

July 6, 2016

Re: J/A 3 Solution, Rev. 0

To Allan Gottlieb, Technology Review Puzzle Corner:

Find a relationship among R , r , and X for a triangle with circumscribed circle (radius R), inscribed circle (radius r), and offset X between the centers.

I think this problem is best treated starting with the unit circle as the circumscribed circle, where the triangle is defined by three angles θ_1 , θ_2 , and θ_3 . For the moment, $R=1$, and in the end everything (i.e., r and X) will scale by the chosen R , angles invariant.

The mathematical literature on triangles with circumscribed and inscribed circles like this is nicely summarized in this useful 2-page article: <http://www.kurtnalty.com/Triangle>. Here are some basic definitions from that article:

Define three points by \vec{P}_1 , \vec{P}_2 , and \vec{P}_3 . From these, define the directed line segments and lengths:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{A} &= \vec{P}_2 - \vec{P}_1 \\ \vec{B} &= \vec{P}_3 - \vec{P}_2 \\ \vec{C} &= \vec{P}_1 - \vec{P}_3\end{aligned}$$

Notice these are defined cyclically such that $\vec{A} + \vec{B} + \vec{C} = 0$.
Calculate lengths, semiperimeter and area

$$\begin{aligned}a &= |\vec{A}| \\ b &= |\vec{B}| \\ c &= |\vec{C}| \\ s &= (a + b + c)/2 \\ K &= \sqrt{s * (s - a) * (s - b) * (s - c)}\end{aligned}$$

To get X , we're interested in the difference between the incenter vector \mathbf{I} and the circumcenter vector \mathbf{E} . We're also interested in how R and r relate to a , b , c , and derived quantities s and K as above. Again, from Nalty's article:

Incenter

The inscribed circle center is given by the weighted average of the coordinates by the opposite side. The center is the intersection of the three angle bisectors.

$$\vec{I} = \frac{(b * \vec{P}_1 + c * \vec{P}_2 + a * \vec{P}_3)}{a + b + c} \quad (2)$$

The radius of the inscribed circle is

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \sqrt{(s - a) * (s - b) * (s - c) / s} \\ &= K / s \end{aligned}$$

Circumcenter or Exocenter

The circumcenter or exocenter is found by erect perpendicular bisectors from each side. This center is the intersection of these lengths. Building off the $\vec{P}_1 - \vec{P}_3$ line, we have

$$\vec{E} = (1/2)(\vec{P}_1 + \vec{P}_3) + \frac{\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}}{8K^2} (\vec{C} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B})) \quad (3)$$

The radius of the circumscribed circle is

$$R = \frac{abc}{4K}$$

Given Eq. (3) for \mathbf{E} , it looks like it was a good idea to locate \mathbf{E} at the origin and not have to wrestle with vector products, unless we want to use $\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{0}$ somehow. Now $X=|\mathbf{I}|$.

On the unit circle, $\vec{P}_1 = (\cos \theta_1, \sin \theta_1)$, etc. When the magnitudes a, b, and c are computed from the definitions above, the trigonometric angle difference formula and half-angle formula give the following (define $\theta_{21}=\theta_2-\theta_1$ and similarly):

$$a = \sqrt{2(1 - \cos(\theta_{21}))} = 2 \sin\left(\frac{\theta_{21}}{2}\right)$$

$$b = \sqrt{2(1 - \cos(\theta_{32}))} = 2 \sin\left(\frac{\theta_{32}}{2}\right)$$

$$c = \sqrt{2(1 - \cos(\theta_{13}))} = 2 \sin\left(\frac{\theta_{13}}{2}\right).$$

From Nalty's article we already know how r and R relate to a, b, and c. Now it remains to find X as the magnitude of \mathbf{I} , again using the angle difference formula:

$$X = \frac{|b\vec{P}_1 + c\vec{P}_2 + a\vec{P}_3|}{a + b + c} = \frac{|(b \cos \theta_1 + c \cos \theta_2 + a \cos \theta_3, b \sin \theta_1 + c \sin \theta_2 + a \sin \theta_3)|}{a + b + c}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + 2cb \cos(\theta_{21}) + 2ab \cos(\theta_{13}) + 2ac \cos(\theta_{32})}}{a + b + c}.$$

Now we use expressions from above such as $2\cos\theta_{21}=2- a^2$ to get

$$X = \frac{\sqrt{(a+b+c)^2 - abc(a+b+c)}}{a+b+c} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{abc}{a+b+c}}. \text{ But from Nalty, above, } abc=4K \text{ and } r=2K/(a+b+c), \text{ so}$$

$X = \sqrt{1-2r}$. This is the unit circle solution, so for any R, $X = R\sqrt{1-2\frac{r}{R}}$. This checks out for the equilateral triangle, where on the unit circle $R=1$, $r=1/2$, and the centers of the two circles are well known to coincide at the origin, giving $X=0$. I think this is the “relationship” among r, R, and X that is being sought. I believe the equations above are also sufficient to solve for a, b, and c (and thus the relative angle placements, defining the triangle) given a compatible set of r, R, and X numbers.

Yours sincerely,

Timothy J. Maloney ‘71
Palo Alto, CA