

Recall "Application" is to show that

UGC  $\Rightarrow$  GW is optimal. We need

- Negative correlations  $\rho \in (-1, 0)$
- "low degree influences"  $\text{Inf}_i^{\leq k}(f)$ .

Majority is stablest (w/ +vely correlated noise).

Majority is unstablest (w/ -vely " ").

— x —

Low degree influences

Def  $\text{Inf}_i^{\leq k}(f) = \sum_{\substack{S \ni i \\ |S| \leq k}} \hat{f}(S)^2$ .

Theorem ② Fix  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there

is  $\delta = \delta(\rho, \varepsilon)$  s.t. for

$k = k(\rho, \varepsilon)$

-  $f: \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[f] = 0$ ,

-  $\text{Inf}_i^{\leq k}(f) \leq \delta \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have

$\text{stab}_\rho(f) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \cos^{-1} \rho + \varepsilon$ .

Proof Construct  $g: \{-1,1\}^n \rightarrow [-1,1]$  s.t.

-  $\text{stab}_\rho(f) \leq \text{stab}_\rho(g) + \varepsilon.$

-  $\text{Inf}_i(g) \leq \text{Inf}_i^{\leq k}(f) + \delta \leq 2\delta.$

- one could try  $g = \sum_{|S| \leq k} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S$  ("hard truncation"), but then  $g$  won't necessarily be in  $[-1,1]$ .

- Instead "soft truncation"  $\equiv$  "smoothing"  
 $\equiv$  Beckner operator.  $\equiv$  "suppress high degree Fourier coefficients."

Def For  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $f: \{-1,1\}^n \rightarrow [-1,1]$ ,

$$T_{1-\gamma}(f) = \sum_S \hat{f}(S) (1-\gamma)^{|S|} \chi_S.$$

Claim Equiv.  $T_{1-\gamma}(f)(x) = \mathbb{E} [ f(y) ]$ ,  
 $y \sim_{1-\gamma} x$

Consider the R.H.S. as a function of  $x$ .

$$\mathbb{E}_{y \sim_{1-\gamma} x} [f(y)] = \mathbb{E}_{y \sim_{1-\gamma} x} \left[ \sum_s \hat{f}(s) \chi_s(y) \right]$$

$$= \sum_s \hat{f}(s) \mathbb{E}_{\mu} [\chi_s(x, \mu)]$$

$\mu = \text{noise}$   
 $\mathbb{E}[\mu_i] = 1-\gamma$

$$= \sum_s \hat{f}(s) \chi_s(x) \mathbb{E}_{\mu} [\chi_s(\mu)]$$

$$= \sum_s \hat{f}(s) (1-\gamma)^{|s|} \chi_s(x)$$

$$= T_{1-\gamma}(f)(x).$$



Fact If  $f \in [-1, 1]$  then  $T_{1-\gamma}(f) \in [-1, 1]$ .

### Proof of Theorem ②

Let  $g = T_{1-\gamma}(f)$  for small enough  $\gamma > 0$

and apply Theorem ① to  $g$ .

Claim.  $\mathbb{E}[g] = 0$ .  $\because \mathbb{E}[g] = \hat{g}(\emptyset) = \hat{f}(\emptyset) = 0$ .

Claim.  $\text{Inf}_i(g) \leq 2\delta \quad \forall i.$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inf}_i(g) &= \sum_{i \in S} \hat{g}(s)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in S, |s| \leq k} \hat{g}(s)^2 + \sum_{i \in S, |s| > k} \hat{g}(s)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in S, |s| \leq k} \hat{f}(s)^2 + \sum_{i \in S, |s| > k} \hat{f}(s)^2 (1-\gamma)^{2k} \\ &\leq \text{Inf}_i^{\leq k}(f) + (1-\gamma)^{2k} \\ &\leq \delta + \delta \quad \text{for} \\ &= 2\delta. \quad k \geq O\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$



Claim  $\text{Stab}_p(g) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \cos^{-1} p + \varepsilon.$

Proof Applying Theorem ① to  $g.$

We finish by showing  $\text{Stab}_p(f) \leq \text{Stab}_p(g) + \varepsilon.$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Stab}_p(f) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \hat{f}(s)^2 p^{|s|} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \hat{f}(s)^2 (1-\gamma)^{2|s|} p^{|s|} + \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \hat{f}(s)^2 (1-(1-\gamma)^{2|s|}) p^{|s|} \\
&= \text{stab}_p(g) + \underbrace{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

provided  $\gamma$  is sufficiently small.

$\forall s$ , either  $p^{|s|} \leq \varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{or } 1 - (1-\gamma)^{2|s|} &\leq 1 - (1 - 2|s|\gamma) \\
&= 2|s|\gamma \\
&\leq \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□.

## Negative Correlations

- So far  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , and we focused on maximizing  $\text{Stab}_\rho(f)$ , subject to  $\mathbb{E}[f] = 0$ .
- Now we let  $\rho \in (-1, 0)$ , and instead focus on minimizing  $\text{Stab}_\rho(f)$ , without any restriction on  $\mathbb{E}[f]$ .

What we really need towards the "application" is

**Theorem ③** Fix  $\rho \in (-1, 0)$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta = \delta(\rho, \varepsilon)$ ,  $k = k(\rho, \varepsilon)$  s.t. for

-  $f: \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ ,

-  $\text{Inf}_i^{\leq k}(f) \leq \delta \quad \forall i$  then

$$\text{Stab}_\rho(f) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \cos^{-1} \rho - \varepsilon.$$

Proof We let  $p^* = -p > 0$

$$f^* = \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}$$

and apply Theorem (2) to  $f^*, p^*$  !

Claim 
$$f^* = \sum_{|s| \text{ odd}} \hat{f}(s) \chi_s$$


Proof 
$$\begin{aligned} f^*(x) &= \frac{1}{2} (f(x) - f(-x)) \\ &= \sum_s \hat{f}(s) (\chi_s(x) - \chi_s(-x)) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \sum_{|s| \text{ odd}} \hat{f}(s) \chi_s(x) \quad \square. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 
$$\text{Inf}_i^{\leq k}(f^*) \leq \text{Inf}_i^{\leq k}(f) \leq \delta.$$

Proof Obvious since  $f^*$  only keeps odd degree part of  $f$  and

$$\text{Inf}_i^{\leq k}(f) = \sum_{\substack{i \in S \\ |S| \leq k}} \hat{f}(s)^2 \quad \square$$

Claim  $f^*$  is also  $[-1, 1]$  valued.

Proof Since  $f^*(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}$  .

Applying Theorem ② to  $f^*$ ,  $\rho^*$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Stab}_{\rho^*}(f^*) &\leq 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \cos^{-1} \rho^* + \varepsilon \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} (\pi - \cos^{-1} \rho) + \varepsilon && \because \rho^* = -\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \cos^{-1} \rho + \varepsilon. \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{\#4} \end{aligned}$$

We now finish the proof as below.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{stab}_{\rho}(f) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_S \hat{f}(s)^2 \rho^{|s|} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|s| \text{ odd}} \hat{f}^*(s)^2 \rho^{|s|} && \because f^* \text{ only keeps odd coefficients} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|s| \text{ odd}} \hat{f}^*(s)^2 (-\rho^*)^{|s|} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_S \hat{f}^*(s)^2 \rho^{*|s|} \end{aligned}$$



$$= 1 - \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \hat{f}^*(s)^2 \rho^{*|s|} \right)$$

$$= 1 - \text{Stab}_{\rho^*}(f^*)$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \cos^{-1} \rho - \varepsilon \quad \text{by } \textcircled{\#4}.$$



To recall,

We need Theorem  $\textcircled{3}$  towards showing  
that  $\text{UGC} \Rightarrow \text{GW}$  is optimal.