CS202 (003): Operating Systems Concurrency III

Instructor: Jocelyn Chen

Last time

Condition Variables

Warning: Condition Variable is not really a Variable!

```
void cond_init(Cond *cond, ...);
void cond_wait(Cond *cond, Mutex *mutex);
void cond_signal(Cond *cond);
void cond_broadcast(Cond *cond);

mutex_lock(&mutex);
while (!condition_is_met) {
    cond_wait(&cond, &mutex);
}
// Modify shared state
mutex_unlock(&mutex);
Why is this a while?
```

Condition Variables

Warning: Condition Variable is not really a Variable!

```
void cond_init(Cond *cond, ...);
void cond_wait(Cond *cond, Mutex *mutex);
void cond_signal(Cond *cond);
void cond_broadcast(Cond *cond);

mutex_lock(&mutex);
while (!condition_is_met) {
    cond_wait(&cond, &mutex);
}

// Modify shared state
mutex_unlock(&mutex);
This MUST be a while!
```

More hypothetical questions...

Why do cond_wait releases the mutexes and goes into the waiting state in one function call (see panel 2b of handout 04)?

If those two steps were separate, could get stuck waiting.

```
Producer: while (count == BUFFER_SIZE)
Producer: release()
Consumer: acquire()
Consumer: ....
Consumer: cond_signal(&nonfull)
Producer: cond_wait(&nonfull)
```

Producer never hears the signal!

More hypothetical questions...

Can we replace SIGNAL with BROADCAST, and preserve correctness*?

Yes, but it might hurt performance

Since while() checks the invariant, Only thread satisfying the invariant will make progress

=> this does not affect correctness

But we make needlessly wakeup of threads

=> this might hurt performance

More hypothetical questions...

Can we replace BROADCAST with SIGNAL, and preserve correctness*?

No race conditions, but may never make progress

Monitor: Mutex + Conditional Variables (but in OOP)

All method calls of a class are protected by a mutex

Synchronization happens with condition variables whose associated mutex is the **mutex that protects the method calls**

"Monitor" can be used to refer to either a programming convention or a method in certain programming languages*

What does monitor enable us to do?

Encapsulation!

Separation of program logic inside threads from the shared object

The monitor handles all synchronization internally so threads don't need to worry about locking, unlocking or conditional signaling

Look at the first page of handout05!

Producer/Consumer w/ Monitor

```
int main(int, char**)
    MyBuffer buf;
    int dummy;
    tid1 = thread_create(producer, &buf);
    tid2 = thread_create(consumer, &buf);
void producer(void* buf)
    MyBuffer* sharedbuf = reinterpret_cast<MyBuffer*>(buf);
    for (;;) {
        Item nextProduced = means_of_production();
        sharedbuf->Enqueue(nextProduced);
void consumer(void* buf)
   MyBuffer* sharedbuf = reinterpret_cast<MyBuffer*>(buf);
    for (;;) {
        Item nextConsumed = sharedbuf->Dequeue();
        consume_item(nextConsumed);
```

Producer/Consumer w/ Mutex & CV

```
Mutex mutex;

void producer (void *ignored) {
  for (;;) {
    nextProduced = means_of_production();

    acquire(&mutex);
    while (count == BUFFER_SIZE) {
        release(&mutex);
        yield(); /* or schedule() */
        acquire(&mutex);
    }
    buffer [in] = nextProduced;
    in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
    count++;
    release(&mutex);
  }
}
```

```
void consumer (void *ignored) {
   for (;;) {
      acquire(&mutex);
      while (count == 0) {
           release(&mutex);
           yield(); /* or schedule() */
           acquire(&mutex);
      }
      nextConsumed = buffer[out];
      out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
      count--;
      release(&mutex);

      consume_item(nextConsumed);
    }
}
```

Semaphores: Mutex + Conditional Variables (but more general)

```
#include <semaphore.h>
sem_t s;
sem_init(&s, 0, 1);
int sem_wait(sem_t *s) {
  decrement the value of semaphore s by one
  wait if value of semaphore s is negative
int sem_post(sem_t *s) {
  increment the value of semaphore s by one
  if there are one or more threads waiting, wake one
sem wait(&m);
// critical section here
sem_post(&m);
```

Semaphores: Mutex + Conditional Variables (but more general)

Semaphores manage a count, mutex+CV do not inherently do this

Semaphores can allow multiple threads access, unlike a basic mutex

Semaphores can be used for locking, but can also be used for other purpose

DO NOT USE SEMAPHORE IN THIS CLASS!

Monitor: Mutex + Conditional Variables

All method calls are protected by a mutex

Synchronization happens with condition variables whose associated mutex is the mutex that protects the method calls

"Monitor" can be used to refer to either a programming convention or a method in certain programming languages*

Please follow these conventions on Lab 3!

Mike Dahlin's "Programming with Threads"

You are required to follow this document (although we don't code in Java)

You will lose a lot of points if you don't follow (in labs and exams)

Do not program concurrency in other ways unless you are a concurrency guru

Standards for Programming w/ Threads

Rule I: acquire/release at beginning/end of methods

Rule II: hold lock when doing condition variable operations

Rule III: a thread that is in wait() must be prepared to be restarted at any time, not just when another thread calls "signal()"

Rule IV: don't call sleep()

Advice for concurrent programming

Top-level piece of advice: SAFETY FIRST

Locking at coarse grain is easiest to get right, so do that

Don't worry about performance at first

Don't view deadlock as a disaster

MAKE SURE YOU PROGRAM NEVER DOES THE WRONG THING

Advice for concurrent programming

Getting started

- 1. Identify unit of concurrency
- 2. Identify chunks of state
- 3. write down high-level main loop of each thread

Write down the synchronization constraints, and the type

Create a lock or CV for each constraint

Implement the methods, using the locks and CVs

```
CS 202, Fall 2024
  Handout 5 (Class 6)
   The previous handout demonstrated the use of mutexes and condition
  variables. This handout demonstrates the use of monitors (which combine
   mutexes and condition variables).
   1. The bounded buffer as a monitor
       // This is pseudocode that is inspired by C++.
10
       // Don't take it literally.
11
12
       class MyBuffer {
13
         public:
14
           MyBuffer();
15
           ~MyBuffer();
16
           void Enqueue(Item);
17
18
           Item = Dequeue();
         private:
           int count;
20
           int in;
21
22
           int out;
           Item buffer[BUFFER_SIZE];
23
           Mutex* mutex;
24
25
           Cond* nonempty;
           Cond* nonfull;
26
       };
27
28
       void
29
       MyBuffer::MyBuffer()
30
31
           in = out = count = 0;
32
           mutex = new Mutex;
33
           nonempty = new Cond;
34
           nonfull = new Cond;
35
36
37
38
       void
       MyBuffer::Enqueue(Item item)
39
40
           mutex.acquire();
41
           while (count == BUFFER_SIZE)
42
43
                cond_wait(&nonfull, &mutex);
44
           buffer[in] = item;
45
           in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
46
           ++count;
47
           cond_signal(&nonempty, &mutex);
48
           mutex.release();
49
50
51
52
       Item
       MyBuffer::Dequeue()
53
54
           mutex.acquire();
               cond_wait(&nonempty, &mutex);
57
           Item ret = buffer[out];
           out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
            --count;
           cond_signal(&nonfull, &mutex);
           mutex.release();
           return ret;
```

```
67
        int main(int, char**)
68
69
            MyBuffer buf;
70
            int dummy;
71
            tid1 = thread_create(producer, &buf);
72
            tid2 = thread create(consumer, &buf);
73
74
            // never reach this point
75
            thread_join(tid1);
76
            thread_join(tid2);
77
            return -1;
78
79
80
       void producer(void* buf)
81
82
            MyBuffer* sharedbuf = reinterpret_cast<MyBuffer*>(buf);
83
84
            for (;;) {
                /* next line produces an item and puts it in nextProduced */
85
                Item nextProduced = means_of_production();
86
                sharedbuf->Enqueue (nextProduced);
87
88
89
90
       void consumer(void* buf)
91
92
            MyBuffer* sharedbuf = reinterpret_cast<MyBuffer*>(buf);
93
            for (;;) {
94
                Item nextConsumed = sharedbuf->Dequeue();
95
96
                /* next line abstractly consumes the item */
97
                consume_item(nextConsumed);
99
100
101
       Key point: *Threads* (the producer and consumer) are separate from
102
        *shared object* (MyBuffer). The synchronization happens in the
103
        shared object.
104
105
```

```
// assume that these variables are initialized in a constructor
           AR = 0; // # active readers
           AW = 0; // # active writers
           WR = 0; // # waiting readers
           WW = 0; // \# waiting writers
           Condition okToRead = NIL;
           Condition okToWrite = NIL;
           Mutex mutex = FREE;
121
       Database::read() {
           startRead(); // first, check self into the system
124
           Access Data
           doneRead();  // check self out of system
       Database::startRead() {
           acquire(&mutex);
130
           while ((AW + WW) > 0) {
               WR++;
               wait(&okToRead, &mutex);
           AR++;
           release(&mutex);
      Database::doneRead()
           acquire(&mutex);
           AR--;
           if (AR == 0 && WW > 0) { // if no other readers still
             signal(&okToWrite, &mutex); // active, wake up writer
           release(&mutex);
147
       Database::write() { // symmetrical
           startWrite(); // check in
150
           Access Data
           doneWrite(); // check out
153
       Database::startWrite() {
           acquire(&mutex);
           while ((AW + AR) > 0) { // check if safe to write.
                                    // if any readers or writers, wait
               WW++;
               wait(&okToWrite, &mutex);
               ₩W--;
           AW++;
           release(&mutex);
       Database::doneWrite() {
           acquire(&mutex);
           AW--;
           if (WW > 0) {
               signal(&okToWrite, &mutex); // give priority to writers
171
           } else if (WR > 0) {
172
               broadcast(&okToRead, &mutex);
173
174
           release(&mutex);
175
176
177
```

- workers interact with a database
- readers never modify
- writers read an modify
- allow:
 - many readers at onceOR
 - only one writer (no reader)

Unit of concurrency?

Shared chunks of state?

What does main function looks like?

Synchronization constraints and objects?