Feb 12, 24 5:53	spinlock-mutex.txt	Page 2/3	Feb 12	2, 24 5:53		spinlock-mute	x.txt	Page 3/3
26 27 2. Correct	spinlock implementation		95 3. 96	Mutex implement	tation			
28 29 Relies 30 doi 31 32 doe	on atomic hardware instruction. For example, on the x86-64	,	97 98 99 100 101	available, the	e locking	ls to avoid busy waiti thread is put to slee e next page has an imp	ep, and tracked by a	
36 (ii	i) temp < *addr ii) *addr < %rax 7) %rax < temp							
41 int xch 42 %ra 43 xch 44 }	udocode */ ng_val(addr, value) { ax = value; ng (*addr), %rax							
47 void ac 48 pusho 49 while 50 if 51 k	<pre>&gt;-bones version of acquire */ cquire (Spinlock *lock) { cli(); /* what does this do? */ e (1) { (xchg_val(&amp;lock-&gt;locked, 1) == 0) preak;</pre>							
52 } 53 }								
56 xcho	elease(Spinlock *lock){ g_val(&lock->locked, 0); cli(); /* what does this do? */							
62 void ac 63 pus	<pre>imization in acquire; call xchg_val() less frequently */ cquire(Spinlock* lock) { shcli(); ile (xchg_val(&amp;lock-&gt;locked, 1) == 1) {   while (lock-&gt;locked) ;</pre>							
68 69 The abo 70 bare-bo 71 other i	ove is called a *spinlock* because acquire() spins. The ones version is called a "test-and-set (TAS) spinlock"; the is called a "test-and-test-and-set spinlock".							
74 others. 75 chewing 76 the cos 77 for a f 78 reling 79 want (6 80 cases, 81 instead	inlock above is great for some things, not so great for . The main problem is that it *busy waits*: it spins, g up CPU cycles. Sometimes this is what we want (e.g., if st of going to sleep is greater than the cost of spinning few cycles waiting for another thread or process to hish the spinlock). But sometimes this is not at all what w e.g., if the lock would be held for a while: in those the CPU waiting for the lock would waste cycles spinning d of running some other thread or process).	e						
84 when th 85 program 86 result 87 generat 88 study a	the spinlocks presented here can introduce performance issumere is a lot of contention. (This happens even if the mmer is using spinlocks correctly.) The performance issues from cross-talk among CPUS (which undermines caching and ces traffic on the memory bus). If we have time later, we way a remediation of this issue (search the Web for "MCS locks"	ill						
91 will no 92 know wh	NOTE: In everyday application-level programming, spinlock of be something you use (use mutexes instead). But you shou hat these are for technical literacy, and to see where the exclusion is truly enforced on modern hardware.							

## Printed by Michael Walfish

Fe	<b>fair_mutex.c</b>	Page 1/1	Fel	o 12, 24 6:03	deadlock.txt	Page 1/3
1	<pre>#include <sys queue.h=""></sys></pre>		1	Deadlock examples		
	<pre>#include <sys queue.h=""> typedef struct thread {     // Entries elided.     STAIL0_ENTRY(thread_t) qlink; // Tail queue entry. } thread_t; struct Mutex {     // Current owner, or 0 when mutex is not held.     thread_t *owner;     // List of threads waiting on mutex     STAIL0(thread_t) waiters;     // A lock protecting the internals of the mutex.     Spinlock splock; // as in item 1, above }; void mutex_acquire(struct Mutex *m) {     acquire(&amp;m-&gt;splock);     // Check if the mutex is held; if not, current thread gets mutex     if (m-&gt;owner == 0) {         m-&gt;owner == id_of_this_thread;         release(&amp;m-&gt;splock);     // Add thread to waiters.     STAIL0[NENTTAIL(&amp;m-&gt;waiters, id_of_this_thread, qlink);     // Teil the scheduler to add current thread to the list     // of blocked threads. The scheduler needs to be careful     // when a corresponding sched_wakeup call is executed to     // make sure that it treats running threads correctly.     sched_mark_blocked(&amp;id_of_this_thread);     // Unlock spinlock.     release(&amp;m-&gt;splock);     // Bio e sceuting until woken.     sched_wakeup call is executed to     // make sure that it treats running threads correctly.     sched_mark_blocked(%id_of_this_thread);     // Unlock spinlock.     release(sm-&gt;splock);     // Got preventing until woken.     sched_wakeup.     // Context-switched-TO, with thread to the list     // of blocked thread, and set to be the owner (in mutex_rei     // blow). However, we might have held the mutex in linker 3//     // Low being run as a result of another thread's rei     // Context-switched out an "extra" time before proceeding.     // Context-switched out an "extra" time before proceeding.     // Context-switched out an "extra" time before proceeding.     // Acquire the spinlock in order to make changes.     acquire((sm-=splock);     // Assert that the current thread actually owns the mutex     // Assert that the current thread actually owns the mutex     // Assert that the current thread actually owns the mutex     // Assert that the</sys></pre>	<pre>mutex. This which itself ng queue, lease() 9-42 ase(),</pre>			<pre>ple ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;</pre>	Page 1/3
58 59						
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71	<pre>// If so, wake them up. if (m-&gt;owner) {     sched_wakeone(&amp;m-&gt;owner);     STAILQ_REMOVE_HEAD(&amp;m-&gt;waiters, qlink); } // Release the internal spinlock</pre>					
72 73	release(&m->splock); } av February 12 2024	fair-mutex c				 4/ <sup>1</sup>

## Printed by Michael Walfish

Page 3/3

Feb 12	2, 24 6:03 <b>deadlock.txt</b>	Page 2/3	Feb	12, 24 6:03	deadlock.txt
23 2.	More subtle deadlock example		85	void	
24 25 26	Let ${\tt M}$ be a monitor (shared object with methods pro Let ${\tt N}$ be another monitor	tected by mutex)	86 87 88	M::methodA() {     acquire(&m	utex_m);
27 28	class M {		89 90	void* new_)	<pre>mem = another_monitor.alloc(int nbytes);</pre>
29 30 31	private: Mutex mutex_m;		91 92 93		nch of stuff using this nice f memory n allocated for us
32 33 34	<pre>// instance of monitor N N another_monitor;</pre>		94 95 96	release(&m	utex_m);
35 36 37	<pre>// Assumption: no other objects in the sys // to our "another_monitor"</pre>	tem hold a pointer	97 98 99	void M::methodB() {	
38 39	<pre>public: M();</pre>		100 101	acquire(&m	utex m):
40	~M(); void methodA();		102		nch of stuff
42 43	<pre>void methodB(); };</pre>		104 105		nitor.free(some_pointer);
44 45	class N {		106 107	release(&m	
46 47 48	private: Mutex mutex_n; Cond cond_n;		108 109 110	}	's the problem?
49 50 51	<pre>int navailable; public:</pre>				-
52	N(); ~N();				
54 55	<pre>void* alloc(int nwanted); void free(void*);</pre>				
56 57	}				
58 59 60 61 62 63	<pre>int N::alloc(int nwanted) {     acquire(&amp;mutex_n);     while (navailable &lt; nwanted) {         wait(&amp;cond_n, &amp;mutex_n);      } </pre>				
64 65	// peel off the memory				
66 67 68 69	<pre>navailable -= nwanted; release(&amp;mutex_n); }</pre>				
70 71	, void				
72 73	N::free(void* returning_mem) {				
74 75	<pre>acquire(&amp;mutex_n);</pre>				
76 77	// put the memory back				
78 79	<pre>navailable += returning_mem;</pre>				
80	<pre>broadcast(&amp;cond_n, &amp;mutex_n);</pre>				
82 83	release(&mutex_n);				
84					