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- The Elimination algorithm we described was query based: given the single node marginal to compute (the last item in the ordering), it efficiently summed out or conditioned on all other variables.
- But what if we want to do multiple inferences?

For example, during learning, constraint satisfaction, planning.

- We want to be able to condition on some "evidence" $\mathrm{x}_{E}$ (observed nodes) and compute the posterior probabilities of some "query" nodes $\mathbf{x}_{F}$ while marginalizing out "nuisance" nodes $\mathbf{x}_{R}$.
- For a single node posterior (i.e. $\mathbf{x}_{F}$ is a single node), there was a simple, efficient algorithm based on eliminating nodes.
- Notation: $\bar{x}_{i}$ is the value of evidence node $x_{i}$.
- The algorithm, called elimination, required a node ordering to be given, which told it which order to do the summations in.





## Efficient Multi-Elimination

- We could run Elimination once for each marginal, but this would be extremely inefficient since most of the calculations would be duplicated.
- We want an algorithm that reuses work efficiently to compute all marginals (or pairwise marginals) given evidence
- We do not want to duplicate work unecessarily.

We want to reuse calculations as best as possible.

- This needs:

1) A plan for which intermediate factors to compute in what order.
2) Some storage for these intermediate factors.

Tree-Structured Graphical Models

- For now, we will focus on tree-structured graphical models.
- Trees are an important class; e.g. Hidden Markov Models and continuous State Space Models are trees.
- Exact inference on trees is the basis for the junction tree algorithm which solves the general exact inference problem for directed acyclic graphs and for many approximate algorithms which can work on intractable or cyclic graphs.
- Directed and undirected trees make exactly same conditional independence assumptions, so we cover them together.

(a)

(b)

(c)


## Elimination on Trees

- Now consider eliminating node $j$ which is followed by $i$ in the order.
- Which nodes appear in the potential created after summing over $j$ ?
- nothing in the subtree below $j$ (already eliminated)
- nothing from other subtrees, since the graph is a tree
- only $i$, from $\psi_{i j}$ which relates $i$ and $j$
- Call the factor that is created $m_{j i}\left(x_{i}\right)$, and think of it as a message that $j$ passes to $i$ when $j$ is eliminated.
- This message is created by summing over $j$ the product of all earlier messages $m_{k j}\left(x_{j}\right)$ sent to $j$ as well as $\psi_{j}^{E}\left(x_{j}\right)$ (if $j$ is an evidence node).

(a)

(b)


## Eliminate $=$ Message Passing

- On a tree, Eliminate can be thought of as passing messages up to the query node at the root from the other nodes at the leaves or interior. Since we ignore subtrees with no evidence, observed (evidence) nodes at always at the leaves.
- The message $m_{j i}\left(x_{i}\right)$ is created when we sum over $x_{j}$

$$
m_{j i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{x_{j}}\left(\psi^{E}\left(x_{j}\right) \psi\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \prod_{k \in c(j)} m_{k j}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)
$$

- At the final node $x_{f}$, we obtain the answer:

$$
p\left(x_{f} \mid \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{E}\right) \propto \psi^{E}\left(x_{f}\right) \prod_{k \in c(f)} m_{k f}\left(x_{f}\right)
$$

- If $j$ is an evidence node, $\psi^{E}\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta\left(x_{j}, \bar{x}_{j}\right)$, else $\psi^{E}\left(x_{j}\right)=1$.
- If $j$ is a leaf node in the ordering, $c(j)$ is empty, otherwise $c(j)$ are the children of $j$ in the ordering.

Messages are Reused in MultiElimination

- Consider querying $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ in the graph below.
- The messages needed for $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}$ individually are shown (a-c).
- Also shown in (d) is the set of messages needed to compute all possible marginals over single query nodes.

(a)

(0)
- Key insight: even though the naive approach (rerun Elimination) needs to compute $N^{2}$ messages to find marginals for all $N$ query nodes, there are only $2 N$ possible messages.
- We can compute all possible messages in only double the amount of work it takes to do one query.
- Then we take the product of relevant messages to get marginals.

Computing All Possible Messages

- How can we compute all possible messages efficiently?
- Idea: respect the following Message-Passing-Protocol: A node can send a message to a neighbour only when it has received messages from all its other neighbours.
- Protocol is realizable: designate one node (arbitrarily) as the root. Collect messages inward to root then distribute back out to leaves.
- Once we have the messages, we can compute marginals using:

$$
p\left(x_{i} \mid \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{E}\right) \propto \psi^{E}\left(x_{i}\right) \prod_{k \in c(i)} m_{k i}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

- Remember that the directed tree on which we pass messages might not be same directed tree we started with.
- We can also consider "synchronous" or "asynchronous" message passing nodes that respect the protocol but don't use the Collect-Distribute schedule above. (Must prove this terminates.)

Belief Propagation (Sum-Product) Algorithm

- Choose a root node (arbitrarily or as first query node).
- If $j$ is an evidence node, $\psi^{E}\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta\left(x_{j}, \bar{x}_{j}\right)$, else $\psi^{E}\left(x_{j}\right)=1$.
- Pass messages from leaves up to root and then back down using:

$$
m_{j i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{x_{j}}\left(\psi^{E}\left(x_{j}\right) \psi\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \prod_{k \in c(j)} m_{k j}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)
$$

- Given messages, compute marginals using:

$$
p\left(x_{i} \mid \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{E}\right) \propto \psi^{E}\left(x_{i}\right) \prod_{k \in c(i)} m_{k i}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$






- We can also easily compute the joint pairwise posterior distribution for any pair of connected nodes $x_{i}, x_{j}$.
- To do this, we simply take the product of all messages coming into node $i$ (except the message from node $j$ ), all the messages coming into node $j$ (except the message from node $i$ ) and the potentials $\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right), \psi_{j}\left(x_{j}\right), \psi_{i j}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$.
- The posterior is proportional to this product:

$$
p\left(x_{i}, x_{j} \mid \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{E}\right) \propto \psi^{E}\left(x_{i}\right) \psi^{E}\left(x_{j}\right) \psi\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \prod_{k \neq j \in c(i)} m_{k i}\left(x_{i}\right) \prod_{\ell \neq i \in c(j)} m_{\ell j}\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

- These joint pairwise posteriors cover all the maximal cliques in the tree, and so those are all we need to do learning.
- Inference of other pairwise or higher order joint posteriors is possible, but more difficult.

Sum-Product, Max-Product and Semirings

- Why can we use the same trick for MAP as for marginals?
- Because multiplication distributes over max as well as sum:

$$
\max (a b, a c)=a \max (b, c)
$$

- Formally, both the "sum-product" and "max-product" pair are commutative semirings.
- It turns out that the "max-sum" pair is also a semiring:

$$
\max (a+b, a+c)=a+\max (b, c)
$$

which means we can do MAP computations in the log domain:

$$
\max _{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x})=\max _{\mathbf{x}} \prod_{i} p\left(x_{i} \mid x_{\pi_{i}}\right)=\max _{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x})=\max _{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{i} \log p\left(x_{i} \mid x_{\pi_{i}}\right)
$$

Maximizing instead of Summing

- Elimination and Belief Propagation both summed over all possible values of the marginal (non-query, non-evidence) nodes to get a marginal probability.
- What if we wanted to maximize over the non-query, non-evidence nodes to find the probabilty of the single best setting consistent with any query and evidence?

```
max
    = max (\mp@subsup{\mathbf{x}}{1}{\prime}
```

- This is known as the maximum a-posteriori or MAP configuration.
- It turns out that (on trees), we can use an algorithm exactly like belief-propagation to solve this problem.



## Max-Product Algorithm

- Choose a root node arbitrarily.
- If $j$ is an evidence node, $\psi^{E}\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta\left(x_{j}, \bar{x}_{j}\right)$, else $\psi^{E}\left(x_{j}\right)=1$.
- Pass messages from leaves up to root using:

$$
m_{j i}^{\max }\left(x_{i}\right)=\max _{x_{j}}\left(\psi^{E}\left(x_{j}\right) \psi\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \prod_{k \in c(j)} m_{k j}^{\max }\left(x_{j}\right)\right)
$$

- Remember which choice of $x_{j}=x_{j}^{*}$ yielded maximum.
- Given messages, compute max value using any node $i$ :

$$
\max _{\mathbf{x}} p^{E}(\mathbf{x} \mid E)=\max _{x_{i}}\left(\psi^{E}\left(x_{i}\right) \prod_{k \in c(i)} m_{k i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)
$$

- Retrace steps from root back to leaves recalling best $x_{j}^{*}$ to get the maximizing argument (configuration) $\mathrm{x}^{*}$.

