

Solutions to Homework I

CS6520

Computational Complexity

February 15, 2005

Problem: Show that deciding if an instance of 2-SAT is satisfiable is in P.

Solution: The idea is eliminate one variable from the system at every step. This can be done as follows:

- If x or \bar{x} occurs as a singleton in some clause, set x accordingly. If both occur, then reject.
- If \bar{x} does not occur in any clause, set x to True (and vice versa).
- Hence x and \bar{x} both occur but only in clauses of size 2. Assume these clauses are $x \vee y_i$ and $\bar{x} \vee z_j$. Replace these clauses by the clauses $y_i \vee z_j$ for every i, j . Eliminate all duplicate clauses.

Since the number of 2-SAT clauses in n -variables is $O(n^2)$ and the number of variables reduces by 1 at every step, the running time is $O(n^3)$. To prove correctness, we will show that the system $x \vee y_i, \bar{x} \vee z_j$ has a solution iff $y_i \vee z_j$ for all i, j has a solution. The forward direction is easy. Assume that $y_i \vee z_j$ for all i, j has a solution. Then it must be that all y_i s are True or all z_j s are True. Else there is some i, j so that y_i and z_j are set to False. Then the clause $y_i \vee z_j$ is unsatisfied. We now set x_i accordingly. \square

Another solution to this Problem (see Papadimitriou's book) is to construct a graph. This in fact shows that 2-SAT is NL-complete.

Problem: Show that deciding if there is an assignment that satisfies at least K out of M clauses is NP-complete.

Solution: Given graph $G(V, E)$ with n vertices and m edges, we define an instance of 2-SAT as follows:

- Add \bar{x}_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ as a clause for every vertex in V .
- Add n copies of $x_i \vee x_j$ for each edge (i, j)

There is an assignment satisfying $nm + n - k$ clauses iff G has a vertex cover of size k . (check this!) \square

For a reduction from 3-SAT, see Papadimitriou.

Problem: The class EXP is defined as

$$\text{EXP} = \bigcup_k \text{DTIME}(2^{n^k})$$

Show that $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{EXP}$ and $\text{co-NP} \subseteq \text{EXP}$.

Solution: If $L \in \text{NP}$, there is a deterministic polynomial time verifier V such that for every $x \in L$, there is y of size $|x|^k$ such that $V(x, y)$ accepts. We construct a machine V' that runs $V(x, y)$ on all possible y s. V' accepts if there is some y where V accepts and rejects otherwise. V' runs in time 2^{n^k} . This shows $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{EXP}$. Since EXP is closed under complement so $\text{co-NP} \subseteq \text{EXP}$. \square

Problem: Show that if $\text{P} = \text{NP}$, there is a polynomial time algorithm to find a satisfying assignment to a 3-SAT formula if such an assignment exists.

Solution: If $\text{P} = \text{NP}$ there exists a polynomial time algorithm A to decide if a 3-SAT is satisfiable. Assume we have a satisfiable 3-SAT instance ϕ . To find a solution, we try $x_1 = 0$ and see if the resulting 3-SAT ϕ_0 is also satisfiable. If it is not, we set $x_1 = 1$ (this instance ϕ_1 has to be satisfiable). Repeat for remaining variables. \square

Does such an equivalence hold for every NP-complete problem?

Problem: Let BIPARTITE denote the language of all (undirected) graphs which are bipartite. Show that $\text{BIPARTITE} \in \text{NL}$.

Solution: We describe an NL machine for BIPARTITE . Recall that G is non-bipartite iff it contains an odd cycle.

We keep a counter k for path length. We guess a start vertex v and store it. For $k \leq n$ we guess the next vertex u on the path. If it happens that $u = v$ and k is odd, we accept since the graph must contain an odd cycle.

Now since $\text{NL} = \text{co-NL}$, we have $\text{BIPARTITE} \in \text{NL}$. \square

Problem: A directed graph is *strongly connected* if for every pair of vertices (u, v) there is a directed path from u to v in G . Show that the problem of deciding whether a graph is strongly connected is NL-complete.

Solution: We reduce *STCONN* to the problem of deciding if a graph is strongly connected. Given $G(V, E)$ with (s, t) , we add directed edges from every vertex $v \neq s, t$ to s and from t to v . It is easy to show that this graph is strongly connected iff there is a path from s to t in G . \square

Problem: Deciding if the following equation has an integer solution is in P.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i = b$$

Solution: Compute $g = \text{GCD}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Accept if $g|b$. If $g \nmid b$, there is not solution. Else, there exist y_i s such that $\sum_i y_i a_i = g$. Hence $X_i = \frac{b}{g} y_i$ is a solution. Finally GCD of n numbers can be computed in P. \square

In this question, it is crucial that we are allowed arbitrary integers. If we restrict to positive integers, the problem is again NP-complete. In other words, deciding whether there is an integer solution to the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i = b \quad 0 \leq X_i$$

is NP-complete.

Problem: Show that the following problem is NP-hard. Given a polynomial $P(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ with integer coefficients, the problem is to decide whether the following equation has an integer solution :

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$$

Solution: By reduction from knapsack.

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \left(\sum_i a_i X_i - b \right)^2 + \sum_i X_i^2 - X_i$$

Note that $X_i^2 - X_i$ is 0 at 0, 1 and positive for all other integers. \square

Note that one cannot guess a solution since the size may not be polynomially bounded in the sizes of the coefficients of P . In fact this problem (finding an integer solution to an equation) was Hilbert's tenth problem and it was shown to be undecidable. Adleman and Manders showed that the following is NP-complete: find an integer solution to

$$aX_1^2 + bX_2 + c = 0$$

For a language $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$, and a function $f(n)$ (assume that $f(n)$ is computable in time $O(f(n))$), let $L_f \subseteq \{0,1,\#\}^*$ denote the following language :

$$L_f := \{x\#^{f(|x|)} \mid x \in L\}$$

Problem: Suppose that $L \in \text{DTIME}(f(n))$. Then show that $L_f \in \text{DTIME}(O(n))$. Show similar results for non-deterministic time classes and deterministic space classes.

Solution: To show $L_f \in \text{DTIME}(O(n))$, we first check that the input is of the form $x\#^*$. We then check $x \in L$. We then check that the number of #s is indeed $f(n)$. If so accept. \square

Problem: Show that if $f(n)$ is a polynomial function, then $L \in P$ iff $L_f \in P$.

Solution: If $L \in P$, clearly $L_f \in P$. Assume that $L_f \in P$. Given an input x to test membership in L , we simply pad it with $f(n) = \text{poly}(n)$ # symbols and run L_f on it. Since L_f runs in polynomial time in input, it is also polynomial in $|x|$. \square

Problem: Show that $P \neq \text{DSPACE}(O(n))$.

Solution: Assume equality holds. Take a language $L \in \text{DSPACE}(O(n^2))$. By taking $f(n) = n^2$, we can get $L_f \in \text{DSPACE}(O(n)) = P$. Now by the previous problem we have $L \in P = \text{DSPACE}(O(n))$. But this violates the Space hierarchy theorem, since there are languages in $\text{DSPACE}(O(n^2))$ which are not in $\text{DSPACE}(O(n))$. \square

Problem: Define the class NEXP as

$$\text{NEXP} := \cup_k \text{NTIME}(2^{n^k})$$

Prove that if $P = NP$ then $\text{EXP} = \text{NEXP}$.

Solution: Assume $P = NP$. Take a language $L \in \text{NTIME}(2^{n^k})$. By

taking $f(n) = 2^{n^k}$, we can get $L_f \in NP = P$. By the above argument, this gives an exponential time deterministic machine for L , hence $NEXP \subseteq EXP$. \square