MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH ASIA/PACIFIC

Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Asia (Singapore) Pte+

Sunil Gupta

Sunil.Gupta@morganstanley.com +65 6834 6732

Morgan Stanley & Co. International Limited, Taipei Branch+

Max.Lee@morganstanley.com +886 2 2730 2863

February 2, 2006

Suntech Power Great Expectations

We initiate coverage of Suntech Power with an

Equal-weight-V rating and a price target of US\$39 per share. This implies a FY06E P/E of 46.8x and a FY06E P/Rev of 9.5x.

High-growth company in a secular high-growth

industry: We believe that the solar industry is likely to grow by more than 40% pa for the next two years and by more than 30% pa over the next decade. We see Suntech growing its market share from 5% in FY05 to 14% in FY07. This should result in a six-fold increase in earnings over the next two years, on our estimates.

Improving incentive structure and virtuous cycle: Strong growth in this sector is driven by an improving incentive environment in the US, Italy, France and potentially China. The solar industry is attracting new capital and technology innovation to reduce cost. As a result, we expect solar power to become economically viable without incentives in a few countries before 2020, which is likely to result in very strong growth.

Good supply chain management: Besides traditional sources, Suntech has been able to secure raw material supply from many domestic Chinese suppliers (accounting for more than 55% of its supply). While some of these may be unproven in terms of large-scale supply, we believe that this provides a competitive advantage for Suntech.

Cost competitive on technology: We expect the industry to fragment, particularly beyond 2007. However, in our view, Suntech is globally competitive on its technology, which gives it cost leadership.

High expectations: We believe the recent run in the stock price has factored in high expectations for production ramp-up as well as profitability for FY06 and FY07. While these expectations may be achievable, they are near the optimistic end of the range of potential outcomes, in our view. Hence, at this stage, we see limited upside surprise in terms of execution.

Key Ratios and Statistics

Reuters: STP.N Bloomberg: STP US

China Technology				
Price target			US	\$\$39.00
Shr price, close (Jan 31, 2006)			US	5\$42.49
52-Week Range			US\$45.8	6-19.03
Sh out, basic, curr (mn)				90
Mkt cap, curr (mn)			US	5\$3,824
EV, curr (mn)			US	\$\$3,839
Net debt/cap (06e) (%)				(86.1)
ROE (06e) (%)				35.6
Sh out, basic, per-end (06e) (mn)				145
S'hldr eqty (06e) (mn)			I	US\$476
RNOA (06e) (%)				121.6
Fiscal Year (Dec)	2004	2005e	2006e	2007e
ModelWare EPS (US\$)*	0.22	0.22	0.83	1.69
EPS, basic, rpt'd (US\$)	0.22	0.30	0.89	1.81
Rev, net (US\$mn)	85	224	638	1,213
ModelWare net inc (US\$mn)	20	35	129	261
P/E	96.0	190.1	51.1	25.2
P/sales	22.4	17.0	6.0	3.2
EV/EBITDA	87.3	70.8	24.4	12.1
Div vld (%)	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.5

* = Please see explanation of Morgan Stanley ModelWare later in this note.

e = Morgan Stanley Research estimates

Morgan Stanley does and seeks to do business with companies covered in its research reports. As a result, investors should be aware that the firm may have a conflict of interest that could affect the objectivity of this report. Investors should consider this report as only a single factor in making their investment decision.

Please see analyst certification and other important disclosures starting on page 28.

+= Analysts employed by non-U.S. affiliates are not registered pursuant to NASD/NYSE rules.

nitiation

Stock Rating Equal-weight-V

Industry View In-Line

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Financial Summary

Income Statements, 2004-2007E

US\$m	2004	2005E	2006E	2007E
Turnover	85	224	638	1,213
YoY Growth	516%	163%	184%	90%
Less: COGS	(60)	(151)	(449)	(840)
Gross Profit	25	73	189	374
% margin	29%	33%	30%	31%
YoY Growth	833%	191%	159%	98%
Operating Expenses:	(5)	(26)	(53)	(95)
R&D	(0)	(3)	(11)	(23)
Sales and Marketing	(2)	(5)	(15)	(29)
-				
General and Admin	(3)	(18)	(26)	(43)
Operating Profit	20	47	136	279
% margin	23%	21%	21%	23%
YoY Growth	2558%	135%	190%	105%
Forex gain	0	0	(0)	(1)
Interest expense	(1)	(7)	(0)	
Interest income	(1)	(1)	(0)	(0)
Other income (ovponco)	0	(1)	(2)	(2)
Equity Income from Affiliator	(0)	(1)	(2)	(2)
Equity income from Anniates	(0)	(0)	0	0
Pretax profit	19	39	140	283
% margin	22%	17%	22%	23%
Tox	4	(4)	(1.1)	(22)
IdA Not income	20	(4)	(11)	(22)
	20	30	129	201
EF3 (\$)	0.22	0.22	0.83	1.09

Key Ratios, 2004-2007E

	2004	2005E	2006E	2007E
Per Share				
Modelware EPS	0.22	0.22	0.83	1.69
DPS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
BVPS	0.31	2.33	3.07	4.57
Return (%)				
ROA	29%	9%	25%	34%
ROE	72%	10%	27%	37%
Op. ATO	3.0x	0.6x	1.3x	1.7x
Gearing (x)				
Net Eebt/Equity	-0.7x	-0.7x	-0.5x	-0.3x
Current Ratio	1.3x	14.2x	15.6x	15.2x
Margin (%)				
Gross Margin (%)	29.4%	32.5%	29.6%	30.8%
Operating Margin (%)	23.5%	20.9%	21.4%	23.0%
Net Margin (%)	23.2%	15.4%	20.2%	21.5%

E = Morgan Stanley Research estimates Source: Company data, Morgan Stanley Research

Balance Sheet, 2004-2007E

US\$m	2004	2005E	2006E	2007E
Cash & Equivalents	19	271	225	233
Restricted Cash	6	8	16	28
Receivables	5	6	40	96
Inventories	17	48	112	210
Advances to Suppliers	2	24	58	108
Total Current Assets	52	360	453	677
Fixed assets	13	31	59	83
Total non-current assets	17	36	64	88
Total assets	69	395	517	764
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equit	v			
Accounts Payable	3	14	18	33
Short Term Debt	34	0	0	0
Government Grants	2	4	4	4
Other payable	0	3	3	3
Total current liabilities	40	25	29	44
Long-term debts	0	5	5	1
Acc. Warranty Costs	1	3	6	10
Total non-current liab.	1	8	11	11
Total liabilities	41	33	40	55
Minority interest	0	0	0	0
Share capital	1	1	1	1
Addnl. Paid in capital	7	325	325	325
Deferrred Stock Comp.	0	(20)	(20)	(20)
Retained income	19	54	168	401
Total Equity	27	362	476	709
Total liabilities	69	395	517	764

Cash Flow Statements, 2004-2007E

US\$m	2004	2005E	2006E	2007E
Pretax	19	39	140	283
Dep and Amort	2	3	11	17
Tax	1	(4)	(11)	(22)
Others	(1)	(30)	(11)	(9)
Chg in Adv to Suppliers	(2)	(22)	(33)	(50)
Stock-based comp	0	10	11	9
Operating Cash flow	1	(16)	16	93
Purchase of PP&E	(8)	(21)	(39)	(41)
Change in restricted cash	(6)	(2)	(8)	(12)
Change in Investment in affiliate	(0)	(1)	0	0
Government Grant	2	2	0	0
Net CF from investments	(12)	(22)	(48)	(52)
Changes in capital	0	318	0	0
Dividend	0	0	(14)	(29)
Increase/ (repayment) of debt	27	(29)	0	(4)
Net CF from finances	28	290	(14)	(33)
Change in Cash	18	252	(46)	8
Net Cash/(Debt), b/f	2	19	271	225
Net Cash/(Debt), c/f	19	271	225	233

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Great Expectations

Investment Summary

We initiate coverage of Suntech Power with an Equal-weight-V rating and a price target of US\$39 per share. This implies a FY06E P/E of 46.8x and a FY06E P/Rev of 9.5x. We apply an automatic volatility (V) rating because the stock has been trading for less than a year. We forecast a six-fold increase in earnings over the next two years as the company builds its market share in the high-growth solar industry. Suntech's ability to secure raw materials from domestic Chinese suppliers is a key competitive advantage, in our view, while technology innovations should give it cost leadership. However, we view near-term consensus expectations on production ramp-up and profitability as optimistic, and see limited upside surprise in execution.

Investment Positives

Secular high-growth industry. We believe that the solar cell industry is likely to experience very strong volume growth over the next few years. Demand growth over the next three years could exceed 40% pa, while growth over next decade could exceed 30% pa, in our view.

Improving incentive structure. Strong growth in this sector is driven by an improving incentive environment in the US, Italy, France and potentially China. As a result, of these incentive programs, current solar cell technology is becoming economically viable for customers.

Virtuous cycle. Driven by strong industry growth and profitability, the industry is attracting new capital and technological innovation to reduce cost structures and improve conversion efficiency. As a result, we expect solar power to become economically viable without incentives in a few countries before 2020, which is likely to result in very strong growth.

Technology-led cost reduction. We expect cost reduction to accelerate to 6-7% pa in the PV (photovoltaic) industry. Besides incremental reductions via higher conversion efficiency and thinner wafers, we expect innovations to kick in on multiple fronts. Once the industry succeeds in manufacturing Solar Grade Polysilicon (a key raw material) via a low-cost procedure, we think raw material costs for solar cells could fall 15%. Furthermore, commercialization of thin film technology over the next two to three years could lower the cost of cell manufacturing by a further 30%. Eventually, if

nanotechnology is proven commercially viable, we estimate it could reduce the cell cost by 60-70%.

Good supply chain management. A key raw material for the PV industry – Polysilicon Wafers – are in short supply currently. Leading industry players have signed long-term supply contracts to secure polysilicon or wafers. As well as being financially strong, companies need to be able to locate and promote new sources of raw material. Besides traditional sources, Suntech has been able to secure raw material supply from many domestic Chinese suppliers (accounting for more than 55% of its supply). While some of these may be unproven in terms of large-scale supply, we believe that this provides a competitive advantage for Suntech.

Gaining market share. We expect the global PV industry to grow at more than 40% pa over the next two years, thereby doubling its size, and Suntech to grow its output by 5.5x. As a result, we expect Suntech's market share to grow from about 5% in FY05 to about 14% in FY07. This forecast rapid growth in share is driven by its ability to secure raw material and ramp up capacity due to its strong balance sheet.

Technology competitive. We believe that Suntech is globally competitive on its technology. It is able to achieve conversion efficiency of 15.5% to 17% on its cells, which is near the high

Company Description

Suntech Power designs, develops, manufactures and markets a variety of photovoltaic (PV) cells and modules, which are devices that covert sunlight into electricity through a process known as photovoltaic effect. The company also provides PV system integration services in China. The company's products are used to provide reliable and environmentally friendly electric power for residential, commercial, industrial and public utility applications in various markets worldwide.

Industry View: In-Line

China's technology industry benefits from a large potential market but suffers from low technical content, lower entry barriers and potential overcapacity.

MSCI Country: China

Asia Strategist's Recommended Weight: 10.8% MSCI Asia/Pac All Country Ex Jp Weight: 8.0%

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

end of industry performance. Furthermore, Suntech is already producing at 240u thick wafers and has plans to have a 200u wafer line in 2H06. Furthermore, by improving the optics at its module line and packaging design, it can save costs compared with its peers.

Cost leader. We believe that Suntech is cost-competitive with other cost leaders in the industry, such as Q-Cells. Based on 3Q05 financials, Q-Cells appears to incur an operating cost of around US\$1.9 per Watt. This is similar to Suntech's cost of US\$1.9 to US\$1.95 for cell manufacturing. This cost structure looks to be very competitive versus other cell and module manufacturers.

China advantage. We believe that Suntech derives two key advantages by being in China. First, it is able to secure raw material from new suppliers to complement its raw material sourcing from traditional international sources. Second, it is able to lower its costs moderately (by 1-2%) due to lower labor costs and use of scrap wafers. However, in future, it is also likely to benefit from China's imminent Renewable Energy Act, which hops to achieve an installed base of 1,000 MW by 2020.

Investment Risks

Low entry barriers. We believe that entry barriers are relatively low in this business. Due to the scarcity of raw material, access to raw material (established long-term contracts) and financial strength (to pay advances) are the key entry barriers currently. However, these barriers may not exist beyond 2007 (due to ramp up of Polysilicon capacity) which we think could lead to rapid industry fragmentation from that point. While there is some differentiation in the implementation of current technology (batch processing of silicon wafers), we think it is insufficient to be a material entry barrier.

Raw material scarcity. Due to the scarcity of raw material in the industry, Suntech is now planning to source up to 60% of its silicon and wafer requirements in FY06 from domestic Chinese suppliers. As some of these are relatively new producers of these key raw materials, we believe that Suntech may be exposed to execution-related risks at these suppliers. In a tight market, Suntech may not be able to replace these suppliers with other suppliers in the short term. Furthermore, we believe that wafer and silicon prices in China are higher than in the international market by up to 10%, hence Suntech may have to incur higher costs.

Margin compression. We believe that the current gross margin of 30%+ and operating margin of 20%+ in the industry

is probably too good to last. Over the longer term we think margin compression is likely to be driven by industry fragmentation beyond 2007 and limited cost differentiation (up to 9-10%) by the leading player versus the industry average. In the near term, it is likely to be driven by an inability to increase ASP (due to a reduction in feed-in tariff in Germany and a sales shift towards less attractive markets) despite anticipated increases in raw material prices. While cost reductions from higher conversion efficiency and thinner wafers should partially mitigate the increase in wafer costs, we believe that they may not be sufficient to offset it entirely.

Disruptive technologies. We believe that, over the next few years, the industry may well witness successful commercialization of thin film technologies and nano technologies. This poses two potential risks for Suntech. First, if it is not able to adopt these technologies, it may become uncompetitive on cost and hence lose market share. Second, if the thin film technologies are radically cost-competitive, it may make current manufacturing facilities unviable and, hence, require write-offs.

High expectations. We believe the recent run in the stock price has factored in high expectations for production ramp-up as well as profitability for FY06 and FY07. While these expectations may be achievable, they are near the optimistic end of the range of potential outcomes, in our view. Hence, at this stage, we see the likelihood of a downside surprise in terms of execution outweighing the possibility of a positive surprise.

Oil prices. We find that stock prices for solar stocks are highly correlated with changes in global oil prices. Recently, global oil prices have once again spiked up to close to record levels. While our ability to predict oil prices is limited, we think any correction in the oil price would likely erase some of the valuation premium in Suntech's stock price.

Currency risk. Due to its significant sales in Europe, we believe that Suntech has net currency exposure to the euro. We estimate that every 1% depreciation in the euro is likely to hurt Suntech's revenue by 0.7% and its gross margins by about 0.3%. Since its manufacturing base is in China, Suntech has a large proportion of its costs in domestic currency. We believe that every 1% appreciation in the Rmb is likely to hurt its margins by 0.15%.

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Valuation: Rich

We believe we need to make three specific adjustments to our earnings estimates before we attempt to value Suntech or its stock.

Dilution from Options – Suntech issued two tranches of options to senior executives and consultants prior to its IPO. These options have a three-year staggered exercise plan with exercise prices of US\$2.31 and US\$6.92 per share respectively. As these options are deep in the money, we deem the likelihood of conversion to be very high. Hence, we assume fully diluted outstanding shares of 155mn, and not 144.7mn.

Income Taxation – Suntech enjoys a preferential income tax rate of 7.5% on its business, which will revert to 15% from FY08. Hence, for long term investors it may be worthwhile adjusting the FY2006-07 tax rate to this higher rate to estimate true recurring earnings.

Stock Based Compensation – Management has board approval to issue up to 8m stock options over a year period. Since it has already issued 6.1m options, it has room to issue a further 1.9m options. Hence, besides the charge for already issued options, we estimate that there will be future charges for option issuance. Since these charges are likely to recur until at least 2010, we treat these as recurring and charge them in the income statement as per Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 1

Suntech: Stock-based Compensation

	06e	07e	08e
Charged in G&A	(6.66)	(5.33)	(1.79)
Charged in COGS	(2.03)	(1.63)	(0.55)
Charged in R&D	(2.59)	(2.08)	(0.70)
Total	(11.28)	(9.04)	(3.04)

Source: Company Data, Morgan Stanley Research

Suntech's Valuation Is Rich

We believe that Suntech is executing well and, hence, is likely to produce very strong profit growth. However, the current expectations in the stock price seem high.

We believe that Suntech's current stock price is now about 20% above our intrinsic value estimate. However, from our experience with other high-growth sectors, we believe that the market often pays a 10-20% premium over the intrinsic value in the initial period.

While we understand that valuing stock for a young fastgrowing company with limited free float is tricky, we have tried to bracket our stock valuation based on the following:

- 1. Intrinsic value based on residual income methodology.
- 2. Relative valuation based on P/E and growth.

Based on our estimates, we set the target price at US\$39 per share. This implies 46.8x on fully diluted FY06 EPS, 9.5x (fully diluted) on FY06 revenue.

We believe that part of the premium in Suntech's stock valuation may be due to the firm oil price. While it is difficult to predict the direction of the oil price, we estimate that any correction in the oil price could drive a 15-20% correction in Suntech's stock price.

Exhibit 2 Suntech: Stock Price Target

	<u> </u>	
Methodology		Stock Price
Intrinsic Value	20% prem to RI	US\$40.2
P/E (25% prem to Asian Tech) 22x FY07	US\$37.2
Average		US\$ 39.0

Source: Morgan Stanley Research

Our intrinsic value estimate is based on a Residual Income valuation. It assumes 33% growth in production over the next 10 years (which implies a steady market share gain based on industry growth of 30%); a 7% p.a. reduction in the average selling price; a 6% p.a. reduction in cash costs; and hence a gradual decline in the operating profit margin from 21% in FY05 to 18% over the next 10 years.

For a young industry, it is hard to estimate a P/E-based valuation as the sustainable growth rates are not well understood. Based on our analysis, we expect average growth of 55% from FY06 to FY08. Due to this strong growth, we believe Suntech warrants a premium P/E valuation on FY07 EPS.

Impact of Oil Price

As is evident in Exhibit 3, Suntech's stock price is closely correlated with the oil price. Hence, if the oil price continues to rise, we would expect Sunech's stock valuation to be further stretched.

Exhibit 3



Source: Factset, Morgan Stanley Research

What Is Implied in the Stock Price?

We believe that the current stock price has factored in earnings and revenue expectations, which we think are unrealistically high and, hence, may be difficult to achieve, despite the company's very good execution.

Earnings expectations based on P/E – As we have said, it is difficult to estimate an appropriate P/E valuation for Suntech. However, even if we assume that Suntech trades at a 25% premium to the average FY07 P/E valuation of high quality Asian Technology stocks, the current Suntech stock price seems to be factoring in an EPS of US\$0.93 for FY06. Similarly, a benchmarking on the basis of the P/E of global solar companies implies that the stock price has factored in an EPS of US\$0.96 for FY06.

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Exhibit 4 Suntech: P/E Implied Expectations – Asian Tech								
Description								
Avg. Asian Tech P/E (FY 07)	17.8x							
Suntech								
Expected P/E (FY 07)	22.0x							
FY 07 EPS growth	98%							
Expected P/E (FY 06)	43.5x							
Implied EPS (FY 06)	0.93							

Source: Morgan Stanley Research

Note: Average Asian Tech P/E calculated on the basis of Hon Hai, TSMC, Samsung Electronics and Infosys.

Exhibit 5							
Suntech:	P/E In	nplied	Exp	oectati	ons –	Globa	l Solar

Description

Avg. Solar Cell P/E (FY 06)	41.8x
Suntech Implied EPS (FY 06)	0.96

Source: Morgan Stanley Research

Note: Average Solar Cell P/E calculated on the basis of Q-Cells, Motech, ErSol.

Revenue expectations based on P/Rev – We find that global solar cell companies are trading at an average P/Rev of 6.6x. This implies a revenue expectation of US\$1bn in FY06, which may require a production output of about 285MW (based on 80% modules and 20% cells), which we believe is unlikely.

Growth expectations based on Intrinsic Value – We believe that if Suntech's production volume were to show a CAGR of 38% for the next 10 years, instead of the 25-30% growth expected for the industry, the current stock price would

Risks to Target Price

be fully justified.

Execution-related risks, particularly regarding the sourcing of raw material, in our view, is the most material downside risk to earnings and hence price target. Furthermore, the stock price is highly correlated with oil prices. Significant changes in FX rates for Euro (positive) and Rmb (negative) would also have an impact on our target price.

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Exhibit 6 **Comparative Valuation**

		Re	v Growth	T		P/Rev		EP	S Growth	T		P/E	
Company	Price	05E	06E	07E	05E	06E	07E	05E	06E	07E	05E	06E	07E
Integrated Companies													
SolarWorld*	167	71%	34%	21%	6.2	4.6	3.8	131%	27%	18%	45.9	36.0	30.5
Cell/Module Manufacture	rs												
Suntech	42	163%	184%	90%	24.8	8.7	4.6	2%	272%	103%	190.2	51.1	25.2
Sunpower*	35	601%	172%	57%	3.6	1.3	0.8	N/M	N/M	93%	N/M	112.2	58 1
Motech*	580	75%	8.3%	28%	11.3	6.2	4.8	391%	81%	16%	70.6	39.0	33.5
Q-Cells*	85	110%	100%	100%	11.6	5.8	2.9	-22%	54%	33%	83.0	53.8	40.5
Ersol*	62	100%	90%	90%	11.2	5.9	3.1	294%	140%	44%	86.7	36.1	25 1
Kyocera*	9.080	0%	8%	5%	1.5	1.4	1.3	42%	25%	5%	26.1	20.9	19.9
Sharp	2,020	6%	11%	15%	0.8	0.7	0.7	18%	18%	30%	24.4	20.7	15.9
Wafer Manufacturers													
MEMC*	27	10%	17%	14%	5.0	4.2	3.7	1%	38%	12%	25.8	18.7	16.7
SUMCO*	6,110	13%	13%	9%	3.3	3.0	2.7	64%	38%	16%	34.6	25.0	21.5
Silicon Manufacturers													
Tokuyama	1,982	9%	4%	2%	2.1	2.0	2.0	5%	36%	11%	43.8	32.1	29.0
Assemblers & Installers													
Conergy*	106	93%	43%	26%	1.9	1.4	1.1	98%	51%	27%	38.6	25.5	20.1
Sekisui Chemical*	929	3%	3%	5%	0.6	0.6	0.5	-6%	26%	13%	23.9	18.9	16.8
Carmanah*	4	125%	73%	N/A	4.2	2.5	N/A	85%	170%	N/A	101.4	37.5	N/A
Solartron PLC*	8	53%	-43%	N/A	1.5	2.6	N/A	-33%	33%	N/A	14.3	10.8	N/A
Solon*	35	98%	65%	19%	1.6	1.0	0.8	116%	60%	40%	35.7	22.2	15.8
Solar-Fabrik*	12	23%	83%	25%	1.5	0.8	0.7	85%	224%	44%	59.7	18.4	12.7
Internet													
Google	434	106%	61%	41%	21.4	13.3	9.4	228%	47%	35%	78.6	53.3	39.6
Yahoo	35	43%	31%	27%	13.5	10.3	8.1	61%	-19%	81%	61.2	75.3	41.5
Baidu	52	167%	68%	64%	6.7	4.0	2.4	167%	129%	86%	376.4	164.4	88.3
eBay	44	39%	29%	34%	13.5	10.5	7.8	42%	17%	31%	51.0	43.4	33.1
High Growth Asian Tech	Companies												
Largan	608	49%	116%	30%	17.0	7.9	6.1	60%	173%	36%	65.5	24.0	177
HTC	679	66%	30%	26%	4.0	3.1	2.5	N/M	33%	47%	33.5	25.2	17.1
PPT	82	78%	47%	16%	4.4	3.0	2.6	343%	75%	8%	29.3	16.7	15.4
Catcher	236	97%	42%	26%	6.8	4.8	3.8	174%	44%	23%	21.9	15.2	12.4
FIH	13	88%	71%	24%	1.9	1.1	0.9	49%	90%	26%	33.1	17.4	13.9
High Quality Asian Tech	companies		100	0.50		~ =		0.05		0.001	o	10.0	
Hon Hai	215	62%	42%	25%	1.0	0.7	0.6	30%	61%	33%	31.0	19.3	14.4
ISMC	64	3%	23%	16%	5.9	4.8	4.1	5%	35%	19%	20.7	15.4	12.9
Samsung Electronics	/16,000	2%	18%	12%	1.8	1.5	1.4	-26%	16%	15%	15.2	13.2	11.4
Intosys	2,831	34%	31%	25%	8.1	6.2	4.9	32%	28%	19%	31.1	24.4	20.6

E = Morgan Stanley Research estimates, except for companies marked * (for which IBES consensus estimates are shown) Source: Company data, Morgan Stanley Research, IBES

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Exhibit 7 **Comparative Valuation**

		0	o. Margin			ROE			P/B		EBI	DA Growt	h
Company	Price	05E	06E	07E	05E	06E	07E	05E	06E	07E	05E	06E	07E
Integrated Companies													
SolarWorld*	167	23%	21%	19%	22%	22%	21%	10.1	8.1	6.5	90%	30%	17%
Cell/Module Manufacture	rs												
Suntech	42	21%	21%	23%	10%	27%	37%	18.2	13.8	9.3	121%	194%	102%
Sunpower*	35	-11%	11%	16%	-5%	8%	13%	8.0	8.6	7.4	N/M	74%	81%
Motech*	580	-11%	11%	16%	35%	43%	36%	24.5	16.6	12.1	102%	67%	40%
Q-Cells*	85	25%	23%	22%	12%	15%	17%	9.7	8.2	6.9	178%	48%	37%
Evergreen Solar	14	-46%	-10%	12%	-24%	-22%	4%	11.3	15.8	11.4	N/M	N/M	5475%
Sunways	18	N/A	N/A	N/A	6%	31%	33%	10.4	8.3	5.8	28%	191%	39%
Ersol*	62	13%	20%	13%	3%	10%	13%	3.0	3.6	3.3	166%	159%	47%
Kyocera*	9,080	8%	9%	10%	5%	6%	6%	1.4	1.3	1.3	0%	20%	7%
Sharp	2,020	6%	6%	6%	8%	9%	11%	2.1	1.9	1.8	10%	13%	17%
Wafer Manufacturers													
MEMC*	27	26%	29%	33%	32%	31%	25%	8.2	5.7	4.1	35%	49%	7%
SUMCO*	6,110	20%	22%	23%	14%	16%	16%	4.8	4.0	3.4	18%	18%	14%
Silicon Manufacturers													
Tokuyama	1,982	9%	12%	13%	8%	10%	10%	3.5	3.3	3.0	18%	21%	9%
Assemblers & Installers													
Conergy*	106	8%	8%	7%	19%	23%	24%	7.2	5.8	4.8	124%	44%	29%
Sekisui Chemical*	929	5%	5%	6%	6%	8%	8%	1.5	1.4	1.4	8%	14%	10%
Carmanah*	4	4%	10%	N/A	1%	4%	N/A	1.1	1.3	N/A	79%	146%	N/A
Solartron PLC*	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	17%	19%	N/A	2.4	2.1	N/A	37%	-28%	N/A
Solon*	35	5%	7%	N/A	14%	19%	N/A	5.1	4.3	N/A	177%	78%	30%
Solar-Fabrik*	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	4%	12%	14%	2.5	2.1	1.8	96%	184%	34%
Internet													
Google	434	54%	55%	56%	18%	21%	22%	14.4	11.4	8.6	144%	64%	43%
Yahoo	35	30%	21%	32%	5%	4%	6%	2.9	2.7	2.5	52%	3%	65%
Baidu	52	11%	16%	21%	3%	7%	10%	11.8	10.7	9.1	201%	83%	82%
eBay	44	35%	34%	33%	13%	12%	12%	6.7	5.4	4.1	37%	28%	30%
High Growth Asian Tech	Companies												
Largan	608	26%	38%	41%	18%	34%	33%	11.7	8.1	5.9	75%	159%	35%
HTC	679	7%	8%	9%	44%	45%	54%	14.9	11.4	9.2	485%	50%	36%
PPT	82	17%	20%	19%	18%	25%	24%	5.1	4.1	3.6	112%	72%	10%
Catcher	236	34%	35%	34%	35%	32%	28%	7.6	4.9	3.5	131%	43%	24%
FIH	13	1%	1%	1%	23%	29%	27%	7.5	5.1	3.7	92%	76%	25%
High Quality Asian Tech 0	Companies												
Hon Hai	215	4%	5%	5%	18%	21%	22%	5.5	4.1	3.2	44%	49%	31%
TSMC	64	29%	32%	32%	17%	21%	22%	3.5	3.2	2.9	7%	23%	13%
Samsung Electronics	716,000	15%	15%	15%	23%	23%	23%	3.6	3.0	2.6	-16%	26%	5%
Infosys	2,831	29%	28%	27%	32%	31%	28%	10.0	7.5	5.7	35%	27%	21%

E = Morgan Stanley Research estimates, except for companies marked * (for which IBES consensus estimates are shown) Source: FactSet, IBES, Morgan Stanley Research

Exhibit 8 Suntech: Residual Income Valuation

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Total Equity	498	730	992	1,323	1,660	1,934	2,219	2,541	2,887
Core Net Profit	132	262	333	447	511	506	531	583	621
Return on Equity (%)	26.5	35.9	33.6	33.8	30.8	26.1	23.9	22.9	21.5
Residual Income	73	159	203	275	310	290	309	329	332
Beginning Equity Capital	380	498							
PV of Forecast Period	1,481	1,550							
PV of Continuing Value	3,256	3,582							
Proceeds from Options	72	72							
Equity Value	5,117	5,629							
No. of Shares (mn)	155	155							
Projected Price (US\$)	33.5	36.8							

Source: Morgan Stanley Research estimates

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Exhibit 9 Suntech: Quarterly Income Statement

US\$m	2004	1Q05	2Q05	3Q05	4Q05E	2005E	1Q06E	2Q06E	3Q06E	4Q06E	2006E	2007E
Turnover	85	38	42	57	87	224	109	152	178	199	638	1,213
YoY Growth (%)	516	610	158	119	132	163	186	260	213	128	184	90
Less: COGS	(60)	(25)	(27)	(40)	(59)	(151)	(76)	(108)	(125)	(140)	(449)	(840)
Gross Profit	25	13	15	17	28	73	32	45	53	59	189	374
% margin (%)	29	35	36	29	32	33	30	29	30	30	30	31
YoY Growth (%)	833					191	143	196	219	111	159	98
Operating Expenses:	(5)	(2)	(6)	(7)	(11)	(26)	(10)	(13)	(14)	(16)	(53)	(95)
R&D	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(2)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(11)	(23)
Sales and Marketing	(2)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(5)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(15)	(29)
General and Admin	(3)	(1)	(5)	(5)	(7)	(18)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(26)	(43)
Operating Profit	20	11	9	10	17	47	23	32	39	43	136	279
% margin (%)	23	30	21	18	19	21	21	21	22	22	21	23
YoY Growth (%)	2558					135					190	105
Forex gain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)
Interest expense	(1)	(0)	(6)	(1)	(0)	(7)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Interest income	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	6	7
Other income (expense)	0	0	(1)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)	(2)
Equity Income from Affiliates	(0)	(0)	(0)	0	0	(0)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pretax profit	19	11	2	9	17	39	24	33	40	44	140	283
% margin (%)	22	29	5	16	19	17	22	22	22	22	22	23
Тах	1	(1)	(0)	(1)	(2)	(4)	(2)	(3)	(3)	(4)	(11)	(22)
Net income	20	10	2	8	15	35	22	30	36	41	129	261
EPS (\$)	0.22	0.11	0.01	0.07	0.09	0.22	0.14	0.20	0.23	0.26	0.83	1.69

E = Morgan Stanley Research estimates Source: Company data, Morgan Stanley Research

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Solar Industry: Growth Drivers

We believe that the solar cell industry could grow by 30% to 35% pa in volume terms until 2020, which should translate to 23-26% growth in industry revenue. Strong growth is being driven essentially by incentive programs provided by various governments around the world. These governments provide incentives for three key reasons, in our view:

- 1. Environmental reasons (Kyoto Protocol)
- 2. Balanced energy policies
- 3. Incubation of solar technology to make it cost-competitive

Environmental Reasons

It is widely understood that the cost of global warming is being felt directly as well as indirectly. The single largest contributor to global warming is pollution from energy generation. We cannot reverse global warming without a transition to renewable energy.

Exhibit 10





Source: EPA

Kyoto Protocol. The Kyoto Protocol is an agreement under which industrialized countries will reduce their collective emissions of greenhouse gases by 5.2% compared with the level in 1990 (compared with the emissions levels that would be expected by 2010 without the Protocol, this target represents a 29% reduction). The goal is to lower overall emissions from six greenhouse gases – CO_2 , methane, nitrous oxide, sulfur hexafluoride, HFCs, and PFCs – calculated as an average over the five-year period 2008-12. National targets range from an 8% reduction for the EU and some others to 7% for the US, 6% for Japan, 0% for Russia, and permitted increases of 8% for Australia and 10% for lceland.

Exhibit 11 Global Carbon Emissions



Source: Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center

Each country has agreed to limit emissions to the levels described in the protocol, but many countries have limits that are set above their current production. These "extra amounts" can be purchased by other countries on the open market. This rewards countries that meet their targets, and provides financial incentives to others to do so as soon as possible. Countries also receive credits through various shared "clean energy" programs and "carbon dioxide sinks" in the form of forests and other systems that remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere.

Current status. The treaty was negotiated in Kyoto, Japan in December 1997. The agreement came into force on February 16, 2005 following ratification by Russia on November 18, 2004. As of September 2005, a total of 156 countries have ratified the agreement (representing over 61% of global emissions). Notable exceptions include the US and Australia.

Exhibit 12 Current Status of Kyoto Protocol



Note : Dark green indicates countries that have signed and ratified the treaty and yellow indicates states that have signed and hope to ratify the treaty. Notably, Australia and the US have signed but, currently, decline to ratify it. Source: Kyoto Protocol

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Considerable support from EU. The EU produces around 22% of global greenhouse gas emissions, and has agreed to a cut, on average, by 8% from 1990 emission levels. The EU has consistently been one of the major supporters of the Kyoto Protocol, negotiating hard to get other countries to agree.

In December 2002, the EU created a system of emissions trading in an effort to meet these tough targets. Quotas were introduced in six key industries: energy, steel, cement, glass, brick making, and paper/cardboard. There are also fines for member nations that fail to meet their obligations, starting at €40/ton of carbon dioxide in 2005, and rising to €100/ton in 2008. Current EU projections suggest that by 2008 the EU will be at 4.7% below 1990 levels.

Balanced Energy Policy – Increasing Energy Security

Investing in solar power diversifies sources of energy. Currently such power accounts for less than a tenth of 1% of global electricity consumption.

Incubating Solar Technology to Make It Cost-Competitive

The cost of solar energy has declined by more than 70% since 1980 and should continue to decline with technology advances. Driven by strong industry growth and profitability, the industry is attracting new capital and technology

innovation to reduce its cost structure and improve conversion efficiency. Over the past decade, cost declines have been only about 5% pa, but we expect these cost declines to accelerate to 6-7% pa. As a result, we expect solar power to become economically viable without incentives in a few countries before 2020. From the perspective of governments, if the industry is supported until it becomes cost-competitive, it could resolve a major issue.

Global Incentive Structures

While grid electricity costs are still a lot lower than those of solar electricity, demand is being stimulated through various incentive programs provided by governments. Typical incentive programs include feed-in tariffs, tax refunds, subsidies for PV systems and low-interest loans. Of these, the most widely adopted and successful measure is feed-in tariffs. Under this structure, users sell back electricity to the national grid at a preferential price and hence are able to generate reasonable economic returns. As a result, PV system can be considered an attractive investment, with an internal rate of return, or IRR, of as high as 7% in some European countries.

We believe that the global incentive programs for the solar industry will likely improve in the future. We expect countries such as China, US states (other than California) and EU countries such as Greece to join the fray.

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Exhibit 13 Major Markets' PV Incentives and Targets

Country	Electricity Co	nsumption	Incentive Program	% from Re	enewable	PV as	Current PV	PV Target	Likely
-	2004	2010	-	2004	2010	% of	Installed	-	Cross Over
	TWh	TWh			R	enewable	(MW)		Year
Australia	236	288	No feed-in tariffs	8%			52		
Austria	60	62	Feed-in tariff: 0.60 €/kWp < 20 kWp. 0.47 €/kWp > 20 kWp	67%		0.04%	19		2020
Belgium & Lux	x 90	101	0.45 €/kWh feed-in tariffs in both Belgium &	3%					
Canada	568	573	no feed-in tariffs	59%			14		
China	2 197	3 660		10/			25	1.000 by	
China	2,107	3,003		170				2020	
Czech	84	105	0.19 € /kWh feed-in tariff guaranteed for 15	4%	8%			2020	
Republic	01	100	vears	170	0,0				
Donmark	40	13	no food in tariffe	240/			2		
Dennark	40	40		24 /0		0.040/	2		
Finland	86	105	0.0042 €/kvvn tax refund and up to 30%	26%		0.01%	3		
Franco	570	621	Residential DV installations, or £0.225/kWh	150/	210/	0.029/	26		2017
France	572	631	Residential PV Installations, of E0.225/kWn,	15%	21%	0.03%	20		2017
0	0.07			400/		4.400/	70.4		0000
Germany	607	660	0.518 €/KWN for root tops and 0.406 €/KWN for	10%		1.16%	794		2022
_			open in 2006. Will reduce by 5% each year						
Greece	60	78	0.078 €/kWh on islands and 0.07 €/kWh on the	8%		0.10%	4		
			mainland. Grants for 40-50% of total cost.						
India	651	882	50% capital subsidy for solar home systems		10%				
					(2012)				
Italy	300	347	Feed-in tariffs is €0.445/kwh for 2005-06 and reduces by 2% every year from 2007. Will last for 20 years from 2005	17%		0.07%	31		2013
Japan	1,110	1,207	Grants for domestic PV roofs, and net metering	10%			1,132	4,800 by	2017
	040		support provided by utilities	000/			10	2010	
Mexico	210	260		20%		0.070/	18		
Netherlands	98	112	0.068 €/KWN feed-in tariffs	6%		0.67%	49		
Norway	110	103		99%		0.00%	7		
Poland	154	165		3%					
Portugal	46	58	€ 0.30/kWh feed-in tariff for plants bigger than 5kW and € 0.51/kWh for smaller plants	29%		0.03%	3		
Russia	931	980							
South Africa	245	291							
South Korea	374	559	KRW 716.4 /kWh feed-in tariff, guaranteed for	2%				1,300 by	
			15 years					2012	
Spain	278	389	€ 0.414/kWh) for <100kW PV systems, will remain in effect for 25 years	22%		0.08%	37		2021
Sweden	148	148	no feed-in tariffs	49%		0.00%	4		
Switzerland	66	67	CHE 0 15/kWb (0 095 €/kWb) feed-in tariff +	55%		0.06%	23		
Ownzenana	00	07	financial support	0070		0.0070	20		
Toiwon	210	204	no food in toriffo						
Taiwan	210	304	no reed-in tantis	200/					
Тигкеу	152	222	0.05 €/kwn feed-in tariffs for 7 years	32%		0.049/	0		
United	400	448	no feed-in tariffs	4%		0.04%	8		
Kingdom									
USA	4,150	4,635	Netmetering + grant for \$2.80/W (PV) + 15% for owner occupied. Reduce by \$0.20 every 6 months from 1 Jan 05	9%			365		
	4 150	1 625	Netmetering \pm grant for $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	00/			265		2024
Colifornia	4,150	4,000	for owner occupied Poduce gradually aver	970			303		2021
Galilottia			next 10 years.						

Source: Morgan Stanley Research, EPIA, Greenpeace

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Current Global Market

Exhibit 14

As with many high-growth markets, exact estimates on the current market size are not available. Our rough analysis, which draws on estimates from a number of sources, suggests that there is currently around 4.5 GW of installed capacity globally. Of this amount, we believe that around 1.3 GW was installed last year.



Source: Morgan Stanley Research estimates, based on data from IEA-PVPS, World Energy Council, Greenpeace

Exhibit 15 PV Equipment Sales, 2005



Source: Morgan Stanley Research estimates, based on data from IEA-PVPS, World Energy Council, Greenpeace

We believe that last year Germany was the biggest market for new system installations. We estimate that Germany installed between 550MW and 600 MW last year, followed by Japan with about 35 MW. Germany has been the largest market for the past few years due to a very attractive incentive program, which ensures high IRR on installed systems, particularly in southern Germany. However, with a 5% reduction in feed-in tariff and increase in system prices, we believe that Germany has become less appealing. We think some of the growth shortfall this year could be filled by Spain, Italy and perhaps California, given attractive or new incentives in these markets.



PV Equipment Sales

Exhibit 16

Source: Morgan Stanley Research estimates, based on data from IEA-PVPS, World Energy Council, Greenpeace

Raw Material: Shortages

Polysilicon is a crucial raw material in the industry. Currently there is severe shortage of polysilicon and we expect this to last until 2008. As a result, the ability to secure polysilicon and solar grade wafers has become a crucial success factor in the industry.

The solar industry shares polysilicon supply with semiconductor industry. Due to significant value add on raw wafer by the semiconductor industry, we believe that the semiconductor industry is in a far better position to pay higher wafer prices.

Until 2005, the solar industry was able to compensate for polysilicon production shortfalls with inventory built over the past lean cycle; we believe 2006 is likely to be the first year that industry demand is not fully met.

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Exhibit 17 Solar Food Chain



Source: Company data, Morgan Stanley Research

Due to very rapid growth in production of solar cells (30%+ CAGR for next decade and 40%+ for next two years, on our estimates), we expect polysilicon demand to grow very strongly. However, we forecast demand from the electronics industry to grow at just mid single digit levels. As a result, the solar industry should become a bigger consumer in the polysilicon market.

While in the past the solar industry was able to grow at an unrestricted pace despite limited production of polysilicon, we believe that in 2006 and 2007, this could become a real constraint. In 2005 the shortfall was satisfied by inventory from past years. However, inventory now appears to have been depleted, and hence will likely be unable to cover the demand shortfall fully in 2006. We see a risk that this shortage may limit solar industry production growth to low teens (as compared with potential demand growth of 40%+). Hence, unless the industry is able to ramp up its Polysilicon capacity rapidly, our forecasts may require downward revisions.

Exhibit 18 Global Polysilicon Demand



E = Morgan Stanley Research estimates

Source: Company data, Morgan Stanley Research

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power



Global Polysilicon: Demand & Supply

Exhibit 20

Exhibit 19

Polysilicon Sufficiency: Solar Industry



E = Morgan Stanley Research estimates Source: Company data, Morgan Stanley Research

Suntech: Annualized Capacity



Source: Company data, Morgan Stanley Research

Manufacturing Capacity

We expect Suntech's manufacturing capacity to grow about 5x over the next three years. Capacity was 120 MWp in 4Q05, and we expect it to reach 280 MWp by the end of this year, driven by installation of five or more lines.

We understand that Suntech has placed orders for most of the crucial equipment, such as PV CVD and In-line Screen Printing. Hence, equipment delays are unlikely to constrain its capacity ramp. However, if Suntech faces difficulty in securing an adequate amount of raw materials, it may have to slowdown its ramp-up.

Wafer Supply

We believe Suntech has signed large supply contracts (mostly with domestic Chinese suppliers), which should mostly cover its requirements for FY06. We estimate that it is 90%-covered for FY06 and about 75% covered for FY08. About half of these contracts are fixed price, with the rest variable price (to be fixed quarterly or six monthly).

As Exhibit 22 indicates, we estimate that about 55% (plus about 10% via contract business) of wafer supply for 2006 will come from domestic Chinese suppliers. For 2007, we think domestic supply may account for about 65% of its overall wafer requirements. Some of these suppliers (such as Louyang and LDK Solar) are relatively young companies that are trying to ramp up their own production very rapidly - if there are any execution difficulties, Suntech could suffer.

Exhibit 22 Suntech: Silicon Sufficiency

	2004	2005	2006e	2007e	2008e
Capacity (MWp)	41	85	220	413	585
Production (MWp)	29	66	189	372	572
Wafer Supply Contracts	30	70	201	343	441
Solar World			25	30	36
LDK			30	100	100
Baoding Yingli			20	30	30
Louyang			30	42	91
Others			30	30	30
Contract			66	112	154
Current Sufficiency (%)	103	106	106	92	77

e = Morgan Stanley Research estimates

Source: Company data, Morgan Stanley Research

Source: Company data, Morgan Stanley Research

Exhibit 21

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Cost Structure

Wafer and other raw material costs account for about 60% of revenue for Suntech. Due to shortage of polysilicon, we expect contract polysilicon prices to increase and, as a result, we expect wafer costs for Suntech to increase.

Polysilicon contract prices are currently close to US\$60 per kg and could easily rise to more than US\$70 per kg over the rest of the year, in our view (implying a 17-20% increase). Hence, we expect ingot and wafer slicing companies to see some margin squeeze such that wafer prices may in turn increase by 11-13% from current levels.

We expect Suntech to respond to an increase in wafer prices by using thinner wafers and improving conversion efficiency. As a result, we expect COGS (per Watt) to increase by only 3% to 4%.

As we discuss later, we believe that Suntech has very limited ability to pass on cost increases to its customers, due to a reduction in feed-in tariffs in its largest market (Germany) and growth from lower-yielding markets in FY06 and FY07.



Suntech: Raw Material Prices



e = Morgan Stanley Research estimates Source: Company data, Morgan Stanley Research

Exhibit 24 Suntech: Cost Management

	2005	2006	2007
Wafer Cost (5")	2.79	3.71	4.28
Wafer Mix (By Thickr	iess)		
270u	43%	33%	5%
240u	58%	53%	50%
210u	0%	15%	45%
180u	0%	0%	0%
Conversion Efficienc	y		
Mono	16.3%	17.1%	18.0%
Multi	14.6%	15.4%	16.2%
COGS (\$/W)	2.28	2.42	2.25

Source: Morgan Stanley Research estimates

Exhibit 25 Suntech: Cost Structure

	2005	2006
Revenue	100%	100%
COGS	67.5%	70.4%
Wafer Cost	48.0%	50.6%
Other Raw Materials	10.1%	10.3%
Labour Cost	1.1%	1.1%
Other Overheads	6.8%	6.5%
Depreciation	1.3%	1.5%
Gross Margin	32.5%	29.6%
Operating Expenses	11.6%	8.2%
R&D	1.5%	1.7%
Sales & Mktg	2.1%	2.4%
General & Admin	8.0%	4.1%
Operating Margin	20.9%	21.4%

Source: Morgan Stanley Research estimates

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

End Markets

As shown in Exhibit 15, Germany, Japan and the US are the largest end markets for PV equipment. However, for Suntech, Germany is by far the largest market, accounting for more than half of its sales in FY05. This, combined with 7% of sales from Spain and an additional 18% from the rest of the Europe (primarily Italy, France and some distributors) is likely to result in Europe accounting for 79% of its FY05 sales.

Exhibit 26 Suntech: Revenue Mix

	2003	2004	2005e	2006e	2007e
Europe (%)	25	89	79	79	77
Germany (%)	19	72	54	49	46
Spain (%)	0	2	7	10	9
Others (%)	6	15	18	20	22
China (%)	46	8	17	15	13
South Africa (%)	25	2	0	0	0
US (%)	0	0	1	4	9
Rest of the World (%)	4	1	2	1	0

e = Morgan Stanley Research estimates

Source: Company data, Morgan Stanley Research

We expect this dependence on Europe to be maintained in FY06. However, we expect Spain and Italy to account for a larger share. Furthermore, Suntech's sales into the US should grow strongly over the next two years due to new incentive programs and also appointment of new partners such as Powerlight in California and a distributor in each of New Jersey and the Mid West. We believe that recent UAL qualification will also help Suntech grow its share in the US market. On the other hand, Japan remains a very competitive market to access for Suntech and we do not expect any sales in Japan in the near term.

Due to its significant sales in Europe, we believe that Suntech has net currency exposure to the euro. We estimate that every 1% depreciation in the euro is likely to hurt Suntech's revenue by 0.7% and its gross margins by about 0.3%. Since its manufacturing base is in China, Suntech has a large proportion of its costs in domestic currency. We believe that every 1% appreciation in the Rmb is likely to hurt its margins by 0.15%.

Exhibit 27 Suntech: Revenue Mix by Currency, 2005e



Source: Company data, Morgan Stanley Research

ASP Trends

We believe that, despite the increased costs, Suntech will not be able to increase its selling prices and hence will see a decline in margins in FY06. The key reason for its inability to pass on cost increases, in our view, is the reduction in feed-in tariffs in its largest market (Germany) and growth from loweryielding markets in FY06 and FY07.

We believe that, due to a reduction in the buyback rate, the IRR on a typical installation in Germany will fall from 6.4% in FY05 to 5.1% in FY06 (even if we assume a modest decrease in the system selling price). In an environment of increasing interest rates, we would not expect this to be compelling to investors, and hence see a likelihood of downward pressure on ASPs in Germany.

Exhibit 28

Germany: PV Economics

	2005e	2006e	2007e
Module Price (per Watt)	3.47	3.47	3.47
Total System Cost (3 KWp)	18.86	18.75	18.53
System ASP (\$ per Watt)	6.29	6.25	6.18
FX Rate (Euro)	1.244	1.212	1.212
System Cost (€)	15.15	15.47	15.29
System ASP (€ per Watt)	5.05	5.16	5.10
Blended Buyback rate (€)	0.484	0.451	0.419
IRR	6.4%	5.1%	4.3%

Note: This assumes no change in module pricing. Source: Morgan Stanley Research estimates

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

As we show in Exhibits 29 to 31, the IRRs in most of the growth markets, such as Italy and California, are lower, on our estimates. As we expect Suntech to focus more on these markets, its blended ASP is unlikely to increase.

Exhibit 29 Spain: PV Economics

-			
	2005e	2006e	2007e
Module Price (per Watt)	3 70	4 00	4 00
Total System Cost	19.76	20.83	20.59
System ASP (\$ per Watt)	6.59	6.94	6.86
FX Rate (Euro)	1.244	1.212	1.212
System Cost (€)	15.88	17.19	16.99
System ASP (€per Watt)	5.29	5.73	5.66
Buyback rate (€)	0.414	0.414	0.414
IRR	8.8%	7.2%	7.4%

Note: This assumes no change in module pricing. Source: Morgan Stanley Research estimates

Exhibit 30

Italy: PV Economics

-			
	2005e	2006e	2007e
Module Price (per Watt)	3.47	3.47	3.47
Total System Cost	18.86	18.75	18.53
System ASP (\$ per Watt)	6.29	6.25	6.18
FX Rate (Euro)	1.244	1.212	1.212
System Cost (€)	15.15	15.47	15.29
System ASP (€per Watt)	5.05	5.16	5.10
Buyback rate (€)	0.445	0.445	0.436
IRR	5.7%	5.1%	5.0%

Note: This assumes no change in module pricing. Source: Morgan Stanley Research estimates

Exhibit 31

	2005e	2006e	2007e					
Module Price (per Watt) \$	3.47	3.47	3.47					
Total System Cost	18.86	18.75	18.65					
System ASP (\$ per Watt)	6.29	6.25	6.22					
Government Subsidy	6,000	6,000	6,000					
Residential Electricity Rates	0.093	0.154	0.157					
IRR	0.1%	0.7%	1.0%					

Note: This assumes no change in module pricing.

Source: Morgan Stanley Research estimates

Likely Surprises

We believe Suntech management is executing very well, based on its ability to secure raw material supply and ramp up production capacity, its cost reduction initiatives, its ability to target new end markets, the potential to build an upstream strategy as well as its strategy for inorganic growth. As a result, the stock market expectations have grown over the past few months. From here, we see very limited room for further positive surprises. However, if management is able to secure additional raw materials, it could potentially generate 17% higher earnings for FY06e and 35% higher earnings for FY07e. As discussed in the valuation section, we believe that the FY06 earnings potential is largely factored in the stock price.

Exhibit 32

Suntech: Earnings Scenario

	<u> </u>			
	05e	06e	07e	08e
Base Case				
Revenue	224.4	650.3	1,213.4	1,676.9
Shipment (MW)	66.5	188.9	372.5	572.1
Blended ASP (\$/Wp)	3.38	3.44	3.26	2.93
Operating Profit	47.0	139.2	278.9	385.4
Net Profit	34.6	132.0	261.9	333.4
EPS (fully diluted)	28.5	85.2	168.9	215.1
DPS	0.0	10.0	20.0	50.0
Optimistic Case				
Revenue	227.8	741.5	1,560.6	2,138.8
Shipment (MW)	67.4	213.7	469.6	692.1
Blended ASP (\$/Wp)	3.38	3.47	3.32	3.09
Operating Profit	47.8	164.7	381.3	577.2
Net Profit	35.0	155.2	354.6	498.1
EPS (fully diluted)	28.9	100.1	228.7	321.3
DPS	0.0	10.0	20.0	50.0

Source: Morgan Stanley Research estimates

Industry Surprises – Positive

We believe that the industry growth forecasts may be somewhat low, particularly looking beyond 2007. However, growth expectations for 2006-07 may be high as we think the market is likely to be constrained by limited polysilicon supply. While we expect potential demand growth of 45% p.a. for the next two years, we believe that limited polysilicon inventory and constrained production may limit 2006 industry growth to the low teens.

While the industry's ability to meet demand may be limited in the near term, we expect the incentive environment to improve, particularly due to impending announcements in the US (other than California) and potentially Greece and China.

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

We expect growth to shift from Germany to Spain and Italy, where the incentive structure is attractive and ROI is on a par or better than the former.

Suntech Surprises – Neutral

Exhibit 33

We believe the current stock price has factored in significant growth in revenues and profits for the next two years. While it

is executing well, we believe Suntech is unlikely to produce a significant positive surprise from the current level.

We believe that the company is in active discussions with various upstream manufacturers of polysilicon and, if it is able to take an equity stake or acquire a polysilicon manufacturer, it would be positive in the near term.

Incentive Programs Good incentives in Fl Germany, California, Italy, ne France, Spain, Portugal. m Industry Demand Industry forecasts 30% FI	lat to Positive. We believe that most of the existing programs are likely to stay intact, and we expect ew incentives in the US (states other than California), China and Greece. While incentives in the US hay be anticipated, we expect the overall incentive environment to improve.
Industry Demand Industry forecasts 30% Fi	at to Positive. Due to new incentive programs, we expect industry output to show a 35% pa CAGR
CAGR for next 10 years.	ver the next 10 years. For next three years, the growth rates may be 40%+.
Industry Structure Increased fragmentation No.	egative. We believe the entry barriers are relatively low and cost differentiation is minimal. As a esult, we expect more entrants in the market. While silicon supply may constrain the ability of new ntrants in FY06 and FY07, we expect the industry to fragment rapidly beyond FY07.
Industry Raw Tight supply until 2007 N Material Availability in	egative. While it's well understood that polysilicon supply is tight (as evidenced by rising polysilicon rices), so far it has not constrained industry growth. However, in 2006 and 2007, it could crimp adustry growth from 45% to about 25-30%, by our estimates.
Raw Material 20% increase in polysilicon FI Prices prices and 15% increase in to wafer price ar	lat to Negative. As wafer prices are determined by a complex mix of long term contracts, it's difficult o estimate the exact wafer prices; however, the risk is that wafer prices turn out to be higher due to an cute shortage of polysilicon.
Cell / ModuleFlatNSelling Pricesfrom	egative. With a decline in feed-in tariff in Germany and the large proportion of incremental growth om lower IRR countries, we see downside risk to selling prices.
New Technologies FI	Iat. We believe that thin film technologies are still at a very early stage and are unlikely to be ommercialized on a large scale until 2008. Furthermore, Nanotechnology remains unproven in mass roduction.
Supply Chain Decrease for wafer Fr	lat to Positive. We believe that the current margins for wafer companies are more than 20%. As a
Margin companies re fo m	esult, in a tight polysilicon market, we expect these margins to be squeezed – which should be positive or PV cell and module customers. Furthermore, system prices could be reduced by lowering the margins of system integrators and installers.
Cell / Module No capacity constraints FI	lat. This is not a capital-intensive business and capacity can be added in small increments.

Source: Morgan Stanley Research

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Exhibit 34	
Suntech	Power: Likely Surprises
	Consonsus

	Consensus	Likely Surprise
Suntech Demand	Suntech to grow output by 3x in FY06 and 1.8x in	Flat. While it's difficult to ascertain expectations for Suntech, we believe the current stock price is factoring in very high expectations. While Suntech should be able to add capacity, we believe raw
	FY07	material availability could constrain its ability to exceed expectations.
Suntech Market	Doubling of market share	Flat. Due to constrained raw material availability, Suntech may find it difficult to grow market share
Share	by FY07	(from 5% in FY05) by more than 2x over the next two years.
Suntech Raw Material Availability	Fully secure for FY06 and FY07	Flat to Negative. While we believe Suntech has tied up its raw material requirements (largely from domestic suppliers), we believe that any execution risk at its relatively new suppliers could limit its ability to secure enough raw materials.
Raw Material Prices	15% increase in wafer price in FY06	Flat to Negative. As wafer prices are determined by a complex mix of long term contracts, it's difficult to estimate the exact wafer prices, however the risk is that wafer prices turn out to be higher due to an acute shortage of polysilicon.
Cost Reduction	Increase in cost in FY06	Flat to Negative. Due to 15% higher wafer prices, cash cost per Watt may increase by 5% to 6% in FY06. However, if the migration to 210u or the improvement in conversion efficiency is delayed, there could be a negative surprise.
New Technologies	Start thin film production in FY07	Positive. We expect the company to start pilot production of amorphous thin film based cells shortly. As this technology and its capex are still not proven, it may remain at very small scale in FY06.
New Market	Expect US qualification	Positive. We believe Suntech is likely to secure qualification (UAL qualification) to sell in the US market
Penetration	soon	soon. Furthermore, it is likely to widen its geographical footprint to other EU countries such as France, Italy and Austria.
Pricing	Flat ASP for next 3-4	Negative. We believe that as Suntech diversifies itself from high-return markets such as Germany to
	quarters	the USA, Spain and other EU countries, ASP is likely to come under pressure.
Margins	Modest decline in OPM	Negative. We believe that with rising wafer costs and potentially falling ASPs, Suntech's margins are likely to come under pressure despite its cost management initiatives.
Consensus	EPS of \$0.70 in 2006	Positive. We believe that the current published expectations may be low. However, the stock market
Estimates		has clearly priced in a much higher earnings estimate (probably close to \$0.95 per share for FY06).
Cash Calls	Limited risk	Flat. The company has significant cash (\$290m) and is likely to be FCF neutral for the next two years. Hence, unless it pursues an aggressive upstream strategy or an acquisition to grow market share, it should not raise any further cash in the near term.
Capital Return	No expectations	Flat. The company is unlikely to pay a cash dividend or do any buybacks in the near future. However, a cash dividend for FY06 is very likely, in our view.
Stock Overhang	Lockup until May 2006	Flat to Negative. While there is unlikely to be any stock overhang until May 2006 due to the 180-day lock-up provision, we suspect that there could be some stock overhang after May 2006.
Upstream Strategy	Likely to invest in a	Flat to Negative. We believe that, given Suntech's aggressive expansion plans, it will be difficult to
. 07	domestic polysilicon	secure ample polysilicon. While, there may be risk in acquiring an EG polysilicon manufacturer, we
	manufacturer	believe Suntech is likely to increase its upstream investments.
M&A	Nothing expected	Flat to Positive. We believe Suntech is likely to pursue non-organic growth in the area of module
		assembly and lamination, and probably cell manufacturing too.

Source: Morgan Stanley Research

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Exhibit 36

Solar Industry Primer

How Does It Work?

By using a semiconductor material that can be adapted to release electrons, sunlight can be used to generate electricity through photovoltaic (PV) systems. The solar energy knocks electrons loose from their atoms, allowing the electrons to flow through to produce electricity. The greater the intensity of the light, the greater the flow of electricity.

The most common semiconductor material used in photovoltaic cells is silicon, an element most commonly found in sand and wildly used in microelectronics.

A typical PV module holds about 40-80 cells. About 10-20 of these modules are mounted in PV arrays. PV arrays can be then assembled with inverters as a PV system to generate electricity.

Industry Food Chain

The typical manufacture procedure for solar systems starts with the purification of raw silicon materials and ends with the solar system assembly and installation, as shown on the next page.



Source: Florida Solar Energy Centre

Schematic PV Cells and Modules



Source: University of Central Florida, Real Goods

Exhibit 37

A Typical On-Grid PV System



Exhibit 38

Silicon Transformation



Source: Journal of Materials

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power



Source: Morgan Stanley Research

Exhibit 40 Solar Wafer Production



Source: ScanWafer

Polysilicon and Solar Grade Silicon Wafers

One third of the global polysilicon supply, nearly 10,000 metric tons, was dedicated to solar grade silicon wafers in 2004. We expect this to further expand to 12,000 metric tons in 2005, or 36% of global supply. Major polysilicon suppliers include Hemlock, Tokuyama, Wacker-Chemie, REC, and Mitsubishi polysilicon. Leading wafer producers are Deutsche Solar, M. Setek, Kyocera and ScanWafer.

Exhibit 41 2004 Market Share of Solar Wafer Production

Company	Market Share
Deutsche Solar (SolarWorld)	14%
M. Setek	14%
Kyocera	10%
ScanWafer (REC Group)	10%
BP Solar	9%
PV Crystalox	8%
Shell Solar	7%
JFE	4%
Sanyo	4%
Sumco	4%
RWE Schott Solar	3%
Sharp	3%
Others	10%

Source: SolarWorld, Morgan Stanley Research

PV Cell/Module Production

In 2004, 78% of the PV cells were manufactured in Europe and Japan. Major cell makers are largely conglomerates, such as Sharp and Mitsubishi, or energy giants, such as BP and Shell. Among them, Sharp, occupying 26% of the global market in 2004, has been the industry leader for more than a decade. On the other hand, dedicated cell makers, such as Q-Cells in Germany, Suntech in China and Motech in Taiwan, have grown exponentially during these years.

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Exhibit 42 2004 Market Share of Solar Cell Production

Sharp 324.0 25.8% Kyocera 105.0 8.3% BP Solar 84.9 6.8% Mitsubishi Electric 75.0 6.0% Q-Cells 75.0 6.0% Shell Solar 72.0 5.7% Sanyo 65.0 5.4%	Company	Production (MW)	Market share
Sharp 324.0 25.8% Kyocera 105.0 8.3% BP Solar 84.9 6.8% Mitsubishi Electric 75.0 6.0% Q-Cells 75.0 6.0% Shell Solar 72.0 5.7% Sanyo 65.0 5.4%			
Kyocera 105.0 8.3% BP Solar 84.9 6.8% Mitsubishi Electric 75.0 6.0% Q-Cells 75.0 6.0% Shell Solar 72.0 5.7% Sanyo 65.0 5.4%	Sharp	324.0	25.8%
BP Solar 84.9 6.8% Mitsubishi Electric 75.0 6.0% Q-Cells 75.0 6.0% Shell Solar 72.0 5.7% Sanyo 65.0 5.4%	Kyocera	105.0	8.3%
Mitsubishi Electric 75.0 6.0% Q-Cells 75.0 6.0% Shell Solar 72.0 5.7% Sanyo 65.0 5.4%	BP Solar	84.9	6.8%
Q-Cells 75.0 6.0% Shell Solar 72.0 5.7% Sanyo 65.0 5.4%	Mitsubishi Electric	75.0	6.0%
Shell Solar 72.0 5.7% Sanyo 65.0 5.4%	Q-Cells	75.0	6.0%
Sanyo 65.0 5.4%	Shell Solar	72.0	5.7%
,	Sanyo	65.0	5.4%
RWE Schott 63.0 5.0%	RWE Schott	63.0	5.0%
Isofoton 53.3 4.2%	Isofoton	53.3	4.2%
Motech 35.0 2.8%	Motech	35.0	2.8%
Suntech 35.0 2.8%	Suntech	35.0	2.8%

Exhibit 43

2004 Market Share of Solar Module Production

Company	Market Share
Sharp	23%
Kyocera	10%
Shell Solar	7%
Mitsubishi Electric	6%
Sanyo Electric	5%
Isofoton	5%
MSK	4%
BP Solar	4%
Solon	3%
S.M.D.	2%
Photowatt International	2%
Other 50 companies	29%

Source: IEA-PVPS, Morgan Stanley Research

Source: Photon, Morgan Stanley Research

Solar Cell/Module Production Capacity Worldwide

MW	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Europe	20.1	18.8	30.4	33.5	40.0	60.7	86.4	135.1	193.4	308.0
Japan	16.4	21.2	35.0	49.0	80.0	128.6	171.2	251.1	363.9	618.0
US	34.8	38.9	51.0	53.7	60.8	75.0	100.3	120.6	103.0	139.0
Others	6.4	9.8	9.4	18.7	20.5	23.4	32.6	55.1	83.8	129.0
Total	77.6	88.6	125.8	154.9	201.3	287.7	390.5	561.8	744.1	1,194.0

Source: PV News, Morgan Stanley Research

Solar Technologies

PV cells can be made either from crystalline silicon or thin film. The former is widely used (89% in 2003) by far, and can be made from ingots, casting or grown ribbons. On the flip side, thin films are expected to be a key focus in the future, with advantages such as low material consumption and light weight.

Exhibit 45

Exhibit 44

2003 Market Share of Different Cell Technologies

Туре	Market Share
Crystalline Silicon	
Polycrystalline	56%
Monocrystaline	33%
Thin Film	
Amorphous	5%
Ribbon-/sheet	4%
CdTe	1%
CIS	1%

Source: EPIA, Morgan Stanley Research

In our view there are three key generations of solar cell technology, namely bulk silicon, thin film and nanotechnology.

 Bulk silicon – Monocrystalline and Multicrystalline. Crystalline silicon processes benefit from ample availability, broad understanding and compatibility of material technology developed from microelectronics, despite not being the best performer for solar cells. Typical efficiency for mass production ranges from 13% to 17%, while the physical limit sits at 30%, and laboratory results can be as high as mid 20%.

There are two basic types of crystalline silicon: monocrystalline and multi-crystalline (polycrystalline). Typical mono-crystalline silicon is produced by ingot growth, as shown in Exhibit 48. Solar cells made from monocrystalline silicon can normally achieve higher conversion efficiency than multicrystalline ones. However, the productivity is significant lower and hence the material cost is higher. SunPower and Shell Solar are among the main manufacturers of this type of cell.

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Exhibit 46 Manufacturing Process for Monocrystalline Silicon Wafers



Source: University of New South Wales, Morgan Stanley Research

Exhibit 47

Manufacturing Process for Multicrystalline Silicon Wafers



Source: University of New South Wales, Morgan Stanley Research

On the other hand, multicrystalline (polycrystalline) is made from casting. Although the productivity is higher and material cost is lower, the conversion efficiency is not as good as that of monocrystalline.

 Thin film technology - Solar cells can be also made from the deposition of thin layers (less than a few microns) of photo sensitive material, such as a-Si (amorphous silicon), CIS (Copper Indium di Selenide) and CdTe (Cadmium Telluride). Thin film processes consume significantly less silicon and enjoy a lower production cost compared with bulk silicon. However, the conversion efficiency of 5-10% is also much lower. At approximately 10% in 2003, the market share of thin film technology is still fairly low, but it is expected to increase dramatically in the future. 2. Nanotechnology - The application of nanotechnology helps create components via molecular self-assembly as well as nano templates with structural order extending through all three dimensions. The molecule level arrangement allows the absorption of a substantial fraction of the incoming sunlight despite the ultra-thin layers, since the charges need to be transported only several nanometers, leaving little opportunity for a loss. The laboratory result of conversion efficiency is 12%. The nanosolar SPV cell cost is estimated to be \$0.36 per Wp. However, at the present stage, the process technology is still far from maturity for mass production.

Energy conversion efficiency

A solar module's energy conversion efficiency is defined as the maximum electricity output divided by the input sunlight energy. Nowadays, typical conversion efficiencies for solar cells and modules are 15-17% and 11-15%, respectively.

Exhibit 48	
Module Efficiencies	
Туре	Typical module efficiency
Crystalline Silicon	
Polycrystalline	11-14%
Monocrystaline	12-15%
Thin Film	
Amorphous	5-7%
CdTe	6-7.5%
CIS	9-9.5%
a-Si/μ-Si	10%

Source: EPIA, Morgan Stanley Research

Key Technology Challenges

The key technology challenges for the PV industry primarily cover the need for breakthrough improvements that can dramatically reduce the solar system's costs and improve its efficiency and reliability. In order to achieve the goal, it is believed that the industry is focused on the following areas:

- 1. **Increase Conversion Efficiencies.** Develop new technologies and design more advanced equipment to manufacture, on a large scale and cost-effectively, PV cells with higher conversion efficiencies.
- Reduced Silicon Usage by Using Thinner Silicon Wafers. Developing process technologies to address manufacturing challenges associated with reducing the thickness of silicon wafers, including cell warpage and the breakage rate of thinner silicon wafers.

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Exhibit 49 Technological Targets Toward 2030

	2010	2020	2030
Crystalline silicon solar cell	20%	25%	25%
Thin film silicon solar cell	15%	18%	20%
"CuInSe" solar cell	19%	25%	25%
"III-V" solar cell	40%	45%	50%
Dye-sensitized solar cell	10%	15%	18%

Source: NEDO Japan, Morgan Stanley Research

- 3. Utilize Low Cost Solar Grade Silicon. Develop innovative silicon purification technologies to produce solar grade polysilicon (rather than high purity electronics grade polysilicon). If successful this development will substantially reduce silicon cost while maintaining and enhancing the conversion efficiencies.
- 4. **Develop Thin Film Silicon PV Cell Technologies.** Develop manufacturing technologies for the nextgeneration thin film silicon PV cells, which would significantly reduce the consumption of silicon materials and manufacturing costs.



Source: Morgan Stanley Research

Exhibit 51





Source: Morgan Stanley Research

In addition, we believe there are some more untested PV technologies that might be dominant in the future despite uncertain viability at this point, including:

- 1. **Nanotechnologies:** Various start-up companies are trying to commercialize nanoscale technologies for multiple applications, including grid-connected and building-integrated markets. From inorganic semiconductor nanocrystals to self-assembling nanostructures to dye-sensitized nanometer-scale crystals, all are attempting to produce lightweight, flexible, and low-cost cells in high volume; some plan to use roll-to-roll manufacturing processes, which directly cut costs.
- 2. Sputtering: Borrowing technology used to place a magnetic coating on computer disk drives, a couple of early-stage companies are adapting this process for manufacturing solar cells. These techniques use automated, continuous-flow processes for placing a thin coating of solar-collecting material, like CIGS thin-film cells, on cheap, thin, lightweight substrates. The goal is to produce cells with the efficiencies of silicon but at a quarter of the cost.
- 3. New silicon-based technologies: A few companies are building on silicon's proven track record for high durability and efficiency with new manufacturing approaches that require significantly less of this highcost material. One company is using tiny silicon balls attached to aluminum foil substrates to make its low cost, flexible sheets of cells. Another startup has a process that leverages advanced deposition of low-cost silicon feedstock in a continuous flow process.
- 4. **Organic semiconductor thin-film:** One start-up is working on depositing conductive polymers over inexpensive Mylar film. They are hoping to make a thinfilm organic semiconductor device that uses the principles of polarization to organize incoming photonic energy and then change it into electricity.
- Concentrator cells and collectors: Other companies are using optics to magnify solar energy onto cells – and one company claims to be using mirrors to concentrate solar energy to a stirling engine, which then generates electricity.

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Per watt Cost (US\$)

Cost of a Solar System?

The most expensive components for typical on-grid solar systems are the solar module and the inverter, which account for 80-85% of the total cost. The solar module, consisting of arrays of solar cells, converts the sunlight into electricity. The electricity generated from the solar module is then conditioned by the inverter from DC (Direct Current) to AC (Alternating Current), a form suitable for everyday use, and then fed into the circuits to power the electrical appliances.

Thanks to the rapid growth of global PV demand, the per watt cost of the solar system fell dramatically from \$31 in 1993 to \$6 in 2001. Nonetheless, due to the supply tightness in the industry, the solar system price has essentially stabilized in the past four years, followed by a gradual increase to nearly \$6.5-7, recently.





Source: Photon, NEDO, Morgan Stanley Research

The actual cost of an installed system may vary widely depending on installation complexity, location, component availability, and the size of the installed system. The US Department of Energy (DOE) estimates that a 2kW system costs \$8-10 per watt to install, while a 5kW system can cost \$6-8 per watt installed. Based on our latest surveys, we believe the retail price per watt for a 2-3kW home solar system (including tax and labor cost) should be in the range of \$6.5-7.0.

Exhibit 53								
Retail Prices for Home Solar Systems								
Product	Α	В	C	D	Е	F		
Capacity (kW)	1.90	2.66	2.28	2.85	3.04	3.42		
Inverter (kW)	1.80	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	4.00		
Price (US\$)	12,893	17,258	15,218	18,686	19,992	23,011		

6.67

6.56

6.58

6.73

6.49

Source: Affordable Solar, Morgan Stanley Research

6.79

Among all the constituents, we believe the PV module, accounting for 70-75% of the total cost, will be the only one to witness a price hike in the next couple of quarters, due to the production constraint of solar wafers. Consequently, the costdown benefits from other components (we believe mostly from inverters and passive components) appear less effective given the relatively small contribution. Hence, we believe the solar system cost is unlikely to decline much in next 1-2 years.



Source: Home Power, Morgan Stanley Research

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Morgan Stanley

ModelWare is Morgan Stanley's new system for helping investors and analysts to uncover value, free from the distortions and ambiguities created by accounting data. Morgan Stanley has dissected and fundamentally redefined the components of corporate valuation, giving clients more consistent definitions, more comparable data, and more flexible analytic tools. ModelWare makes investment insights easier by making value more visible.

Past inconsistencies in financial reporting made it difficult to compare performance among companies and across sectors and regions. Even within US GAAP, flexibility complicates comparisons. And accounting standards were developed to analyze historical data, not to facilitate projections. In response, Morgan Stanley analysts spent two years reviewing our entire coverage universe of company metrics. They defined more than 2,000 general and industry-specific metrics that eliminated inconsistencies stemming from regional differences, historical precedents and accounting conventions. The team applied these metrics across also all 1900+ companies we cover, and created flexible tools and services that let analysts redefine and use the data with maximum creativity. Because ModelWare provides complete transparency, users see every component of every calculation, to choose elements or recombine them as they wish.

ModelWare EPS illustrates the approach. It represents ModelWare EPS as ModelWare net income divided by average fully diluted shares outstanding. ModelWare net income sums net operating profit after tax (NOPAT), net financial income or expense (NFE) and other income or expense. ModelWare adjusts reported net income to improve comparability across companies, sectors and regions. Among these adjustments: We exclude goodwill amortization and items deemed by analysts to be "one-time" events; we capitalize operating leases where their use is significant (e.g., in transportation and retail); and we convert inventory to FIFO accounting when LIFO costing is used. For more information on these adjustments and others, as well as additional background, please see *Morgan Stanley ModelWare (ver. 1.0): A Road Map for Investors*, by Trevor Harris and team, August 2, 2004.

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

The information and opinions in this report were prepared or are disseminated by Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Asia Limited (which accepts the responsibility for its contents) and/or Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Asia (Singapore) Pte. (Registration number 199206298Z, regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore, which accepts the responsibility for its contents), and/or Morgan Stanley Asia (Singapore) Securities Pte Ltd (Registration number 200008434H, regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore, which accepts the responsibility for its contents), and/or Morgan Stanley & Co. International Limited, Taipei Branch and/or Morgan Stanley & Co International Limited, Seoul Branch, and/or Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Australia Limited (A.B.N. 67 003 734 576, holder of Australian financial services license No. 233742, which accepts responsibility for its contents), and/or JM Morgan Stanley Securities Private Limited and their affiliates (collectively, "Morgan Stanley").

Analyst Certification

The following analysts hereby certify that their views about the companies and their securities discussed in this report are accurately expressed and that they have not received and will not receive direct or indirect compensation in exchange for expressing specific recommendations or views in this report: Sunil Gupta.

Unless otherwise stated, the individuals listed on the cover page of this report are research analysts.

Global Research Conflict Management Policy

This research has been published in accordance with our conflict management policy, which is available at www.morganstanley.com/institutional/research/conflictpolicies.

Important US Regulatory Disclosures on Subject Companies

Within the last 12 months, Morgan Stanley managed or co-managed a public offering of securities of Suntech Power, Vimicro International Corporation.

Within the last 12 months, Morgan Stanley has received compensation for investment banking services from Suntech Power, TCL Multimedia.

In the next 3 months, Morgan Stanley expects to receive or intends to seek compensation for investment banking services from Suntech Power, TCL Multimedia, UTStarcom.

Within the last 12 months, Morgan Stanley has provided or is providing investment banking services to, or has an investment banking client relationship with, the following companies covered in this report: Suntech Power, TCL Multimedia, UTStarcom.

The research analysts, strategists, or research associates principally responsible for the preparation of this research report have received compensation based upon various factors, including quality of research, investor client feedback, stock picking, competitive factors, firm revenues and overall investment banking revenues.

Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated makes a market in the securities of UTStarcom, Vimicro International Corporation.

A consultant of Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Asia Limited is also a director of Suntech Power.

Certain disclosures listed above are also for compliance with applicable regulations in non-US jurisdictions.

STOCK RATINGS

Different securities firms use a variety of rating terms as well as different rating systems to describe their recommendations. For example, Morgan Stanley uses a relative rating system including terms such as Overweight, Equal-weight or Underweight (see definitions below). A rating system using terms such as buy, hold and sell is not equivalent to our rating system. Investors should carefully read the definitions of all ratings used in each research report. In addition, since the research report contains more complete information concerning the analyst's views, investors should carefully read the entire research report and not infer its contents from the rating alone. In any case, ratings (or research) should not be used or relied upon as investment advice. An investor's decision to buy or sell a stock should depend on individual circumstances (such as the investor's existing holdings) and other considerations.

Global Stock Ratings Distribution

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

(as of January 31, 2006)

For disclosure purposes only (in accordance with NASD and NYSE requirements), we include the category headings of Buy, Hold, and Sell alongside our ratings of Overweight, Equal-weight and Underweight. Morgan Stanley does not assign ratings of Buy, Hold or Sell to the stocks we cover. Overweight, Equal-weight, and Underweight are not the equivalent of buy, hold, and sell but represent recommended relative weightings (see definitions below). To satisfy regulatory requirements, we correspond Overweight, our most positive stock rating, with a buy recommendation; we correspond Equal-weight and Underweight to hold and sell recommendations, respectively.

	Coverage Universe		Investment Banking Clients (IBC)			
	_		_	% of Total 9	% of Rating	
Stock Rating Category	Count	% of Total	Count	IBC	Category	
Overweight/Buy	764	36%	283	40%	37%	
Equal-						
weight/Hold	946	45%	336	47%	36%	
Underweight/Sell	388	18%	91	13%	23%	
Total	2,098		710			

Data include common stock and ADRs currently assigned ratings. An investor's decision to buy or sell a stock should depend on individual circumstances (such as the investor's existing holdings) and other considerations. Investment Banking Clients are companies from whom Morgan Stanley or an affiliate received investment banking compensation in the last 12 months.

Analyst Stock Ratings

Overweight (O or Over) - The stock's total return is expected to exceed the total return of the relevant country MSCI Index, on a risk-adjusted basis over the next 12-18 months.

Equal-weight (E or Equal) - The stock's total return is expected to be in line with the total return of the relevant country MSCI Index, on a risk-adjusted basis over the next 12-18 months.

Underweight (U or Under) - The stock's total return is expected to be below the total return of the relevant country MSCI Index, on a risk-adjusted basis, over the next 12-18 months.

More volatile (V) - We estimate that this stock has more than a 25% chance of a price move (up or down) of more than 25% in a month, based on a quantitative assessment of historical data, or in the analyst's view, it is likely to become materially more volatile over the next 1-12 months compared with the past three years. Stocks with less than one year of trading history are automatically rated as more volatile (unless otherwise noted). We note that securities that we do not currently consider "more volatile" can still perform in that manner.

Unless otherwise specified, the time frame for price targets included in this report is 12 to 18 months.

Analyst Industry Views

Attractive (A): The analyst expects the performance of his or her industry coverage universe over the next 12-18 months to be attractive vs. the relevant broad market benchmark, as indicated below.

In-Line (I): The analyst expects the performance of his or her industry coverage universe over the next 12-18 months to be in line with the relevant broad market benchmark, as indicated below.

Cautious (C): The analyst views the performance of his or her industry coverage universe over the next 12-18 months with caution vs. the relevant broad market benchmark, as indicated below.

Benchmarks for each region are as follows: North America - S&P 500; Latin America - relevant MSCI country index; Europe - MSCI Europe; Japan - TOPIX; Asia - relevant MSCI country index.

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Stock price charts and rating histories for companies discussed in this report are available at www.morganstanley.com/companycharts or from your local investment representative. You may also request this information by writing to Morgan Stanley at 1585 Broadway, (Attention: Equity Research Management), New York, NY, 10036 USA.

Other Important Disclosures

For a discussion, if applicable, of the valuation methods used to determine the price targets included in this summary and the risks related to achieving these targets, please refer to the latest relevant published research on these stocks. Research is available through your sales representative or on Client Link at www.morganstanley.com and other electronic systems.

This report does not provide individually tailored investment advice. It has been prepared without regard to the individual financial circumstances and objectives of persons who receive it. The securities discussed in this report may not be suitable for all investors. Morgan Stanley recommends that investors independently evaluate particular investments and strategies, and encourages investors to seek the advice of a financial adviser. The appropriateness of a particular investment or strategy will depend on an investor's individual circumstances and objectives.

This report is not an offer to buy or sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security or to participate in any particular trading strategy. The "Important US Regulatory Disclosures on Subject Companies" section lists all companies mentioned in this report where Morgan Stanley owns 1% or more of a class of common securities of the companies. For all other companies mentioned in this report, Morgan Stanley may have an investment of less than 1% in securities or derivatives of securities of companies mentioned in this report, and may trade them in ways different from those discussed in this report. Employees of Morgan Stanley not involved in the preparation of this report may have investments in securities or derivatives of securities of companies mentioned in this report, and may trade them in ways different from those discussed in this report. Derivatives may be issued by Morgan Stanley or associated persons.

Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated and its affiliate companies do business that relates to companies covered in its research reports, including market making and specialized trading, risk arbitrage and other proprietary trading, fund management, investment services and investment banking. Morgan Stanley sells to and buys from customers the equity securities of companies covered in its research reports on a principal basis.

With the exception of information regarding Morgan Stanley, reports prepared by Morgan Stanley research personnel are based on public information. Morgan Stanley makes every effort to use reliable, comprehensive information, but we make no representation that it is accurate or complete. We have no obligation to tell you when opinions or information in this report change apart from when we intend to discontinue research coverage of a subject company. Facts and views presented in this report have not been reviewed by, and may not reflect information known to, professionals in other Morgan Stanley business areas, including investment banking personnel.

Morgan Stanley research personnel conduct site visits from time to time but are prohibited from accepting payment or reimbursement by the company of travel expenses for such visits.

The value of and income from your investments may vary because of changes in interest rates or foreign exchange rates, securities prices or market indexes, operational or financial conditions of companies or other factors. There may be time limitations on the exercise of options or other rights in your securities transactions. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. Estimates of future performance are based on assumptions that may not be realized. Unless otherwise stated, the cover page provides the closing price on the primary exchange for the subject company's securities.

To our readers in Taiwan: Information on securities that trade in Taiwan is distributed by Morgan Stanley & Co. International Limited, Taipei Branch (the "Branch"). Such information is for your reference only. The reader should independently evaluate the investment risks and is solely responsible for their investment decisions. This publication may not be distributed to the public media or quoted or used by the public media without the express written consent of Morgan Stanley. Information on securities that do not trade in Taiwan is for informational purposes only and is not to be construed as a recommendation or a solicitation to trade in such securities. The Branch may not execute transactions for clients in these securities.

To our readers in Hong Kong: Information is distributed in Hong Kong by and on behalf of, and is attributable to, Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Asia Limited as part of its regulated activities in Hong Kong. If you have any queries concerning this publication, please contact our Hong Kong sales representatives.

MORGAN STANLEY EQUITY RESEARCH

February 2, 2006 Suntech Power

Certain information in this report was sourced by employees of the Shanghai Representative Office of Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Asia Limited for the use of Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Asia Limited.

This publication is disseminated in Japan by Morgan Stanley Japan Limited; in Hong Kong by Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Asia Limited (which accepts responsibility for its contents); in Singapore by Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Asia (Singapore) Pte. (Registration number 199206298Z) and/or Morgan Stanley Asia (Singapore) Securities Pte Ltd (Registration number 200008434H), regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore, which accepts responsibility for its contents; in Australia by Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Australia Limited A.B.N. 67 003 734 576, holder of Australian financial services licence No. 233742, which accepts responsibility for its contents; in Korea by Morgan Stanley & Co International Limited, Seoul Branch; in India by JM Morgan Stanley Securities Private Limited; in Canada by Morgan Stanley Canada Limited, which has approved of, and has agreed to take responsibility for, the contents of this publication in Canada; in Germany by Morgan Stanley Bank AG, Frankfurt am Main, regulated by Bundesanstalt fuer Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (BaFin); in Spain by Morgan Stanley, S.V., S.A., a Morgan Stanley group company, which is supervised by the Spanish Securities Markets Commission (CNMV) and states that this document has been written and distributed in accordance with the rules of conduct applicable to financial research as established under Spanish regulations; in the United States by Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated and Morgan Stanley DW Inc., which accept responsibility for its contents. Morgan Stanley & Co. International Limited, authorized and regulated by Financial Services Authority, disseminates in the UK research that it has prepared, and approves solely for the purposes of section 21 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000, research which has been prepared by any of its affiliates. Private U.K. investors should obtain the advice of their Morgan Stanley & Co. International Limited representative about the investments concerned. In Australia, this report, and any access to it, is intended only for "wholesale clients" within the meaning of the Australian Corporations Act.

The trademarks and service marks contained herein are the property of their respective owners. Third-party data providers make no warranties or representations of any kind relating to the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the data they provide and shall not have liability for any damages of any kind relating to such data. The Global Industry Classification Standard ("GICS") was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and S&P.

Morgan Stanley has based its projections, opinions, forecasts and trading strategies regarding the MSCI Country Index Series solely on publicly available information. MSCI has not reviewed, approved or endorsed the projections, opinions, forecasts and trading strategies contained herein. Morgan Stanley has no influence on or control over MSCI's index compilation decisions.

This report or any portion hereof may not be reprinted, sold or redistributed without the written consent of Morgan Stanley.

Morgan Stanley research is disseminated and available primarily electronically, and, in some cases, in printed form.

Additional information on recommended securities is available on request.

SUNTECH020106_NEW

The Americas 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036-8293 United States Tel: +1 (1) 212 761 4000 Europe 25 Cabot Square, Canary Wharf London E14 4QA United Kingdom Tel: +44 (0) 20 7 425 8000

Japan 20-3 Ebisu 4-chome Shibuya-ku Tokyo 150-6008, Japan Tel: +81 (0) 3 5424 5000

Asia/Pacific Three Exchange Square Central Hong Kong Tel: +852 2848 5200

Industry Coverage: China Technology

Company (Ticker)	Rating (as of)	Price (01/31/2006)
Sunil Gupta SMIC (0981.HK) Suntech Power (STP.N)	E (04/22/2005) Equal-weightV	HK\$1.27 US\$42.49
Viktor Ma BYD Company Limited (1211.HK) Lenovo Group (0992.HK) TCL Multimedia (1070.HK) TPV Technology Limited (0903.HK) UTStarcom (UTSI.O) Vimicro International Corporation (VIMC.O)	E (02/21/2005) O-V (04/19/2005) NA (11/03/2003) E (06/20/2005) O-V (11/17/2004) E-V (01/16/2006)	HK\$16.00 HK\$3.17 HK\$1.27 HK\$9.45 US\$7.01 US\$11.44
ZTE Corporation (0763.HK)	U (06/29/2005)	HK\$31.15

Stock Ratings are subject to change. Please see latest research for each company.