## THE COMPLEXITY OF A CLASS OF INFINITE GRAPHS

Péter Komjáth

and

János Pach

Department of Computer Science

Hungarian Academy of Sciences

Eötvös University, Budapest

and Courant Institute, New York

Given a class of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{G}$  has a universal element  $G_0 \in \mathcal{G}$  if any other graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  is isomorphic to a (not necessarily induced) subgraph of  $G_0$ .

In a recent paper (Komjáth-Pach,1989) we have extended this definition, as follows. Let  $c(\mathcal{G})$ , the *complexity* of a class of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , be defined as the least cardinality of a subset  $\mathcal{G}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  with the property that any element of  $\mathcal{G}$  is isomorphic to a subgraph of some  $G_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{G}$  has a universal element if and only if  $c(\mathcal{G}) = 1$ .

In all interesting examples  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under containment, i.e.,  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  implies that  $G' \in \mathcal{G}$  for any  $G' \subseteq G$ . This condition is satisfied e.g. for all classes of graphs which can be obtained in the following way. Given a cardinal  $\kappa$  and a family  $\mathcal{H}$  of so-called forbidden subgraphs, let  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{H})$  be defined as the class of all graphs with at most  $\kappa$  vertices containing no subgraph isomorphic to any element of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}_k$  denote the class of all countable graphs containing no k vertex-disjoint cycles. That is, using the above notation,  $\mathcal{G}_k = \mathcal{G}_{\omega}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_k$  stands for the family of all (finite) graphs consisting of k vertex-disjoint cycles. In particular,  $\mathcal{G}_1$  is the class of all countable forests. Since  $\mathcal{G}_k$  has continuum many elements, its complexity is at most  $2^{\omega}$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $1 < k < \omega$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}_k$  be the class of all countable graphs containing no k vertex-disjoint cycles. Then  $c(\mathcal{G}_k) = \omega$ .

**Proof.** First we show that  $c(\mathcal{G}_k) \leq \omega$ .

Let G be a fixed countable graph without k vertex-disjoint cycles. Fix a finite subset  $K \subseteq V(G)$  with the property that any cycle of G contains at least one element of K. (Note that the vertex set of any maximal system of cycles in G obviously satisfies this condition. Moreover, by a result of Erdös and Pósa, K can always be chosen so as to have fewer than  $ck \log k$  elements.) We will refer to K, as the kernel of G. The vertices of G outside the kernel are called external. The external vertices

induce a forest in G, and they can be classified according to which elements of K they are connected to. We color two external points with the same color, if and only if their sets of neighbors in K are the same. Thus, we obtain a coloring function  $\phi \colon (V(G) - K) \to \Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is the set of colors and  $|\Gamma| = 2^{|K|}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m\}$  be a set of at most |K| vertex-disjoint paths in G-K, and let  $v_i$  and  $v'_i$  denote the endpoints of  $P_i$ . (We do not exclude the possibility that  $v_i = v'_i$ , i.e.,  $P_i$  consists of a single vertex.) The type of  $P_i$  (with respect to K) is defined by the colors of its endpoints:

$$\operatorname{type}(P_i) = \begin{cases} [\phi(v_i)] & \text{if } v_i = v_i', \\ [\phi(v_i), \phi(v_i')] & \text{if } v_i \neq v_i'; \end{cases}$$
$$\operatorname{Type}(\mathcal{P}) = [\operatorname{type}(P_i): 1 \leq i \leq m].$$

(We write  $[\cdot]$  instead of  $\{\cdot\}$  to indicate that some of the elements may be repeated, i.e., they form a *multiset*.) Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{T}(G)$  be defined as the set of all Type( $\mathcal{P}$ ), where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a system of at most |K| vertex-disjoint paths in G-K. Clearly,  $\mathcal{T}(G)$  is closed under containment, i.e.,  $T \in \mathcal{G}(G)$  implies that every submultiset  $T' \subseteq T$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{T}(G)$ .

For each member  $T \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ , fix a system  $\mathcal{P}^T$  of vertex-disjoint paths with  $\mathrm{Type}(\mathcal{P}^T) = T$ . Put

$$L = \bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}(G)} V(\mathcal{P}^T) \ .$$

Evidently, L is a finite set and  $L \cap K = \emptyset$ . Since G-K is a forest, there are only finitely many external vertices lying on some path connecting two elements of L. Let  $\overline{L}$  denote the set obtained from L by adding all of these vertices.

Now  $G - (K \cup \overline{L})$  falls into countably many connected components (trees)  $G_i$  (i = 1, 2, ...). Every  $G_i$  has at most one point adjacent to some element of  $\overline{L}$ . If such a point exists, then it is called the *root of*  $G_i$ . By the definition of  $\overline{L}$ , the root of  $G_i$  has only one neighbor in  $\overline{L}$ .

Next we describe a procedure that will enable us to add new vertices to G, without creating k vertex-disjoint cycles.

Let  $G_1$  be a fixed component of  $G - (K \cup \overline{L})$ , and pick a color  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  which occurs among the vertices of  $G_1$  at least twice. Thus, one can find two distinct points

 $u_1, u_2 \in V(G_1)$  which are adjacent to the same elements of K. Let G' denote the graph obtained from G by adding a new vertex u of color  $\gamma$  and connecting it to any point  $w \in V(G_1)$ . That is,

$$V(G') = V(G) \cup \{u\}$$
,  
 $E(G') = E(G) \cup \{uv: v \in K, u_1v \in E(G)\} \cup \{uw\}$ .

Obviously, any cycle of G' passes through at least one element of K. In other words, K is also a kernel of G', hence it can be used to define  $\mathcal{T}(G')$ .

Lemma 1. 
$$\mathcal{T}(G') = \mathcal{T}(G)$$
.

We have to prove only that  $\mathcal{T}(G') \subseteq \mathcal{T}(G)$ . Assume, in order to obtain a contradiction, that there is a system  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$  of at most |K| vertex-disjoint paths in G' - K such that

$$T = \operatorname{Type}(\mathcal{P}) = [\operatorname{type}(P_i): 1 \le i \le m] \in \mathcal{T}(G') - \mathcal{T}(G)$$
.

Suppose, without loss of generality, that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *minimal* system satisfying this condition, i.e.,

$$T_j = [\operatorname{type}(P_i): 1 \le i \le m, i \ne j] \in \mathcal{T}(G)$$

for every  $j \ (1 \le j \le m)$ .

Clearly, one of the paths  $P_i$  (say,  $P_1$ ) must contain the new vertex u, otherwise  $T = \text{Type}(\mathcal{P}) \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ . Moreover, u must be an endpoint of  $P_1$ , because the degree of u in G' - K is 1. Let  $v_i$  and  $v'_i$  denote the (not necessarily distinct) endpoints of  $P_i$ . Thus, we can assume that  $u = v_1$ .

Let  $G'_1 \subseteq G'$  denote the tree obtained from  $G_1$  by adding the vertex u and the edge uw.

Observe that no path  $P_j$  can be entirely contained in  $G'_1$ . To see this, recall that there is a system  $\mathcal{P}^{T_j}$  of vertex-disjoint paths in L with  $\mathrm{Type}(\mathcal{P}^{T_j}) = T_j$ . So, if  $P_j$  were in  $G'_1$  for some  $j \neq 1$ , then  $\mathcal{P}^{T_j} \cup \{P_j\}$  would form a system of vertex-disjoint paths in G-K, whose type is T. If  $P_1 \subseteq G'_1$ , then consider the uniquely determined paths  $P_{11}$  and  $P_{12} \subseteq G_1$  connecting  $v'_1$  to  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , respectively. At least one of them (say,  $P_{11}$ ) is of the same type as  $P_1$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{P}^{T_1} \cup \{P_{11}\}$  is a system of vertex-disjoint

paths in G-K, whose type is T. In both cases we can conclude that  $T \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ , contradiction.

Thus, we can assume that  $v_1'$  is not in  $G_1'$ . This implies that  $G_1$  has a root r, and  $P_1$  must pass through r. Let  $P_{11}$  denote the (unique) path connecting  $v_1'$  and  $u_1$  in G-K. Clearly,  $P_{11}$  also passes through r and  $\operatorname{type}(P_{11}) = \operatorname{type}(P_1)$ . Notice that  $P_{11}$  is disjoint from any  $P_j$  ( $2 \le j \le m$ ), otherwise  $P_j$  would lie entirely in  $G_1$ , contradicting our previous observation. Hence,  $\{P_{11}, P_2, P_3, \ldots, P_m\}$  is a system of vertex-disjoint paths in G-K, whose type is T, which is again a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

**Lemma 2.** G' has no k vertex-disjoint cycles.

Assume, for contradiction, that there is a system  $\{C_i: 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  of k vertex-disjoint cycles in G'. Since every cycle must visit K, the pieces of the  $C_i$  lying outside K form a system  $\mathcal{P}'$  of at most |K| vertex-disjoint pahts in G'-K. By Lemma 1, there exists a system  $\mathcal{P}$  of vertex-disjoint paths in G-K such that  $\mathrm{Type}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathrm{Type}(\mathcal{P}')$ . For every cycle  $C_i$ , replace each piece lying outside K by the corresponding path in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Thus, we obtain k vertex-disjoint cycles in G, the desired contradiction establishing Lemma 2.

By the repeated application of the above procedure, we can add countably many new vertices to G, to obtain a graph  $G^*$  satisfying the conditions summarized in the following statement.

**Lemma 3.** Let G be a countable graph without k vertex-disjoint cycles, and let  $K, \overline{L} \subseteq V(G)$  be finite sets, as defined above.

Then there exists a countable graph  $G^*$  with the following properties.

- (i)  $G^*$  contains G as an induced subgraph;
- (ii)  $G^*$  has no k vertex-disjoint cycles;
- (iii) every cycle of  $G^*$  meets K.

Furthermore, let  $\phi^*$ :  $(V(G^*) - K) \to \Gamma$  be a coloring assigning the same color to two vertices if and only if they are connected to the same elements of K. Let  $G_i^*$  (i = 1, 2, ...) denote the connected components of  $G^* - (K \cup \overline{L})$ .

(iv) Each component  $G_i^*$  is connected to  $\overline{L}$  by at most one edge;

(v) if  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is any color assigned to at least two points of  $G_i^*$ , then every vertex of  $G_i^*$  has infinitely many neighbors of color  $\gamma$  (i = 1, 2, ...).

Let  $\mathcal{G}_k^*$  be the family of all countable graphs that can be obtained as  $G^*$  for some  $G \subset \mathcal{G}_k$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{G}_k^* \subseteq \mathcal{G}_k$  and every element of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  can be embedded into some element of  $\mathcal{G}_k^*$  as an induced subgraph. On the other hand,  $\mathcal{G}_k^*$  is clearly a *countable* family of graphs. To see this, we have to note only that

- (a) there are only countably many different graphs that can be obtained as the restriction of some  $G^* \in \mathcal{G}_k^*$  to the corresponding subset  $K \cup \overline{L}$  (because K and  $\overline{L}$  are finite);
- (b) there are only countably many different colored graphs (trees) that can be obtained as  $G_i^*$  for some  $G^* \in \mathcal{G}_k^*$  (because  $G_i^*$  is either finite or it is a tree whose every vertex has degree  $\omega$ , and those points whose color does not appear anywhere else in  $G_i^*$  can be situated in this tree in countably many different ways);
- (c) given K and  $\overline{L}$ , there are only countably many different ways that a colored tree  $G_i^*$  can be connected to these sets (because of Lemma 3 (iv)).

Hence,  $c(\mathcal{G}_k) \leq \omega$ .

Next we show that  $c(\mathcal{G}_k) \geq \omega$ . Let  $K_4$  denote the complete graph on four vertices, and let  $G_0$  be the graph obtained from the union of k-1 vertex-disjoint copies of  $K_4$  by adding a vertex connected to every other point. Let  $\mathcal{G}_0$  be the family of all subdivisions of  $G_0$ , i.e., the set of all graphs arising from  $G_0$  by replacing its edges with independent paths. Clearly,  $\mathcal{G}_0$  is a countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{G}_k$ . On the other hand, it is easy to check that, if G is a graph containing two subgraphs isomorphic to distinct elements of  $\mathcal{G}_0$ , then  $G \notin \mathcal{G}_k$ . Thus,  $c(\mathcal{G}_k) \geq |\mathcal{G}_0| = \omega$ , completing the proof.

The analogous result for countable graphs containing no k edge-disjoint cycles can be established by a similar argument.

**Theorem.** Let  $1 < k < \omega$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}'_k$  be the class of all countable graphs containing no k edge-disjoint cycles. Then  $c(\mathcal{G}'_k) = \omega$ .

To see that  $c(\mathcal{G}'_k) \geq \omega$ , we can repeat the above argument with the only difference that now  $G_0$  has to be defined as the graph obtained from the union of k-1 vertex-disjoint *triangles* by adding a vertex connected to every other point. The minor modifications in the other part of the proof are left to the reader.

## References

[KP] P. Komáth and János Pach, Universal elements and the complexity of certain classes of infinite graphs, DIMACS Tech. Report 89–15, Rutgers University, 1989. To appear in Discrete Mathematics.