CSCI-GA.3520-001: Honors Analysis of Algorithms

Final Exam, Dec 18, 2023, 9:00am-1:00pm

- This is a four hour exam. There are six questions, worth 10 points each. Answer all questions and all their subparts.
- No access is allowed to textbooks, course notes, any other written or published materials, any online materials, and any other materials stored on devices.
- In the past years, to pass this exam, one needed to answer 3 or 4 problems well, instead of answering all the problems poorly.
- You must submit separate answers for separate questions.
- Read the questions carefully. Keep your answers legible, and brief but precise. Assume standard results and algorithms (i.e., those taught or referred to in class or homeworks).
- You must prove correctness of your algorithm and prove its time bound unless stated otherwise. The algorithm can be written in plain English (preferred) or as a pseudo-code.

A $\{0, 1\}$ -Integer Programming instance Φ consists of variables x_1, \ldots, x_n that can take integer values 0 or 1 and a collection of m linear constraints (i.e. inequalities). For $1 \leq i \leq m$, the i^{th} constraint is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \geqslant c_i.$$

Here a_{ij}, c_i are also integers. The instance is said to be *B*-bounded if $|a_{ij}| \leq B$ for all i, j. Here *B* is thought of as a fixed constant (such as 10), but you are allowed to choose it as convenient. The instance has a solution if there is a $\{0, 1\}$ -assignment to the variables that satisfies all constraints. Let

B-bounded- $\{0,1\}$ -IP = $\{\Phi \mid \Phi \text{ is a } B\text{-bounded } \{0,1\}\text{-integer program that has a solution}\}.$

Show that *B*-bounded- $\{0,1\}$ -IP is NP-complete for some fixed constant *B* (that you are allowed to choose).

Problem 2

Given a directed graph G(V, E) (no self-loops), a *directed walk* is a sequence of vertices

 (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k)

such that $k \ge 1$ and $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ for every $1 \le i \le k-1$. Note that the k vertices on the walk need not all be distinct and k = 1 is a legitimate possibility. Two directed walks are considered different if the two corresponding sequences are different.

Assume that G(V, E) is given in its adjacency list representation and |V| = n.

- a. Design a O(|V| + |E|) time algorithm that:
 - Outputs YES if there are at least 2^n different directed walks in the graph.
 - Outputs NO if the number of different directed walks in the graph is at most $2^n 1$. In this case, the algorithm also outputs the exact number of different directed walks.
- b. Give an example of a *n*-vertex directed graph that has exactly $2^n 1$ different directed walks.

Let T(V, E) be a tree on *n* vertices. Recall that a tree is a connected, undirected graph with no cycles.

A subset of vertices $Z \subseteq V$ is called *short-gapped* if for any two vertices $x, y \in Z$, on the unique path from x to y in the tree T, no two consecutive vertices are outside of Z. In other words, for any two vertices $x, y \in Z$, if $x = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_k = y$ is the unique x to y path in T, then for every $i, 1 \leq i \leq k-1$, either $v_i \in Z$ or $v_{i+1} \in Z$ (or both).

Now suppose that every vertex $v \in T$ has an associated integer weight weight(v), which could be zero, positive, or negative. Give a polynomial time algorithm to find a subset Z of vertices that is short-gapped and has maximum total weight.

Example: In the tree below, the max-weight short-gapped subset consists of the vertices with weights 3, -2, 5, 2.



Hint: Assume, without loss of generality, that the tree is rooted (as in the example above).

A Horn-3SAT instance is a specialized form of a 3SAT instance where each clause can have at most one negated variable and a clause can have one, two, or three literals. That is, the instance consists of Boolean variables x_1, \ldots, x_n and m clauses where each clause is of one of six types (the indices i, j, k are distinct):

- $x_i, \quad \overline{x}_i, \quad x_i \lor x_j, \quad \overline{x}_i \lor x_j, \quad x_i \lor x_j \lor x_k, \quad \overline{x}_i \lor x_j \lor x_k.$
- a. Give a polynomial time algorithm to decide whether a given Horn-3SAT instance has a satisfying assignment.

Hint: The algorithm could proceed depending on whether there is a clause of the type \overline{x}_i .

b. Suppose that a Horn-3SAT instance has only three types of clauses (again, the indices i, j, k are distinct):

$$\overline{x}_i, \qquad x_i \lor x_j, \qquad x_i \lor x_j \lor x_k,$$

and of each of these three types, there are exactly $\frac{m}{3}$ clauses. Show that there exists an assignment to the variables that satisfies at least a β fraction of clauses where $0 < \beta < 1$ is a constant that you must explicitly specify. For full credit, you need to give the largest such value of β (but no need to prove that this is indeed the largest such value).

- a. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, which has at most r_a non-zero entries. Design a scheme, possibly randomized, to store the matrix and support the following operations.
 - Update entry (i, j) in expected O(1) time. Here *update* should allow changing the entry, adding the entry if not present already, or deleting the entry (which amounts to making it zero).
 - List the non-zero entries in row i in worst case time

O(the number of non-zero entries in row i).

The listing need not be in row order.

• List the non-zero entries in column j in worst case time

O(the number of non-zero entries in column j).

The listing need not be in column order.

In addition, your data structure must use at most $O(r_a + n)$ space. In principle, the numbers r_a and r_{ai} (introduced below) could keep changing with additions and deletions, but do not worry about that.

b. Let B be a second $n \times n$ matrix, which has at most r_b non-zero entries. Suppose B is stored in the same format as matrix A. Show how to compute the matrix product C = AB in expected time

$$O(n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{ai}) = O(n^2 + n \cdot r_a),$$

where r_{ai} is the number of non-zero entries in A's i^{th} row. C needs to be stored in the same format as matrices A and B.

Consider the following sorting algorithm. You can assume that all items are distinct.

Input: a set of $n_s \ge 0$ sorted items and a set of n_u unsorted items.

If $n_u = 0$ then return the sorted set. Otherwise:

Case 1. $n_s \ge n_u$.

Then let p be the middle item in the sorted set. Partition the unsorted items according to whether they are less than p or greater than or equal to p.

Recurse on the following two subproblems: the first, comprising the sorted and unsorted items less than p, and the second, comprising the sorted and unsorted items greater than or equal to p.

Return the sorted set that is ordered as the solution to the first subproblem and then the solution to the second subproblem.

Case 2. $1 \leq n_s < n_u$.

Then create a subproblem S consisting of the n_s sorted items and n_s of the unsorted items. Solve S recursively. The result is a set of $2n_s$ sorted items and $n_u - n_s$ unsorted items. Solve it recursively.

Case 3. $n_s = 0$.

Then take the first unsorted item, and make it into a 1-item sorted set, leaving the remaining $n_u - 1$ items as the unsorted set. Solve the resulting problem recursively.

Prove that this algorithm, to sort an initially unsorted set of size n, makes at most $O(n \log n)$ comparisons. Note that comparisons are made only in Case 1.

Hint: (a) Bound the number of comparisons that can be made by an item before it becomes part of a sorted subset. Note that once an item becomes part of a sorted subset, it remains in a sorted subset henceforth. (b) It will be helpful to measure the size of a subproblem as $n_s + n_u$. How does the size of the subproblem to which an unsorted item belongs change in the various cases?