# Descriptors 

EECS 442 - David Fouhey
Winter 2023, University of Michigan
https://web.eecs.umich.edu/~fouhey/teaching/EECS442_W23/

## Administrivia

- Extra OH, zoom on Tuesday. Post HW topics you think are challenging; will try to cover topvoted questions.


## Recap: Motivation



## 1: find corners+features

## Last Time - Gradients

Image gradients - treat image like function of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ - gives edges, corners, etc.


Figure credit: S. Seitz

## Last Time - Corner Detection

Can localize the location, or any shift $\rightarrow$ big intensity change.

"flat" region: no change in all directions

"edge":
no change along the edge direction

"corner": significant change in all directions

## Last Time - Corner Detection

Zoom-In at $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$
Window with and w/o Offset

"Window"
At $x+u, y+v$
Here: $u=-2, v=-3$

"Window" At $x, y$

## Last Time - Corner Detection

Zoom-In at $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ Error (Sum Sqs) for u,v offset


$$
E(u, v)=
$$

$\sum_{(x, y) \in W}(I[x+u, y+v]-I[x, y])^{2}$


## Formalizing Corner Detection

By linearizing image, we can approximate $E(u, v)$ with quadratic function of u and v

$$
\begin{gathered}
E(u, v) \approx \sum_{(x, y y \in W}\left(I_{x}^{2} u^{2}+2 I_{x} I_{y} u v+I_{y}^{2} v^{2}\right) \\
=[u, v] \boldsymbol{M}[u, v]^{T} \\
\boldsymbol{M}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x}^{2} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## $\mathrm{Ix}=\mathrm{x}$ derivative



$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[u, v] M[u, v]^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

## ly = y derivative



$$
\begin{gathered}
=[u, v] \boldsymbol{M}[u, v]^{T} \\
\boldsymbol{M}= \\
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x}^{2} & \left.\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y}\right) \\
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x}\left(I_{y}\right) & \sum_{x, y \in W}\left(I_{y}^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

Sum goes over all the pixels in window W :

$$
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x}^{2}=\sum_{x, y \in W}\left(I_{x}[y, x]\right)^{2}
$$

i.e., sum of squares of $x$ gradients in window

$$
\boldsymbol{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
=[u, v] M[u, v]^{I} \\
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x}^{2} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Intuitively what is M?

Pretend gradients are either vertical or horizontal Obviously at a pixel (solx ly = 0) Wrong!

$$
\boldsymbol{M}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x}^{2} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right] \approx\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]
$$

$a, b$ both small: flat


$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.1 & 0 \\
0 & 0.1
\end{array}\right]
$$

One big, other small:
edge $\square\left[\begin{array}{cc}50 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1\end{array}\right]$ or $\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 50\end{array}\right]$
$a, b$ both big:
corner

$\left[\begin{array}{cc}50 & 0 \\ 0 & 50\end{array}\right]$

## Intuitively what is M?

Pretend gradients are either vertical or horizontal at a pixel (solx ly = 0)

$$
\boldsymbol{M}=\left[\begin{array}{lc}
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x}^{2} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right] \approx ?\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]
$$

$a, b$ both small: flat
Image might be rotated by rotation $\theta$ !

One big, other small:
edge
$a, b$ both big:
corner

## Intuitively what is M?

Pretend gradients are either vertical or horizontal at a pixel (so lx ly = 0)

$$
\boldsymbol{M}=\left[\begin{array}{lc}
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x}^{2} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right]=\boldsymbol{V}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right] \boldsymbol{V}
$$

$a, b$ both small: flat


One big, other small:
edge
a,b both big:


If image rotated by rotation $\theta$ / matrix $\mathbf{V}$

M will look like

$$
\boldsymbol{V}^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right] \boldsymbol{V}
$$

## So What Now?

Can calculate M at pixel, by summing nearby gradients, but need access to a and b .

$$
\boldsymbol{M}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x}^{2} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right]=V^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right] \boldsymbol{V}
$$

Given $\mathbf{M}$, can decompose it into eigenvectors $\mathbf{V}$ and eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ with $\mathbf{M}=\boldsymbol{V}^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}\lambda_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}\end{array}\right] \boldsymbol{V}$.

Really slow. Why?

## So What Now?

Can calculate $M$ at pixel, by summing nearby gradients, but need access to a and b.

$$
\boldsymbol{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x}^{2} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum_{x, y \in W} I_{x} I_{y} & \sum_{x, y \in W} I_{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right]=\boldsymbol{V}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right] \boldsymbol{V}
$$

Instead: compute quantity R from $\mathbf{M}$

$$
R=\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{M})-\alpha \operatorname{trace}(\boldsymbol{M})^{2}=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}-\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

## Easy fast formula

Fast - sum the diagonal for $2 \times 2$

Empirical value, usually 0.04-0.06

## The tl;dr

TL;DR: Taylor expansion for error $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})$. All terms in equation are sums of image gradients and in $\mathbf{M}$


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Putting It Together } \\
R=\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{M})-\alpha \operatorname{trace}(\boldsymbol{M})^{2}=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}-\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

det, trace are fast


Remake of standard diagram from S. Lazebnik from original Harris paper.

## In Practice

1. Compute partial derivatives Ix , ly per pixel
2. Compute $\mathbf{M}$ at each pixel, using Gaussian weighting w

$$
\boldsymbol{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{x, y \in W} w(x, y) I_{x}^{2} & \sum_{x, y \in W} w(x, y) I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum_{x, y \in W} w(x, y) I_{x} I_{y} & \sum_{x, y \in W} w(x, y) I_{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

C.Harris and M.Stephens. "A Combined Corner and Edge Detector." Proceedings of the 4th Alvey Vision Conference: pages 147-151, 1988.

## In Practice

1. Compute partial derivatives Ix , ly per pixel
2. Compute $\mathbf{M}$ at each pixel, using Gaussian weighting w
3. Compute response function R

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{M})-\alpha \operatorname{trace}(\boldsymbol{M})^{2} \\
& =\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}-\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

C.Harris and M.Stephens. "A Combined Corner and Edge Detector." Proceedings of the 4th Alvey Vision Conference: pages 147-151, 1988.

## Computing R



## Computing R



## In Practice

1. Compute partial derivatives Ix, ly per pixel
2. Compute $\mathbf{M}$ at each pixel, using Gaussian weighting w
3. Compute response function $R$
4. Threshold R
C.Harris and M.Stephens. "A Combined Corner and Edge Detector." Proceedings of the 4th Alvey Vision Conference: pages 147-151, 1988.

## Thresholded R



## In Practice

1. Compute partial derivatives Ix , ly per pixel
2. Compute $\mathbf{M}$ at each pixel, using Gaussian weighting w
3. Compute response function $R$
4. Threshold R
5. Take only local maxima (called non-maxima suppression)
C.Harris and M.Stephens. "A Combined Corner and Edge Detector." Proceedings of the 4th Alvey Vision Conference: pages 147-151, 1988.

## Thresholded



## Final Results



## Desirable Properties

If our detectors are repeatable, they should be:

- Invariant to some things: image is transformed and corners remain the same
- Covariant/equivariant with some things: image is transformed and corners transform with it.


## Recall Motivating Problem

Images may be different in lighting and geometry


## Affine Intensity Change

$$
I_{\text {new }}=a I_{o l d}+b
$$

M only depends on derivatives, so $b$ is irrelevant
But a scales derivatives and there's a threshold


Partially invariant to affine intensity changes

## Image Translation



All done with convolution. Convolution is translation equivariant.

## Equivariant with translation

## Image Scaling



One pixel can become many pixels and vice-versa.

Not equivariant with scaling How do we fix this?

## Recap: Motivation



1: find corners+features
2: match based on local image data How?

## Today

- Fixing scaling by making detectors in both location and scale
- Enabling matching between features by describing regions


## Key Idea: Scale Space

Left to right: each image is half-sized Upsampled with big pixels below


## 四



Note: I'm also slightly blurring to prevent aliasing (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aliasing)

## Key Idea: Scale Space

Left to right: each image is half-sized If I apply a KxK filter, how much of the original image does it see in each image?


## [四



Note: I'm also slightly blurring to prevent aliasing (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aliasing)

## Solution to Scales

## Try them all!



See: Multi-Image Matching using Multi-Scale Oriented Patches, Brown et al. CVPR 2005

## Blob Detection

## Another detector (has some nice properties)



Find maxima and minima of blob filter response in scale and space

## Gaussian Derivatives

Gaussian


## Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG)



## Edge Detection with LoG



Modern remake of classic S. Seitz slide

## Edge Detection with LoG




Edges


## Edge Detection with LoG



Modern remake of classic S. Seitz slide

## Edge Detection with LoG

## Edge



## Scale Selection

Given binary circle and Laplacian filter of scale $\sigma$, we can compute the response as a function of the scale.


## Characteristic Scale

Characteristic scale of a blob is the scale that produces the maximum response


Abs. Response


## Scale-space blob detector

1. Convolve image with scale-normalized Laplacian at several scales

## Scale-space blob detector: Example



## Scale-space blob detector: Example


sigma $=11.9912$

## Scale-space blob detector

1. Convolve image with scale-normalized Laplacian at several scales
2. Find maxima of squared Laplacian response in scale-space


## Finding Maxima

Point $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ is maxima (minima if you flip sign) in image I if it's bigger than all neighbors
for $y=$ range( $i-1, i+1+1$ ):
for $x$ in range $(j-1, j+1+1)$ :
if $y==i$ and $x==j$ : continue
\#below has to be true
$1[y, x]<\mid[i, j]$

## Scale Space

Blue lines are image-space neighbors (should be just one pixel over but that's impossible to draw)


## Scale Space

Red lines are the scale-space neighbors


## Finding Maxima

Suppose $I[:,:, k]$ is image at scale $k$. Point $i, j, k$ is maxima (minima if you flip sign) in image $I$ if: for $y=$ range $(i-1, i+1+1)$ :
for $x$ in range $(j-1, j+1+1)$ :
for $c$ in range $(k-1, k+1+1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { if } y== & i \text { and } x==j \text { and } c==k: \\
& \text { continue }
\end{aligned}
$$

\#below has to be true
$\mathrm{I}[\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{c}]<\mathrm{I}[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}]$

## Scale-space blob detector: Example



## Efficient implementation

- Approximating the Laplacian with a difference of Gaussians:

$$
L=\sigma^{2}\left(G_{x x}(x, y, \sigma)+G_{y y}(x, y, \sigma)\right)
$$

(Laplacian)
$D o G=G(x, y, k \sigma)-G(x, y, \sigma)$
(Difference of Gaussians)


## Efficient implementation



David G. Lowe. "Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints." IJCV 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.
Slide credit: S. Lazebnik

## Problem 1 Solved

- How do we deal with scales: try them all
- Why is this efficient?

Vast majority of effort is in the first and second scales

$$
1+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{16}+\frac{1}{64}+\frac{1}{4^{i}} \ldots=\frac{4}{3}
$$

## Problem 2 - Describing Features

Image - 40 $1 / 2$ size, rot. $45^{\circ}$
Lightened+40

Full Image


## Problem 2 - Describing Features

Once we've found a corner/blobs, we can't just use the image nearby. What about:

1. Scale?
2. Rotation?
3. Additive light?

## Handling Scale

Given characteristic scale (maximum Laplacian response), we can just rescale image


## Handling Rotation

Given window, can compute "dominant orientation" and then rotate image



## Scale and Rotation

## SIFT features at characteristic scales and dominant orientations



Picture credit: S. Lazebnik. Paper: David G. Lowe. "Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints." IJCV 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

## Scale and Rotation



Picture credit: S. Lazebnik. Paper: David G. Lowe. "Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints." IJCV 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

## SIFT Descriptors



1. Compute gradients
2. Build histogram ( $2 \times 2$ here, $4 \times 4$ in practice) Gradients ignore global illumination changes

Figure from David G. Lowe. "Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints." IJCV 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

## SIFT Descriptors

- In principle: build a histogram of the gradients
- In reality: quite complicated
- Gaussian weighting: smooth response
- Normalization: reduces illumination effects
- Clamping
- Tons of more stuff


## Properties of SIFT

- Can handle: up to $\sim 60$ degree out-of-plane rotation, changes of illumination
- Fast, efficient, code available (but was patented)



## Feature Descriptors

Think of feature as some non-linear filter that maps pixels to 128D feature


Photo credit: N. Snavely

## Instance Matching



Example credit: J. Hays

## Instance Matching



Example credit: J. Hays

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Nearest Neighbor Trick

- Given a feature $x_{q}$, nearest neighbor to $x$ is a good match, but distances can't be thresholded.
- Instead, find nearest neighbor ( $\mathrm{x}_{1 \mathrm{NN}}$ ) and second nearest neighbor ( $\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{NN}}$ ). This ratio is a good test for matches:

$$
r=\frac{\left\|\boldsymbol{x}_{q}-\boldsymbol{x}_{1 N N}\right\|}{\left\|\boldsymbol{x}_{q}-\boldsymbol{x}_{2 N N}\right\|}
$$

## So Far; What's Next?



1: find corners+features
2: match based on local image data
3: next time: compute offsets from matches

## Extra Reading for the Curious

## Aside: This Trick is Common

Given a $50 \times 16$ person detector, how do I detect: (a) $250 \times 80$ (b) $150 \times 48$ (c) $100 \times 32$ (d) $25 \times 8$ people?


Sample people from image


## Aside: This Trick is Common

## Detecting all the people <br> The red box is a fixed size



Sample people from image
 4

## Aside: This Trick is Common

## Detecting all the people <br> The red box is a fixed size



Sample people from image


## Aside: This Trick is Common

## Detecting all the people <br> The red box is a fixed size

Sample people from image


## Affine adaptation

Consider the second moment matrix of the window containing the blob:

$$
M=\sum_{x, y} w(x, y)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{x}^{2} & I_{x} I_{y} \\
I_{x} I_{y} & I_{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right]=R^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right] R
$$



This ellipse visualizes the "characteristic shape" of the window

## Affine adaptation example



Scale-invariant regions (blobs)

## Affine adaptation example



Affine-adapted blobs

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Nearest Neighbor Trick



Figure from David G. Lowe. "Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints." IJCV 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

