

A topos-theoretic view of difference algebra

Ivan Tomašić

Queen Mary University of London

Workshop on Model Theory, Differential/Difference Algebra
and Applications,
CUNY/Courant, 12/03/2019

Outline

Difference categories

Cohomology in difference algebra

Difference algebraic geometry

Cohomology of difference algebraic groups

Difference categories: Ritt-style

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Define its associated **difference category**

$$\sigma\text{-}\mathcal{C}$$

- ▶ **objects** are pairs

$$(X, \sigma_X),$$

where $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $\sigma_X \in \mathcal{C}(X, X)$;

- ▶ a **morphism** $f : (X, \sigma_X) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_Y)$ is a commutative diagram in \mathcal{C}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \sigma_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

i.e., an $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ such that

$$f \circ \sigma_X = \sigma_Y \circ f.$$

Difference categories as functor categories

Let σ be the category associated with the monoid \mathbb{N} :

- ▶ single object o ;
- ▶ $\text{Hom}(o, o) \simeq \mathbb{N}$.

Then

$$\sigma\text{-}\mathcal{C} \simeq [\sigma, \mathcal{C}],$$

the functor category:

- ▶ objects are functors $\mathcal{X} : \sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$
- ▶ morphisms are natural transformations.

Translation mechanism: if $\mathcal{X} \in [\sigma, \mathcal{C}]$, then

$$(\mathcal{X}(o), \mathcal{X}(o \xrightarrow{1} o)) \in \sigma\text{-}\mathcal{C}.$$

Difference categories via categorical logic

Let \mathbb{S} be the algebraic theory of a single endomorphism. Then

$$\sigma\text{-}\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{C}),$$

the category of models of \mathbb{S} in \mathcal{C} .

Examples

We will consider:

- ▶ σ -Set;
- ▶ σ -Gr;
- ▶ σ -Ab;
- ▶ σ -Rng.

Given $R \in \sigma$ -Rng, consider

- ▶ R -Mod, the category of difference R -modules.

In search of difference cohomology: path to enlightenment

Goals

- ▶ Homological algebra of $\sigma\text{-Ab}$ and $R\text{-Mod}$, for $R \in \sigma\text{-Rng}$.
- ▶ Solid foundation for difference algebraic geometry.

Awakening: obstacles to difference homological algebra

Crucial classical identities

- ▶ In Set

$$\mathrm{Hom}(X \times Y, Z) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(X, \mathrm{Hom}(Y, Z)).$$

- ▶ Let $R \in \mathbf{Rng}$.

- ▶ For $M, N \in R\text{-Mod}$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N) = R\text{-Mod}(M, N)$$

is an R -module;

- ▶ hom-tensor duality

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M \otimes N, P) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, P)).$$

Awakening: obstacles to difference homological algebra

Crucial classical identities **fail in difference categories:**

- ▶ In **σ -Set**

$$\mathrm{Hom}(X \times Y, Z) \not\cong \mathrm{Hom}(X, \mathrm{Hom}(Y, Z)).$$

- ▶ Let **$R \in \sigma$ -Rng.**

- ▶ For $M, N \in R\text{-Mod}$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N) = R\text{-Mod}(M, N)$$

is a **Fix(R)**-module;

- ▶ hom-tensor duality **fails**

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M \otimes N, P) \not\cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, P)).$$

Insight: Monoidal closed categories

- ▶ A symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{V} is **closed** when we have **internal hom objects**

$$[B, C] \in \mathcal{V}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{V}(A \otimes B, C) \simeq \mathcal{V}(A, [B, C]),$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{V}$.

- ▶ \mathcal{V} is **cartesian closed** when monoidal closed for $\otimes = \times$.

Question

- ▶ Is σ -Set cartesian closed?
- ▶ Is R -Mod monoidal closed (for $R \in \sigma$ -Rng)?

Enriched categories

Let $(\mathcal{V}, \otimes, I)$ be a symmetric monoidal category.

A \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{C} consists of:

- ▶ a class of objects $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$;
- ▶ for objects X, Y in \mathcal{C} , a 'hom object'

$$\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \in \mathcal{V};$$

- ▶ for objects X, Y, Z , a 'composition' \mathcal{V} -morphism

$$\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, Z);$$

- ▶ for X in \mathcal{C} , a 'unit' \mathcal{V} -morphism

$$I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, X);$$

which satisfy the expected natural conditions.

Underlying category

Let \mathcal{V} be symmetric monoidal category. The **points functor**

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

is given by

$$\Gamma(X) = \mathcal{V}(I, X).$$

Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathcal{V} -category. The **underlying category** \mathcal{C}_0 has:

- ▶ the same objects as \mathcal{C} ;
- ▶ for objects X, Y ,

$$\mathcal{C}_0(X, Y) = \Gamma(\mathcal{C}(X, Y)).$$

Enriched category theory

Well understood:

- ▶ enriched functor categories;
- ▶ enriched presheaves and Yoneda.

We develop:

- ▶ enriched abelian categories;
- ▶ enriched homological algebra (derived functors etc).

Essence and knowledge

Proposition

Let $(\mathcal{V}, \otimes, I)$ be a complete symmetric monoidal closed category. Then $\sigma\text{-}\mathcal{V}$ is symmetric monoidal closed.

Corollary

- ▶ *$\sigma\text{-Set}$ is cartesian closed;*
- ▶ *$R\text{-Mod}$ for $R \in \sigma\text{-Rng}$ is monoidal closed.*

What are the internal homs?

Essence and knowledge: internal homs

Consider $N = (\mathbb{N}, i \mapsto i + 1) \in \sigma\text{-Set}$.

Internal homs for $\sigma\text{-Set}$



$$\begin{aligned}[X, Y] &= \sigma\text{-Set}(N \times X, Y) \\ &\simeq \{(f_i) \in \mathbf{Set}(X, Y)^{\mathbb{N}} : f_{i+1} \circ \sigma_X = \sigma_Y \circ f_i\}.\end{aligned}$$

▶ **shift** $s : [X, Y] \rightarrow [X, Y]$,

$$s(f_0, f_1, \dots) = (f_1, f_2, \dots).$$

Internal homs for $R\text{-Mod}$

Given $A, B \in R\text{-Mod}$,

$$[A, B]_R \in R\text{-Mod}$$

is defined analogously, require $f_i \in [R]\text{-Mod}([A], [B])$.

Essence and knowledge

Mantra

Difference homological algebra must be developed in the framework of **enriched category theory**, where the relevant categories are enriched over:

- ▶ σ -Set;
- ▶ σ -Ab, (or R -Mod for $R \in \sigma$ -Rng).

Note

$$\Gamma([X, Y]) = \text{Fix}[X, Y] = \sigma\text{-Set}(X, Y),$$

so the Ritt-style difference algebra is the **underlying category** side of the enriched framework; it only sees the tip of an iceberg.

Liberation

Note

$$\sigma\text{-Set} \simeq \mathbf{BN} \simeq [\sigma, \mathbf{Set}]$$

is a **Grothendieck topos** (as the presheaf category on $\sigma^{\text{op}} \simeq \sigma$),
the **classifying topos of \mathbb{N}** .

Moreover,

$$\sigma\text{-Gr} \simeq \mathbf{Gr}(\sigma\text{-Set})$$

$$\sigma\text{-Ab} \simeq \mathbf{Ab}(\sigma\text{-Set})$$

$$\sigma\text{-Rng} \simeq \mathbf{Rng}(\sigma\text{-Set}).$$

For $R \in \sigma\text{-Rng}$,

$$R\text{-Mod} \simeq \mathbf{Mod}(\sigma\text{-Set}, R)$$

is the category of modules in a ringed topos.

Liberation

Updated mantra

Difference algebra is the study of algebraic objects **internal** in the topos σ -Set.

Moreover:

- ▶ the above categories are categories of models of **algebraic theories** in σ -Set;
- ▶ we can apply the full power of **topos theory** and **categorical logic**;
- ▶ the **enriched structure** is automatic.

Topoi

A category \mathcal{E} is an **elementary topos** if

1. \mathcal{E} has **finite limits** (all pullbacks and a terminal object e);
2. \mathcal{E} is **cartesian closed**;
3. \mathcal{E} has a **subobject classifier**, i.e., an object Ω and a morphism $e \xrightarrow{t} \Omega$ such that, for each monomorphism $Y \xrightarrow{u} X$ in \mathcal{E} , there is a unique morphism $\chi_u : X \rightarrow \Omega$ making

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & e \\ u \downarrow & & \downarrow t \\ X & \xrightarrow{\chi_u} & \Omega \end{array}$$

a pullback diagram.

Topos of difference sets

The subobject classifier in σ -Set is

$$\Omega = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \quad \sigma_\Omega : 0 \mapsto 0, \infty \mapsto \infty, i + 1 \mapsto i \ (i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For a monomorphism $Y \xrightarrow{u} X$, the classifying map is

$$\chi_u : X \rightarrow \Omega, \quad \chi_u(x) = \min\{n : \sigma_X^n(x) \in Y\},$$

and

$$Y = \chi_u^{-1}(\{0\}).$$

Logic of difference sets

$\Omega = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is a Heyting algebra with:

- ▶ true = 0, false = ∞ ;
- ▶ $\wedge(i, j) = \max\{i, j\}$;
- ▶ $\vee(i, j) = \min\{i, j\}$;
- ▶ $\neg(i) = \begin{cases} 0, & i = \infty; \\ \infty, & i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$
- ▶ $\Rightarrow(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \geq j; \\ j, & i < j. \end{cases}$

Warning:

$\neg\neg \neq \text{id}_\Omega$ so σ -Set is not a Boolean topos.

Topos theory philosophy

The universe of sets can be replaced by an arbitrary **base topos**, and one can develop mathematics over it.

Mantra²

Difference algebraic geometry is algebraic geometry over the base topos σ -Set.

Nirvana: difference schemes

M. Hakim's Zariski spectrum

For a ringed topos (\mathcal{E}, A) , $\text{Spec.Zar}(\mathcal{E}, A)$ is the **locally ringed** topos equipped with a morphism of ringed topoi

$$\text{Spec.Zar}(\mathcal{E}, A) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, A)$$

which solves a certain 2-universal problem.

Definition

The **affine difference scheme** associated to a difference ring A is the locally ringed topos

$$(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Spec.Zar}(\sigma\text{-Set}, A)$$

General relative schemes can be treated using stacks.

Nirvana: difference étale topos

M. Hakim's étale spectrum

For a locally ringed topos (\mathcal{E}, A) , $\text{Spec.Ét}(\mathcal{E}, A)$ is a **strictly locally ringed** topos equipped with a morphism of locally ringed topos

$$\text{Spec.Ét}(\mathcal{E}, A) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, A)$$

which solves a certain 2-universal problem.

Definition

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a difference scheme as before. Its **étale topos** is the strictly locally ringed topos

$$(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}) = \text{Spec.Ét}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

Étale fundamental group of a difference scheme

Definition

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a difference scheme, and $\bar{x} : \sigma\text{-Set} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$ a point. Then

$$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \bar{x}) = \pi_1(X_{\text{ét}}, \bar{x}),$$

the Bunge-Moerdijk pro- $(\sigma\text{-Set})$ -localic fundamental group associated to the geometric morphism $X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \sigma\text{-Set}$.

Difference étale cohomology

Definition

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a difference scheme with structure geometric morphism $\gamma : X \rightarrow \sigma\text{-Set}$, and let M be a $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}$ -module. Then

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, M) = R^i \gamma_*(M),$$

the abelian difference groups obtained through relative (enriched) topos cohomology.

Some calculations

- ▶ (with M. Wibmer) Cohomology of difference algebraic groups. Explicit calculations for twisted groups of Lie Type as difference group schemes;
- ▶ Ext of modules over skew-polynomial rings.

Group functors

Fix a base difference ring

$$k \in \sigma\text{-Rng}.$$

A k -difference group functor is a functor

$$\mathbf{G} : k\text{-Alg} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}.$$

A difference algebraic group over k is a difference group functor G which is representable by a difference Hopf k -algebra A ,

$$G(R) = k\text{-Alg}(A, R).$$

We also consider $(\sigma\text{-Set})$ -enriched k -difference group functors.

Group cohomology

Let

- ▶ \mathbf{G} a k -difference group functor,
- ▶ \mathbf{O} a k -difference ring functor,
- ▶ \mathbf{F} a \mathbf{G} - \mathbf{O} -module.

We define

Hochschild cohomology groups

$$H^n(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{F}).$$

If \mathbf{G} , \mathbf{O} and \mathbf{F} are enriched, we define

enriched cohomology groups

$$H^n[\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{F}] \in \sigma\text{-Gr}.$$

Twisted groups of Lie Type as difference group schemes

The difference group functor SU_n defined by

$$SU_n(R, \sigma) = \{A \in SL_n(R) : A^T \sigma(A) = I\}$$

acts on the abelian group functor su_n

$$su_n(R, \sigma) = \{B \in sl_n(R) : B^T + \sigma(B) = 0\}.$$

Note:

$$SU_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \text{Frob}_q) = SU(n, q).$$

Explicit calculations

- ▶ since SU_2 can be related to SL_2 (modulo some number theory),

$$H^1(SU_2, \mathfrak{su}_2) = 0.$$

- ▶ in characteristic 3,

$H^1(SU_3, \mathfrak{su}_3)$ is 1-dimensional.

Explicit calculations: Suzuki difference group scheme

Let $\theta : \mathrm{Sp}_4 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_4$ be the algebraic endomorphism satisfying

$$\theta^2 = F_2.$$

The Suzuki difference group scheme \mathbf{G} :

$$\mathbf{G}(R, \sigma) = \{X \in \mathrm{Sp}_4(R) : F_2 \circ \sigma(X) = \theta(X)\}.$$

naturally acts on the module

$$\mathbf{F}(R, \sigma) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^T \in R^4 : \sigma^2 x_i^2 = x_i\}.$$

Note

$$\mathbf{G}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_2, F_q) = {}^2B_2(2q^2),$$

the (familiar) finite Suzuki group.

We have

$$H^1(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{F}) \text{ is 1-dimensional.}$$

Extensions of modules over skew-polynomial rings

For $k \in \sigma\text{-Rng}$, have the **skew-polynomial ring**

$$R = k[T; \sigma_k].$$

Equivalence of categories:

$$k\text{-Mod} \simeq R\text{-Mod}.$$

If F is an **étale** k -module, then

$$\text{Ext}_{R\text{-Mod}}^i(F, F') = \begin{cases} [F, F']_s, & i = 1, \\ 0, & i > 1, \end{cases}$$

where $[F, F']_s = [F, F'] / \text{Im}(s - \text{id})$ is the module of s -coinvariants of $[F, F']$.

In particular, if k is linearly difference closed and F, F' are finite étale, then, for $i > 0$,

$$\text{Ext}^i(F, F') = 0.$$

Studying Elephant



Wilson sculp.