

SOCIAL NETWORKS

LECTURE #16

Review.

- 1) Auction
- 2) Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibria

SIGNALING GAMES

- Two players: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} S = \text{Sender (Sam)} \\ R = \text{Receiver (Ram)} \end{array} \right.$
(plus one)
N = Nature (not strategic)

Information Asymmetric Game.

⇒ **TYPE** (private information)

(1) Nature selects a type t_i from

$$T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_I\}$$

with probability $p(t_i)$

(2) Sam (Sender) observes (privately) t_i and selects a message m_j from

$$M = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_J\}$$

(3) Ram (Receive) observes m_j
(but not t_i) and carries out an
action a_k from

$$A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$$

$$S_N = T, \quad S_S = M, \quad S_R = A$$

$$\text{Strategy Profile} = T \times M \times A$$

$$\text{Payoffs} = u_i: T \times M \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

$$u_S(t_i, m_j, a_k); \quad u_R(t_i, m_j, a_k)$$

Nash Equilibria:

(A) POOLING EQUILIBRIUM.

All types of senders send the
same message. GOOGLE

(B) SEPARATING EQUILIBRIUM.

All types of senders send
different messages. FACEBOOK

(C) COMBINATION

Babbling \rightarrow .

Deception, in these Nash equilibria?

Information Asymmetry

- 1) Anonymity (k-Anonymity, Dark Web)
- 2) Encryption (Public Key Crypto System)
- 3) Privacy (Diff. Privacy)
- 4) Security (Firewall, Threat Analysis, ...)



Public Key Crypto Systems

⇒ Asymmetric Cryptography.

2 separate keys {
 Public/Verification key V_{r_A}
 Private/Signaling key S_{g_A}

V_{r_A} and S_{g_A} are mathematically linked
 → while they are computationally asymmetric.

ONE WAY FUNCTIONS.

Integer { Multiplication of two Integers.
 Factorization vs.
 Factoring a composite Integer.

Other examples:

- (i) Discrete Logarithms.
- (ii) Elliptic Curves.
- (iii) Lattice Theory.

PROPERTIES

(i) It is computationally ~~not~~ easy for a user A to generate both

$$\begin{cases} V_{r_A} \\ S_{g_A} \end{cases}$$

(ii) It is computationally hard for another user to derive S_{g_A} from V_{r_A} .

(a) Anyone can verify A's identity using publicly available V_{r_A}

(b) No one (using conventional computational resources) can assume/steal A's identity (e.g. the secret/private S_{g_A}).

(c) A can have many persistent heteronyms (anonymity/pseudonymity)

(d) A's identity can be linked to his biological/genetic identity.

(e) No secure key-exchange (using a third party) is necessary.



Example:

RSA (Rivest - Shamir - Adleman).

a) Choose two distinct prime numbers
 $p, q \quad p \neq q.$

b) Compute $n = pq.$ $\begin{cases} n = \text{public} \\ p, q = \text{private} \end{cases}$

$$\phi(n) = \phi(p) \phi(q) = (p-1)(q-1)$$

$\phi = \text{Euler's totient function.}$

c) Choose e s.t. e and $\phi(n)$ are coprime.
 $\text{gcd}(e, \phi(n)) = 1.$

$$\exists d, k \quad d \cdot e + k \phi(n) = 1.$$

$$d \cdot e \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$$

$$d \equiv e^{-1} \pmod{\phi(n)}$$

d) $V_r = e \leftarrow \text{Public}$

$S_g = d \leftarrow \text{Private}$

e) $M = \text{Message}$

$$M / V_r \equiv C \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$$

Polynomial in $\lg |e|$

Repeated squaring.

f) $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$

$c|_{sg} = m$ recovered in polynomial time if one knows d
(Or. equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n) &= p \cdot q - p - q - 1 \\ &= n - p - q - 1 \\ &= n - (p+q) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

(or equivalently, factorization of n)

Use Fermat's ^{Little} ~~Last~~ Thm.

$p = \text{prime}, p \nmid a$

$$\Rightarrow a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$(m^{p-1})^{k(q-1)} \cdot m \equiv m \pmod{p}$$

$$m^{ed-1} \cdot m \equiv m \pmod{p}$$

$$m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod{p}$$

Similarly $m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod{q}$

$$m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod{n}$$

$$c^d \equiv m \pmod{n}$$

SIGNALING GAME.

① $S \rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{Private Signing Key } Sg_S \\ \text{Public Verifying Key } Vr_S \end{cases}$

② S detects

(a) type/state $t_i \in T$ (e.g. $y = \text{BTC Wallet}$)

(b) message $m_j \in M$ (e.g. transfer x BTC to R
 $\wedge (y-x) \geq 0$)

(c) time-stamp u

③ S sends an augmented message to R

$$C \equiv (Vr_S, Vr_R, m_j, \# t_i |_{Vr_R}, u) |_{Sg_S}$$

Digest of the private type

④ R verifies

$$C |_{Vr_S} \Rightarrow$$

a) S did send the message.

b) Local properties m_j is consistent with t_i

$$y_S \geq x_{S \rightarrow R}$$

c) R performs an action consistent with m_j

$$y_S := y_S - x_{S \rightarrow R}$$

$$y_R := y_R + x_{S \rightarrow R}$$

What about Global Properties?

$$y_S := y_S - x_{S \rightarrow R_1}; y_S := y_S - x_{S \rightarrow R_2}; \dots$$

$$y_{R_1} := y_{R_1} + x_{S \rightarrow R_1}; y_{R_2} := y_{R_2} + x_{S \rightarrow R_2}; \dots$$

How does one ensure that the interleaving is correct?

GLOBAL VERIFIERS.

Bit-Coin Miners.