## handout04.txt Feb 05, 25 9:50 Page 1/4 CS 202 2 Handout 4 (Class 5) The handout from the last class gave examples of race conditions. The following 4 panels demonstrate the use of concurrency primitives (mutexes, etc.). We are using concurrency primitives to eliminate race conditions (see items 1 and 2a) and improve scheduling (see item 2b). 1. Protecting the linked list..... 9 Mutex list\_mutex; 11 12 insert(int data) { 13 14 List\_elem\* 1 = new List\_elem; 15 1->data = data; 16 acquire(&list\_mutex); 17 18 19 1->next = head; head = 1;20 21 release(&list\_mutex); 22 23 24

```
handout04.txt
Feb 05, 25 9:50
                                                                             Page 2/4
25 2. Producer/consumer revisited [also known as bounded buffer]
27
       2a. Producer/consumer [bounded buffer] with mutexes
28
29
         Mutex mutex;
30
31
         void producer (void *ignored) {
32
             for (;;) {
                 /* next line produces an item and puts it in nextProduced */
33
                 nextProduced = means_of_production();
34
35
36
                 acquire(&mutex);
                 while (count == BUFFER_SIZE) {
37
                    release(&mutex);
39
                    yield(); /* or schedule() */
40
                    acquire(&mutex);
41
42
43
                 buffer [in] = nextProduced;
                 in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
44
45
                 count++;
                 release(&mutex);
46
47
48
         void consumer (void *ignored) {
50
51
             for (;;) {
52
                 acquire(&mutex);
53
54
                 while (count == 0) {
                    release (&mutex);
55
56
                    yield(); /* or schedule() */
57
                    acquire (&mutex);
58
59
                 nextConsumed = buffer[out];
61
                 out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
62
63
                 release(&mutex);
                 /* next line abstractly consumes the item */
65
66
                 consume_item(nextConsumed);
67
68
69
```

```
handout04.txt
Feb 05, 25 9:50
                                                                                Page 3/4
        2b. Producer/consumer [bounded buffer] with mutexes and condition variables
71
72
73
             Mutex mutex:
74
             Cond nonempty;
75
             Cond nonfull;
76
             void producer (void *ignored) {
77
78
                 for (::) {
                      /* next line produces an item and puts it in nextProduced */
79
                      nextProduced = means_of_production();
80
81
                      acquire(&mutex);
82
                      while (count == BUFFER_SIZE)
83
                         cond_wait(&nonfull, &mutex);
84
85
                      buffer [in] = nextProduced;
86
                      in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
87
88
                      count++;
                      cond_signal(&nonempty, &mutex);
89
                      release (&mutex);
90
91
92
93
             void consumer (void *ignored) {
                 for (;;) {
95
96
                      acquire(&mutex);
97
98
                      while (count == 0)
99
                         cond_wait(&nonempty, &mutex);
100
101
                      nextConsumed = buffer[out];
102
                      out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
103
                      count --;
                      cond_signal(&nonfull, &mutex);
104
                      release (&mutex);
105
106
107
                      /* next line abstractly consumes the item */
                      consume_item(nextConsumed);
108
109
110
111
112
113
            Question: why does cond_wait need to both release the mutex and
            sleep? Why not:
114
115
116
                 while (count == BUFFER_SIZE) {
                     release(&mutex);
117
118
                     cond_wait(&nonfull);
119
                     acquire(&mutex);
120
121
```

```
handout04.txt
Feb 05, 25 9:50
                                                                                Page 4/4
        2c. Producer/consumer [bounded buffer] with semaphores
123
                                              /\star mutex initialized to 1 \star/
124
            Semaphore mutex(1);
            Semaphore empty(BUFFER_SIZE); /* start with BUFFER_SIZE empty slots */
125
                                              /* 0 full slots */
126
            Semaphore full(0);
127
128
            void producer (void *ignored) {
129
                  for (;;) {
                      /* next line produces an item and puts it in nextProduced */
130
                      nextProduced = means_of_production();
131
132
133
                      * next line diminishes the count of empty slots and
134
                      * waits if there are no empty slots
135
136
137
                      sem_down(&empty);
                      sem down(&mutex); /* get exclusive access */
138
139
140
                      buffer [in] = nextProduced;
                      in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
141
142
143
                      sem_up(&mutex);
144
                      sem_up(&full);
                                       /* we just increased the # of full slots */
145
147
148
             void consumer (void *ignored) {
                  for (;;) {
149
150
151
                       \mbox{\scriptsize \star} next line diminishes the count of full slots and
152
153
                       * waits if there are no full slots
154
155
                      sem down(&full);
                      sem_down(&mutex);
156
158
                      nextConsumed = buffer[out];
159
                      out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
160
                      sem_up(&mutex);
162
                      sem_up(&empty);
                                        /* one further empty slot */
163
                      /* next line abstractly consumes the item */
164
165
                      consume_item(nextConsumed);
166
             }
167
168
            Semaphores *can* (not always) lead to elegant solutions (notice
169
170
            that the code above is fewer lines than 2b) but they are much
171
            harder to use.
172
            The fundamental issue is that semaphores make implicit (counts,
173
174
            conditions, etc.) what is probably best left explicit. Moreover,
            they *also* implement mutual exclusion.
175
176
177
            For this reason, you should not use semaphores. This example is
178
            here mainly for completeness and so you know what a semaphore
179
            is. But do not code with them. Solutions that use semaphores in
180
            this course will receive no credit.
```