CS 202, Fall 2024
Handout 4 (Class 5)

The handout from the last class gave examples of race conditions. The following panels demonstrate the use of concurrency primitives (mutexes, etc.). We are using concurrency primitives to eliminate race conditions (see items 1 and 2a) and improve scheduling (see item 2b).

1. Protecting the linked list......

```
        Mutex list_mutex;

        insert(int data) {
            List_elem* l = new List_elem;
            l->data = data;

            acquire(&list_mutex);

            l->next = head;
            head = l;

            release(&list_mutex);
        }
```


2. Producer/consumer revisited [also known as bounded buffer]

2a. Producer/consumer [bounded buffer] with mutexes

```
     Mutex mutex;

     void producer (void *ignored) {
         for (;;) {
             /* next line produces an item and puts it in nextProduced */
             nextProduced = means_of_production();

             acquire(&mutex);
             while (count == BUFFER_SIZE) {
                release(&mutex);
                yield(); /* or schedule() */
                acquire(&mutex);
             }

             buffer [in] = nextProduced;
             in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
             count++;
             release(&mutex);
         }
     }

     void consumer (void *ignored) {
         for (;;) {

             acquire(&mutex);
             while (count == 0) {
                release(&mutex);
                yield(); /* or schedule() */
                acquire(&mutex);
             }

             nextConsumed = buffer[out];
             out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
             count--;
             release(&mutex);

             /* next line abstractly consumes the item */
             consume_item(nextConsumed);
         }
     }
```

```
    2b. Producer/consumer [bounded buffer] with mutexes and condition variables

         Mutex mutex;
         Cond nonempty;
         Cond nonfull;

         void producer (void *ignored) {
             for (;;) {
                 /* next line produces an item and puts it in nextProduced */
                 nextProduced = means_of_production();

                 acquire(&mutex);
                 while (count == BUFFER_SIZE)
                    cond_wait(&nonfull, &mutex);

                 buffer [in] = nextProduced;
                 in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
                 count++;
                 cond_signal(&nonempty, &mutex);
                 release(&mutex);
             }
         }

         void consumer (void *ignored) {
             for (;;) {

                 acquire(&mutex);
                 while (count == 0)
                    cond_wait(&nonempty, &mutex);

                 nextConsumed = buffer[out];
                 out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
                 count--;
                 cond_signal(&nonfull, &mutex);
                 release(&mutex);

                 /* next line abstractly consumes the item */
                 consume_item(nextConsumed);
             }
         }


        Question: why does cond_wait need to both release the mutex and
        sleep? Why not:

            while (count == BUFFER_SIZE)  {
                release(&mutex);
                cond_wait(&nonfull);
                acquire(&mutex);
            }
```



```
    2c.  Producer/consumer [bounded buffer] with semaphores

        Semaphore mutex(1);             /* mutex initialized to 1 */
        Semaphore empty(BUFFER_SIZE);  /* start with BUFFER_SIZE empty slots */
        Semaphore full(0);             /* 0 full slots */

        void producer (void *ignored) {
             for (;;) {
                 /* next line produces an item and puts it in nextProduced */
                 nextProduced = means_of_production();

                /*
                 * next line diminishes the count of empty slots and
                 * waits if there are no empty slots
                 */
                 sem_down(&empty);
                 sem_down(&mutex);  /* get exclusive access */

                 buffer [in] = nextProduced;
                 in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;

                 sem_up(&mutex);
                 sem_up(&full);   /* we just increased the # of full slots */
             }
         }

         void consumer (void *ignored) {
             for (;;) {

                 /*
                  * next line diminishes the count of full slots and
                  * waits if there are no full slots
                  */
                 sem_down(&full);
                 sem_down(&mutex);

                 nextConsumed = buffer[out];
                 out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;

                 sem_up(&mutex);
                 sem_up(&empty);   /* one further empty slot */

                 /* next line abstractly consumes the item */
                 consume_item(nextConsumed);
             }
         }

        Semaphores *can* (not always) lead to elegant solutions (notice
        that the code above is fewer lines than 2b) but they are much
        harder to use.

        The fundamental issue is that semaphores make implicit (counts,
        conditions, etc.) what is probably best left explicit. Moreover,
        they *also* implement mutual exclusion.

        For this reason, you should not use semaphores. This example is
        here mainly for completeness and so you know what a semaphore
        is. But do not code with them. Solutions that use semaphores in
        this course will receive no credit.
```