

Mehryar Mohri  
Foundations of Machine Learning  
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
Homework assignment 1  
September 16, 2016  
Due: October 04, 2016

### A. Probability tools

1. Let  $f: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function admitting an inverse  $f^{-1}$  and let  $X$  be a random variable. Show that if for any  $t > 0$ ,  $\Pr[X > t] \leq f(t)$ , then, for any  $\delta > 0$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,  $X \leq f^{-1}(\delta)$ .
2. Let  $X$  be a discrete random variable taking non-negative integer values. Show that  $E[X] = \sum_{n \geq 1} \Pr[X \geq n]$  (*hint*: rewrite  $\Pr[X = n]$  as  $\Pr[X \geq n] - \Pr[X \geq n + 1]$ ).

### B. Label bias

1. Let  $D$  be a distribution over  $\mathcal{X}$  and let  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$  be a labeling function. Suppose we wish to find a good approximation of the label bias of the distribution  $D$ , that is of  $p_+$  defined by:

$$p_+ = \Pr_{x \sim D}[f(x) = +1]. \quad (1)$$

Let  $S$  be a finite labeled sample of size  $m$  drawn i.i.d. according to  $D$ . Use  $S$  to derive an estimate  $\hat{p}_+$  of  $p_+$ . Show that for any  $\delta > 0$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,  $|p_+ - \hat{p}_+| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{2m}}$  (carefully justify all steps).

### C. Learning in the presence of noise

1. In Lecture 2, we showed that the concept class of axis-aligned rectangles is PAC-learnable. Consider now the case where the training points received by the learner are subject to the following noise: points negatively labeled are unaffected by noise but the label of a positive training point is randomly flipped to negative with probability  $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . The exact value of the noise rate  $\eta$  is not known to the learner but an upper bound  $\eta'$  is supplied to him with  $\eta \leq \eta' < 1/2$ . Show that the algorithm described in class returning the tightest rectangle containing positive points can still PAC-learn axis-aligned

rectangles in the presence of this noise. To do so, you can proceed using the following steps:

- (a) Using the notation of the lecture slides, assume that  $\Pr[R] > \epsilon$ . Suppose that  $\text{error}(R') > \epsilon$ . Give an upper bound on the probability that  $R'$  misses a region  $r_j$ ,  $j \in [1, 4]$  in terms of  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta'$ ?
  - (b) Use that to give an upper bound on  $\Pr[\text{error}(R') > \epsilon]$  in terms of  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta'$  and conclude by giving a sample complexity bound.
2. [Bonus question] In this section, we will seek a more general result. We consider a finite hypothesis set  $H$ , assume that the target concept is in  $H$ , and adopt the following noise model: the label of a training point received by the learner is randomly changed with probability  $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . The exact value of the noise rate  $\eta$  is not known to the learner but an upper bound  $\eta'$  is supplied to him with  $\eta \leq \eta' < 1/2$ .

- (a) For any  $h \in H$ , let  $d(h)$  denote the probability that the label of a training point received by the learner disagrees with the one given by  $h$ . Let  $h^*$  be the target hypothesis, show that  $d(h^*) = \eta$ .
- (b) More generally, show that for any  $h \in H$ ,  $d(h) = \eta + (1 - 2\eta) \text{error}(h)$ , where  $\text{error}(h)$  denotes the generalization error of  $h$ .
- (c) Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  for this and all the following questions. Use the previous questions to show that if  $\text{error}(h) > \epsilon$ , then  $d(h) - d(h^*) \geq \epsilon'$ , where  $\epsilon' = \epsilon(1 - 2\eta')$ .
- (d) For any hypothesis  $h \in H$  and sample  $S$  of size  $m$ , let  $\widehat{d}(h)$  denote the fraction of the points in  $S$  whose labels disagree with those given by  $h$ . We will consider the algorithm  $L$  which, after receiving  $S$ , returns the hypothesis  $h_S$  with the smallest number of disagreements (thus  $\widehat{d}(h_S)$  is minimal). To show PAC-learning for  $L$ , we will show that for any  $h$ , if  $\text{error}(h) > \epsilon$ , then with high probability  $\widehat{d}(h) \geq \widehat{d}(h^*)$ . First, show that for any  $\delta > 0$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta/2$ , for  $m \geq \frac{2}{\epsilon'^2} \log \frac{2}{\delta}$ , the following holds:

$$\widehat{d}(h^*) - d(h^*) \leq \epsilon'/2$$

- (e) Second, show that for any  $\delta > 0$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta/2$ , for  $m \geq \frac{2}{\epsilon'^2} (\log |H| + \log \frac{2}{\delta})$ , the following holds for all  $h \in H$ :

$$d(h) - \widehat{d}(h) \leq \epsilon'/2$$

- (f) Finally, show that for any  $\delta > 0$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , for  $m \geq \frac{2}{\epsilon^2(1-2\eta)^2}(\log |H| + \log \frac{2}{\delta})$ , the following holds for all  $h \in H$  with  $\text{error}(h) > \epsilon$ :

$$\widehat{d}(h) - \widehat{d}(h^*) \geq 0.$$

(*hint*: use  $\widehat{d}(h) - \widehat{d}(h^*) = [\widehat{d}(h) - d(h)] + [d(h) - d(h^*)] + [d(h^*) - \widehat{d}(h^*)]$  and use previous questions to lower bound each of these three terms).