

Lecture #6.

①

Tableau Proof for Propositional Logic.

<p>1a</p> <p><math>T\alpha</math></p>	<p>1b</p> <p><math>F\alpha</math></p>	<p>2a</p> <p><math>T(\alpha \wedge \beta)</math></p> <p> </p> <p><math>T\alpha</math></p> <p> </p> <p><math>T\beta</math></p>	<p>2b</p> <p><math>F(\alpha \wedge \beta)</math></p> <p>/ \</p> <p><math>F\alpha</math> <math>F\beta</math></p>
<p>3a</p> <p><math>T(\neg\alpha)</math></p> <p> </p> <p><math>F(\alpha)</math></p>	<p>3b</p> <p><math>F(\neg\alpha)</math></p> <p> </p> <p><math>T(\alpha)</math></p>	<p>4a</p> <p><math>T(\alpha \vee \beta)</math></p> <p>/ \</p> <p><math>T\alpha</math> <math>T\beta</math></p>	<p>4b</p> <p><math>F(\alpha \vee \beta)</math></p> <p> </p> <p><math>F\alpha</math></p> <p> </p> <p><math>F\beta</math></p>
<p>5a</p> <p><math>T(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)</math></p> <p>/ \</p> <p><math>F\alpha</math> <math>T\beta</math></p>	<p>5b</p> <p><math>F(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)</math></p> <p> </p> <p><math>T\alpha</math></p> <p> </p> <p><math>F\beta</math></p>	<p>6a</p> <p><math>T(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)</math></p> <p>/ \</p> <p><math>T\alpha</math> <math>F\alpha</math></p> <p>   </p> <p><math>T\beta</math> <math>F\alpha</math></p>	<p>6b</p> <p><math>F(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)</math></p> <p>/ \</p> <p><math>T\alpha</math> <math>F\alpha</math></p> <p>   </p> <p><math>F\beta</math> <math>T\beta</math></p>

Thm (Pierce's Law)

(2)

$$(((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A)$$

Proof (By Tableau Method):

$$F(((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A)$$

$$T((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A)$$

$$FA$$

$$F(A \rightarrow B)$$

$$TA$$

$$TA$$

$$FB$$

$$\perp$$

$$\neg(((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A)$$

$$\Rightarrow \perp$$

Proof by contradiction

□

Atomic Tableau  $\equiv$  Tableau for a prime variable  $\pi \in PV$ .

A finite Tableau  $\equiv$  is a binary tree labeled with signed propositions called entry satisfying the following inductive defn.

(3)

- (i) All atomic tableaux are finite tableaux.
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a finite tableau,  $P$  is a path on  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $E$  is an entry of  $\mathcal{T}$  occurring on  $P$  and  $\mathcal{T}'$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{T}$  by adjoining the unique atomic tableau with root entry  $E$  to  $\mathcal{T}$  at the end of the path  $P$ , then  $\mathcal{T}'$  is also a finite tableau.

If  $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, \dots$  is a finite (or infinite) sequence of finite tableaux such that

$$\forall n \geq 0 \quad \tau_{n+1} \text{ is constructed from } \tau_n$$

(by apply of (ii))

then

$$\tau = \bigcup \tau_n \text{ is a tableau.}$$

$\mathcal{T}$  = Tableau,  $P$  = Path on  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $E$  = Entry on  $P$ .

- (a)  $E$  = Reduced on  $P$  iff all entries on one path through the atomic tableau with root  $E$  occur on  $P$ .
- (b)  $P$  = Contradictory iff for some proposition  $\alpha$ ,  $T\alpha$  and  $F\alpha$  are both entries on  $P$ .  
= Finished iff either contradictory or every entry is reduced.
- (c)  $\mathcal{T}$  = Finished iff  $\forall$  path  $P$  through  $\mathcal{T}$   $P$  = finished.  
= Contradictory iff  $\exists$  path  $P$  through  $\mathcal{T}$   $P$  = contradictory.



A tableau proof of a proposition  $\alpha$  is a contradicting tableau with root entry  $F\alpha$ .

FIRST ORDER LOGIC: SEMANTICS

Extension

7a	7b	8a	8b
$T \forall x \phi(x)$	$F \forall x \phi(x)$	$T \exists x \phi(x)$	$F \exists x \phi(x)$
$T \phi(a)$	$F \phi(a)$	$T \phi(a)$	$F \phi(a)$
(a is any parameter)	(a is a <u>new</u> parameter)	(a is a <u>new</u> parameter)	(a is any parameter)

A parameter that has not been used before

5

Drinking formula:

There is someone at the bar, when he drinks every one drinks.

$$F \exists x (Dx \rightarrow \forall y Dy) \quad [1]$$

$$F Da \rightarrow \forall y Dy$$

$$T Da$$

$$F \forall y Dy$$

$$\rightarrow F Db \quad (b = \text{new}, b \neq a)$$

$$F Db \rightarrow \forall y Dy \quad (\because [1])$$

$$T Db$$

~

## Formalization of First Order Logic

⑥

### Semantics.

#### Model (Structure)

Non-trivial compared to "models" of propositional logic (determined by truth assignment).

#### 1) SIGNATURE (Defn) $\Sigma$

A signature is a set of non-logical symbols (predicates, constants, and functions).

#### 2) Given a signature, $\Sigma$ , a model, $\mathcal{M}$ , of $\Sigma$ consists of the following:

a) A non-empty set, called the domain of  $\mathcal{M}$ , (written  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{M})$ ).

Elements of the domain are called elements of the model  $\mathcal{M}$ .

b) A mapping from each constant  $c$  in  $\Sigma$  to an element  $c^{\mathcal{M}}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

c) A mapping from each  $n$ -ary function symbol  $f$  in  $\Sigma$  to  $f^{\mathcal{M}} : [\text{dom}(\mathcal{M})]^n \rightarrow \text{dom}(\mathcal{M})$ .

d) A mapping from each  $n$ -ary predicate symbol  $p$  in  $\Sigma$  to  $p^{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq [\text{dom}(\mathcal{M})]^n$ .

$f^{\mathcal{M}} = n$ -ary function on  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{M})^n \rightarrow \text{dom}(\mathcal{M})$

$p^{\mathcal{M}} = n$ -ary relation in  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{M})^n$

$c^{\mathcal{M}} = 0$ -ary function on  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{M})$ .



## Semantics.

(7)

Given a model  $\mathcal{M}$ , a variable assignment  $\varphi$  is a function which assigns to each variable an element of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Given a wff  $\varphi$ , we say that  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi$ , and ~~note~~ write

$$\mathcal{M} \models_{\varphi} \varphi$$

if  $\varphi$  is true in the model  $\mathcal{M}$  with variable assignment  $\varphi$ .

More formally:

First define the extension  $\bar{\varphi}: T \rightarrow \text{dom}(\mathcal{M})$  a function from the set  $T$  of all terms into the domain of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

- (i) For each variable  $x$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x)$
- (ii) For each constant  $c$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}(c) = c^{\mathcal{M}}$
- (iii) If  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  are terms and  $f$  is an  $n$ -ary function symbol, then

$$\bar{\varphi}(f t_1, \dots, t_n) = f^{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{\varphi}(t_1), \dots, \bar{\varphi}(t_n))$$

} Existence of a unique such extension  $\bar{\varphi}$  follows from the recursion theorem and the fact that terms are freely generated.

Atomic Formulas.

- $M \models_{\mathcal{F}} t_1 = t_2$  iff  $\bar{f}(t_1) = \bar{f}(t_2)$
- For an  $n$ -ary predicate symbol,  $P$   
 $M \models_{\mathcal{F}} P t_1, \dots, t_n$  iff  
 $\langle \bar{f}(t_1), \dots, \bar{f}(t_n) \rangle \in P^M$

Well-Formed - Formulas

- $M \models_{\mathcal{F}} (\neg \varphi)$  iff  $M \not\models_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi$
- $M \models_{\mathcal{F}} (\varphi \wedge \psi)$  iff  $M \models_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi$  and  $M \models_{\mathcal{F}} \psi$
- $M \models_{\mathcal{F}} \forall x \varphi$  iff  $M \models_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi$  for every  $d \in \text{dom}(M)$

$\Gamma$  = set of formulas (set of  $\Sigma$ -formulas)

$M \models_{\mathcal{F}} \Gamma := \forall \varphi \in \Gamma \ M \models_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi$

$\Gamma \models \varphi := \forall \text{model } M \text{ of } \Sigma$   
 $\forall \text{ variable assignment } \bar{f}$   
 $M \models_{\mathcal{F}} \Gamma \text{ implies } M \models_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi$

$\varphi$  is valid iff  $\models \varphi$  ( $\varphi \models \varphi$  iff  $\forall u \forall \bar{f} \ M \models_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi$ )

$\varphi \equiv \psi$  ( $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are logically equivalent)  
iff  $\varphi \models \psi$  and  $\psi \models \varphi$



(9)

ML

### Invariance of Truth Values:

#### Theorem

Suppose  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are variable assignments over a model  $M$ , which agree at all variables which occur free in the wff  $\varphi$ .

Then

$$M \models_{f_1} \varphi \text{ iff } M \models_{f_2} \varphi.$$

Proof: By induction on wff  $\varphi$ .

1)  $\varphi =$  Atomic formula:

All variables in  $\varphi$  are free

$\Rightarrow f_1$  and  $f_2$  agree on all variables in  $\varphi$ .

$\Rightarrow \forall$  terms  $t$  in  $\varphi$   $f_1(t) = f_2(t)$ .

$\Rightarrow M \models_{f_1} \varphi$  iff  $M \models_{f_2} \varphi$ .

2)  $\varphi = \neg \alpha$  ;  $\varphi = \alpha \wedge \beta$ . From IH.

3)  $\varphi = \forall x \psi$ .

Free Variables( $\varphi$ ) = Free Variables( $\psi$ )  $\setminus$   $\{x\}$

$\Rightarrow \forall d \in \text{dom}(M)$   $(f_1)_d^x$  and  $(f_2)_d^x$   
agree on all variables  
free in  $\psi$

$\Rightarrow M \models_{(f_1)_d^x} \psi$  iff  $M \models_{(f_2)_d^x} \psi$  ~~forall~~

$\forall d \in \text{dom}(M)$

$\Rightarrow M \models_{f_1} \varphi$  iff  $M \models_{f_2} \varphi$ .  $\square$

*OK*

DEFINABILITY

$\mathcal{M}$  = A fixed model.

$\varphi$  = wff; Free Variables ( $\varphi$ ) =  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$   
 $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{M})$ .

$\mathcal{M} \models \varphi[[a_1, \dots, a_k]]$   
 $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $\varphi$  with some  
variable assignment  $\rho: V \rightarrow \text{dom}(\mathcal{M})$   
 $v_i \mapsto a_i$

$\{ \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \models \varphi[[a_1, \dots, a_k]] \}$   
 $\subseteq [\text{dom}(\mathcal{M})]^k$   
= k-ary relation  
defined by  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

A k-ary relation on  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{M})$  is said to be  
definable in  $\mathcal{M}$  iff there is a formula  
which defines it there.

□

*~*