_{e}(W_{e}, Y^{i})||^{2} + \lambda \sum_{j} |z_{j}|$$
  
$$g_{e}(W_{e}, Y^{i}) = shrinkage(W_{e}Y^{i})$$



### **PSD: Basis Functions on MNIST**

#### Basis functions (and encoder matrix) are digit parts

5	2	2	2	5	8	>	0	1	1	2	1	2	6	1	9	1	N.	1	0
0	1	5	1	3	7	6	8	1	1	•	1	3	.7	5	1	1	1	3	2
1	3	6	0	2	2	1	3	6	2		5	3	3	9	8	ð	6	2	3
6	E	1	3	6	5	3	3	2	2	1	5	6	12	9	5	5	3	1	1
6	2	٩.	3	2	6	5	3	5	6	7	2	é	1	S	1	2	6	1	0
1	•	1	•	5	5	6	5	2	4	12	0	-	30	9	5	)	3		-
6	•	6	1	0	6	0	-	2	1	0	-	1	1	7	3	1	2	3	2
2	1	9	3	•	9	-	2	6	0	0	5	0	2	1	9	7	0	3	1
1	0	3	3	7		3	9	5	3	6	0	5	T	0	8		•	0	5
1	0	e	-	-	5	5	2	7	5	6	-	9	1	4	2	0	2	-	1

# Predictive Sparse Decomposition (PSD): Training

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



iteration no 0

# Learned Features on natural patches: V1-like receptive fields

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



### Better Idea: Give the "right" structure to the encoder

ISTA/FISTA: iterative algorithm that converges to optimal sparse code [Gregor & LeCun, ICML 2010], [Bronstein et al. ICML 2012], [Rolfe & LeCun ICLR 2013]

**INPUT** 
$$Y \rightarrow W_e \rightarrow + sh() \rightarrow Z \rightarrow S$$
  
Lateral Inhibition

$$Z(t+1) = \text{Shrinkage}_{\lambda/L} \left[ Z(t) - \frac{1}{L} W_d^T (W_d Z(t) - Y) \right]$$

 $Z(t+1) = \text{Shrinkage}_{\lambda/L} \left[ W_e^T Y + SZ(t) \right]; \quad W_e = \frac{1}{L} W_d; \quad S = I - \frac{1}{L} W_d^T W_d$
## LISTA: Train We and S matrices to give a good approximation quickly

Ζ

Think of the FISTA flow graph as a recurrent neural net where We and S are trainable parameters

S

sh

S

sh(

Time-Unfold the flow graph for K iterations

INPUT

Y

- Learn the We and S matrices with "backprop-through-time"
- Get the best approximate solution within K iterations

sh

# Learning ISTA (LISTA) vs ISTA/FISTA

### LISTA with partial mutual inhibition matrix Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Learning Coordinate Descent (LcoD): faster than LISTA Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Discriminative Recurrent Sparse Auto-Encoder (DrSAE) Y LeCun MA Ranzato



- Rectified linear units
- Classification loss: cross-entropy

[Rolfe & LeCun ICLR 2013]

- Reconstruction loss: squared error
- Sparsity penalty: L1 norm of last hidden layer
- Rows of Wd and columns of We constrained in unit sphere

#### DrSAE Discovers manifold structure of handwritten digits Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Image = prototype + sparse sum of "parts" (to move around the manifold)



## **Convolutional Sparse Coding**

Replace the dot products with dictionary element by convolutions.

- Input Y is a full image
- Each code component Zk is a feature map (an image)
- Each dictionary element is a convolution kernel

Regular sparse coding 
$$E(Y,Z) = ||Y - \sum_k W_k Z_k||^2 + \alpha \sum_k |Z_k|$$

Convolutional S.C. 
$$E(Y, Z) = ||Y - \sum_{k} W_k * Z_k||^2 + \alpha \sum_{k} |Z_k|$$



"deconvolutional networks" [Zeiler, Taylor, Fergus CVPR 2010]

#### Convolutional PSD: Encoder with a soft sh() Function Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Convolutional Formulation

Extend sparse coding from PATCH to IMAGE

$$\mathcal{L}(x, z, \mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{2} ||x - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{D}_k * z_k||_2^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} ||z_k - f(W^k * x)||_2^2 + |z|_1$$



PATCH based learning

### CONVOLUTIONAL learning

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Filters and Basis Functions obtained with 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, and 64 filters.



Y LeCun MA Ranzato

Phase 1: train first layer using PSD



**FEATURES** 

- Phase 1: train first layer using PSD
- Phase 2: use encoder + absolute value as feature extractor



**FEATURES** 

- Phase 1: train first layer using PSD
- Phase 2: use encoder + absolute value as feature extractor
- Phase 3: train the second layer using PSD



**FEATURES** 

Y LeCun

MA Ranzato

- Phase 1: train first layer using PSD
- Phase 2: use encoder + absolute value as feature extractor
- Phase 3: train the second layer using PSD
- Phase 4: use encoder + absolute value as 2<sup>nd</sup> feature extractor



**FEATURES** 

Y LeCun

MA Ranzato

- Phase 1: train first layer using PSD
- Phase 2: use encoder + absolute value as feature extractor
- Phase 3: train the second layer using PSD
- Phase 4: use encoder + absolute value as 2<sup>nd</sup> feature extractor
- Phase 5: train a supervised classifier on top
- Phase 6 (optional): train the entire system with supervised back-propagation



**FEATURES** 

Y LeCun

**MA Ranzato** 

## Pedestrian Detection, Face Detection



[Osadchy,Miller LeCun JMLR 2007],[Kavukcuoglu et al. NIPS 2010] [Sermanet et al. CVPR 2013]

## **ConvNet Architecture with Multi-Stage Features**

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

Feature maps from all stages are pooled/subsampled and sent to the final classification layers

Pooled low-level features: good for textures and local motifs

High-level features: good for "gestalt" and global shape



#### [Sermanet, Chintala, LeCun CVPR 2013]

# Pedestrian Detection: INRIA Dataset. Miss rate vs false positives

#### Y LeCun MA Ranzato



[Kavukcuoglu et al. NIPS 2010] [Sermanet et al. ArXiv 2012]

## Results on "Near Scale" Images (>80 pixels tall, no occlusions)



## Results on "Reasonable" Images (>50 pixels tall, few occlusions)



# Unsupervised pre-training with convolutional PSD

- 128 stage-1 filters on Y channel.
- Unsupervised training with convolutional predictive sparse decomposition



# Unsupervised pre-training with convolutional PSD

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

Stage 2 filters.

Unsupervised training with convolutional predictive sparse decomposition

۰.	8.		8	2	×.		1	$\mathbf{x}$		1	2	Ξ.	*		8.	100	8	8	а,	14		E.	2.		-
2	$\hat{v}_{i}$	10	ŝ,	3	ж.	$\mathbf{x}_{i}$		S.	2	22	8	21	5	÷.	÷.	÷	2	×.	8	-		2		8	14
9	8	1	5	H	2	2	1	8	2	×.	8	$\mathbf{x}_{i}$	2	3	2)	5	1	Ξ.	е,	14	Ξ.	1	*	2	÷.
	$\mathbf{x}_{i}$	3	a,	ê	1		•	5	Ξ.	$\mathbf{z}_{i}$	e.	-	6	a,	e.	4	×.	ς.	5	к.	æ,	ά.	$\mathbf{e}$	e.	*
52	14	55	13	23	2.5	13	10	1	24	20	1	з.	-	ч.	5.	ъ.	18	34	80	-	25	۰.	21	25	2
	2	12		÷	1.0		12	Υ.	121	1	۰.		к.	2	3		3	1	2		*	6	4		
C.	Ξ.			π.	3	-	12	10	10		2		10	3	-	10	22	ê.			10	15	3	$\mathbf{x}$	8
8	J.	6	£.	2	•	£.,	Ξ.	5	2		17			L		а.	1	1	4	2	12	ŝ.	8	8	1
1	ġ,	$\mathbf{x}$	2	÷.	2	3	Β	10	×.	Q.	ě.		÷.	6	5	1	3	3	s.	10	3	G.	-0	R	16
	3	2		10	80	82	10	£.	2	-	З.	Α.	15	5	a,	1	50	1.0	6	ч.	8	5.		3	
		1		1			2			1		1				1	1			19	10				
3	-		1			4	1.	C.	2	8	2		0			F	2	U.	P	2			9	F	9
4					4	3	à.				2				5					1	-		-	1	2

# Applying a ConvNet on Sliding Windows is Very Cheap!



- Traditional Detectors/Classifiers must be applied to every location on a large input image, at multiple scales.
- Convolutional nets can replicated over large images very cheaply.
- The network is applied to multiple scales spaced by 1.5.

# Building a Detector/Recognizer: Replicated Convolutional Nets

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



480x480 -> 5,083 million multiply-accumulate ops











### Input: "Constant Q Transform" over 46.4ms windows (1024 samples)

96 filters, with frequencies spaced every quarter tone (4 octaves)

#### Architecture:

- Input: sequence of contrast-normalized CQT vectors
- ► 1: PSD features, 512 trained filters; shrinkage function → rectification
- 3: pooling over 5 seconds
- 4: linear SVM classifier. Pooling of SVM categories over 30 seconds

### GTZAN Dataset

- 1000 clips, 30 second each
- 10 genres: blues, classical, country, disco, hiphop, jazz, metal, pop, reggae and rock.
- Results
  - 84% correct classification

#### Architecture: contrast norm → filters → shrink → max pooling Y LeCun MA Ranzato



Single-Stage Convolutional Network Training of filters: PSD (unsupervised)

## Constant Q Transform over 46.4 ms $\rightarrow$ Contrast Normalization

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



## subtractive+divisive contrast normalization



## **Convolutional PSD Features on Time-Frequency Signals**

full 4-octave features

#### Octave-wide features



## **PSD Features on Constant-Q Transform**

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Octave-wide features

#### Encoder basis functions



Decoder basis functions

# Time-Frequency Features

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

Octave-wide features on 8 successive acoustic vectors

> Almost no temporal structure in the filters!



# Accuracy on GTZAN dataset (small, old, etc...)

## Accuracy: 83.4%. State of the Art: 84.3%

#### Very fast

Classifier	Features	Acc. (%)
RBF-SVM	Learned using DBN [12]	84.3
Linear SVM	Learned using PSD on octaves	$83.4 \pm 3.1$
AdaBoost	Many features [2]	83
Linear SVM	Learned using PSD on frames	$79.4 \pm 2.8$
SVM	Daubechies Wavelets [19]	78.5
Log. Reg.	Spectral Covariance [3]	77
LDA	MFCC + other [18]	71
Linear SVM	Auditory cortical feat. [25]	70
GMM	MFCC + other [29]	61

# Unsupervised Learning: Invariant Features

## earning Invariant Features with L2 Group Sparsity

- Unsupervised PSD ignores the spatial pooling step.
- Could we devise a similar method that learns the pooling layer as well?
- Idea [Hyvarinen & Hoyer 2001]: group sparsity on pools of features
  - Minimum number of pools must be non-zero
  - Number of features that are on within a pool doesn't matter



## Learning Invariant Features with L2 Group Sparsity

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Idea: features are pooled in group.

- Sparsity: sum over groups of L2 norm of activity in group.
- [Hyvärinen Hoyer 2001]: "subspace ICA"
  - decoder only, square
- [Welling, Hinton, Osindero NIPS 2002]: pooled product of experts
  - encoder only, overcomplete, log student-T penalty on L2 pooling
- [Kavukcuoglu, Ranzato, Fergus LeCun, CVPR 2010]: Invariant PSD
  - encoder-decoder (like PSD), overcomplete, L2 pooling
- [Le et al. NIPS 2011]: Reconstruction ICA
  - Same as [Kavukcuoglu 2010] with linear encoder and tied decoder
- [Gregor & LeCun arXiv:1006:0448, 2010] [Le et al. ICML 2012]
  - Locally-connect non shared (tiled) encoder-decoder



Encoder only (PoE, ICA), Decoder Only or Encoder-Decoder (iPSD, RICA)



## Groups are local in a 2D Topographic Map

- The filters arrange themselves spontaneously so that similar filters enter the same pool.
- The pooling units can be seen as complex cells
- Outputs of pooling units are invariant to local transformations of the input
  - For some it's translations, for others rotations, or other transformations.


- Training on 115x115 images. Kernels are 15x15 (not shared across space!)
  Decoder
  - [Gregor & LeCun 2010]
  - Local receptive fields
  - No shared weights
  - 4x overcomplete
  - L2 pooling
  - Group sparsity over pools



# Image-level training, local filters but no weight sharing

#### Training on 115x115 images. Kernels are 15x15 (not shared across

space!)



# **Topographic Maps**

bermayer and GG Blasdel, Journal of oscience, Vol 13, 4114-4129 (Monkey) Y

Y LeCun <u>MA Ran</u>zato



119x119 Image Input 100x100 Code 20x20 Receptive field size sigma=5



Michael C. Crair, et. al. The Journal of Neurophysiology Vol. 77 No. 6 June 1997, pp. 3381-3385 (**Cat**)

# Image-level training, local filters but no weight sharing

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Color indicates orientation (by fitting Gabors)



### **Invariant Features Lateral Inhibition**

Replace the L1 sparsity term by a lateral inhibition matrix
 Easy way to impose some structure on the sparsity

$$\min_{W,Z} \sum_{x \in X} ||Wz - x||^2 + |z|^T S |z|$$



#### Invariant Features via Lateral Inhibition: Structured Sparsity Y LeCun MA Ranzato

Each edge in the tree indicates a zero in the S matrix (no mutual inhibition)Sij is larger if two neurons are far away in the tree



#### Invariant Features via Lateral Inhibition: Topographic Maps Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Non-zero values in S form a ring in a 2D topology

Input patches are high-pass filtered



# **Invariant Features through Temporal Constancy**

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

Object is cross-product of object type and instantiation parameters
 Mapping units [Hinton 1981], capsules [Hinton 2011]



### What-Where Auto-Encoder Architecture

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



# Low-Level Filters Connected to Each Complex Cell

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

C1 (where)



C2 (what)

# **Generating Images**

#### Y LeCun MA Ranzato





Future Challenges



#### 

Y LeCun MA Ranzato



#### **Integrating Feed-Forward and Feedback**



- Deconvolutional networks
  - [Zeiler-Graham-Fergus ICCV 2011]

- Feed-forward/Feedback networks allow reconstruction, multimodal prediction, restoration, etc...
  - Deep Boltzmann machines can do this, but there are scalability issues with training



- Deep Learning systems can be assembled into factor graphs
  - Energy function is a sum of factors
  - Factors can embed whole deep learning systems
  - X: observed variables (inputs)
  - Z: never observed (latent variables)
  - Y: observed on training set (output variables)
- Inference is energy minimization (MAP) or free energy minimization (marginalization) over Z and Y given an X



- Deep Learning systems can be assembled into factor graphs
- Energy function is a sum of factors
- Factors can embed whole deep learning systems
- X: observed variables (inputs)
- Z: never observed (latent variables)
- Y: observed on training set (output variables)
- Inference is energy minimization (MAP) or free energy minimization (marginalization) over Z and Y given an X
  - $\blacktriangleright$  F(X,Y) = MIN\_z E(X,Y,Z)
  - F(X,Y) = -log SUM\_z exp[-E(X,Y,Z) ]



Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### Integrting deep learning and structured prediction is a very old idea

- In fact, it predates structured prediction
- Globally-trained convolutional-net + graphical models
  - trained discriminatively at the word level
  - Loss identical to CRF and structured perceptron
  - Compositional movable parts model
- A system like this was reading 10 to 20% of all the checks in the US around 1998



- Deep Learning systems can be assembled into factor graphs
- Energy function is a sum of factors
- Factors can embed whole deep learning systems
- X: observed variables (inputs)
- Z: never observed (latent variables)
- Y: observed on training set (output variables)
- Inference is energy minimization (MAP) or free energy minimization (marginalization) over Z and Y given an X
  - $\blacktriangleright$  F(X,Y) = MIN\_z E(X,Y,Z)
  - F(X,Y) = -log SUM\_z exp[-E(X,Y,Z) ]



# **Future Challenges**

#### Integrated feed-forward and feedback

- Deep Boltzmann machine do this, but there are issues of scalability.
- Integrating supervised and unsupervised learning in a single algorithm
  - Again, deep Boltzmann machines do this, but....
- Integrating deep learning and structured prediction ("reasoning")
  - This has been around since the 1990's but needs to be revived
- Learning representations for complex reasoning
  - "recursive" networks that operate on vector space representations of knowledge [Pollack 90's] [Bottou 2010] [Socher, Manning, Ng 2011]
- Representation learning in natural language processing
  - [Y. Bengio 01],[Collobert Weston 10], [Mnih Hinton 11] [Socher 12]
- Better theoretical understanding of deep learning and convolutional nets
  - e.g. Stephane Mallat's "scattering transform", work on the sparse representations from the applied math community....

# SOFTWARE

Y LeCun

MA Ranzato

# Torch7: learning library that supports neural net training

- http://www.torch.ch
- http://code.cogbits.com/wiki/doku.php (tutorial with demos by C. Farabet)
- http://eblearn.sf.net (C++ Library with convnet support by P. Sermanet)

### Python-based learning library (U. Montreal)

- http://deeplearning.net/software/theano/ (does automatic differentiation)

### RNN

- www.fit.vutbr.cz/~imikolov/rnnlm (language modeling)
- http://sourceforge.net/apps/mediawiki/rnnl/index.php (LSTM)

# **CUDAMat & GNumpy**

- code.google.com/p/cudamat
- www.cs.toronto.edu/~tijmen/gnumpy.html

## Misc

- www.deeplearning.net//software\_links

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### **Convolutional Nets**

- LeCun, Bottou, Bengio and Haffner: Gradient-Based Learning Applied to Document Recognition, Proceedings of the IEEE, 86(11):2278-2324, November 1998
- Krizhevsky, Sutskever, Hinton "ImageNet Classification with deep convolutional neural networks" NIPS 2012
- Jarrett, Kavukcuoglu, Ranzato, LeCun: What is the Best Multi-Stage Architecture for Object Recognition?, Proc. International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV'09), IEEE, 2009
- Kavukcuoglu, Sermanet, Boureau, Gregor, Mathieu, LeCun: Learning Convolutional Feature Hierachies for Visual Recognition, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS 2010), 23, 2010
- see yann.lecun.com/exdb/publis for references on many different kinds of convnets.
- see http://www.cmap.polytechnique.fr/scattering/ for scattering networks (similar to convnets but with less learning and stronger mathematical foundations)

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### **Applications of Convolutional Nets**

- Farabet, Couprie, Najman, LeCun, "Scene Parsing with Multiscale Feature Learning, Purity Trees, and Optimal Covers", ICML 2012
- Pierre Sermanet, Koray Kavukcuoglu, Soumith Chintala and Yann LeCun: Pedestrian Detection with Unsupervised Multi-Stage Feature Learning, CVPR 2013
- D. Ciresan, A. Giusti, L. Gambardella, J. Schmidhuber. Deep Neural Networks Segment Neuronal Membranes in Electron Microscopy Images. NIPS 2012
- Raia Hadsell, Pierre Sermanet, Marco Scoffier, Ayse Erkan, Koray Kavackuoglu, Urs Muller and Yann LeCun: Learning Long-Range Vision for Autonomous Off-Road Driving, Journal of Field Robotics, 26(2):120-144, February 2009
- Burger, Schuler, Harmeling: Image Denoisng: Can Plain Neural Networks Compete with BM3D?, Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2012,

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

# **Applications of RNNs**

- Mikolov "Statistical language models based on neural networks" PhD thesis 2012
- Boden "A guide to RNNs and backpropagation" Tech Report 2002
- Hochreiter, Schmidhuber "Long short term memory" Neural Computation 1997
- Graves "Offline arabic handwrting recognition with multidimensional neural networks" Springer 2012
- Graves "Speech recognition with deep recurrent neural networks" ICASSP 2013

Y LeCun MA Ranzato

#### **Deep Learning & Energy-Based Models**

- Y. Bengio, Learning Deep Architectures for AI, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 2(1), pp.1-127, 2009.
- LeCun, Chopra, Hadsell, Ranzato, Huang: A Tutorial on Energy-Based Learning, in Bakir, G. and Hofman, T. and Schölkopf, B. and Smola, A. and Taskar, B. (Eds), Predicting Structured Data, MIT Press, 2006
- M. Ranzato Ph.D. Thesis "Unsupervised Learning of Feature Hierarchies" NYU 2009

### **Practical guide**

- Y. LeCun et al. Efficient BackProp, Neural Networks: Tricks of the Trade, 1998
- L. Bottou, Stochastic gradient descent tricks, Neural Networks, Tricks of the Trade Reloaded, LNCS 2012.
- Y. Bengio, Practical recommendations for gradient-based training of deep architectures, ArXiv 2012