Recurrent Neural Nets & Visual Captioning

Lecture 17

Slides from: Dhruv Bhatra, Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, Andrej Karpathy

Recurrent Neural Nets



many to many



Recurrent Neural Nets





Input: No sequence

Output: Sequence

Example:

Im2Caption

one to many

Input: No sequence Output: No sequence Example: "standard"

classification /

regression problems

(C) Dhruv Batra

many to one

Input: Sequence Output: No sequence Example: sentence classification, multiple-choice question answering



many to many



Input: Sequence

Output: Sequence

Example: machine translation, video captioning, openended question answering, video question answering

Synonyms

- Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)
- Types:
 - "Vanilla" RNNs
 - Long Short Term Memory (LSTMs)
 - Gated Recurrent Units (GRUs)

- ...

- Algorithms
 - BackProp Through Time (BPTT)

What's wrong with MLPs/ConvNets?

- Problem 1: Can't model sequences
 - Fixed-sized Inputs & Outputs
 - No temporal structure
- Problem 2: Pure feed-forward processing
 - No "memory", no feedback





Even where you might not expect a sequence...



(C) Dhruv Batra

Image Credit: Vinyals et al.







We can process a sequence of vectors **x** by applying a **recurrence formula** at every time step:

$$h_t = f_W(h_{t-1}, x_t)$$

Notice: the same function and the same set of parameters are used at every time step.



(Vanilla) Recurrent Neural Network

The state consists of a single "hidden" vector h:









Re-use the same weight matrix at every time-step



RNN: Computational Graph: Many to Many



RNN: Computational Graph: Many to Many





RNN: Computational Graph: Many to One



RNN: Computational Graph: One to Many



Sequence to Sequence: Many-to-one + one-tomany

Many to one: Encode input sequence in a single vector



Sequence to Sequence: Many-to-one + one-tomany

One to many: Produce output sequence from single input vector





Truncated Backpropagation through time



Run forward and backward through chunks of the sequence instead of whole sequence

Truncated Backpropagation through time



Carry hidden states forward in time forever, but only backpropagate for some smaller number of steps



Truncated Backpropagation through time

Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

Example training sequence: **"hello"**



$$h_t = \tanh(W_{hh}h_{t-1} + W_{xh}x_t + b_h)$$

Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

Example training sequence: **"hello"**



Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

Example training sequence: **"hello"**



Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

At test-time sample characters one at a time, feed back to model



Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

At test-time sample characters one at a time, feed back to model



Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

At test-time sample characters one at a time, feed back to model



Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

At test-time sample characters one at a time, feed back to model



min-char-rnn.py gist: 112 lines of Python

```
Minimal character-level Vanilla RNN model. Written by Andrej Karpathy (@karpathy)
    BSD License
    import numpy as np
    # data T/O
    data = open('input.txt', 'r').read() # should be simple plain text file
   chars = list(set(data))
    data_size, vocab_size = len(data), len(chars)
    print 'data has %d characters, %d unique.' % (data_size, vocab_size)
    char_to_ix = { ch:i for i, ch in enumerate(chars) }
    ix_to_char = { i:ch for i,ch in enumerate(chars) }
    hidden_size = 100 # size of hidden layer of neurons
    seq_length = 25 # number of steps to unroll the RNN for
    learning_rate = 1e-1
   # model parameters
21 Wxh = np.random.random(hidden size, vocab size)*0.01 # input to hidden
    Whh = np.random.random(hidden size, hidden size)*0.01 # hidden to hidden
    Why = np.random.randn(vocab_size, hidden_size)*0.01 # hidden to output
    bh = np.zeros((hidden_size, 1)) # hidden bias
by = np.zeros((vocab_size, 1)) # output bias
27 def lossFun(inputs, targets, hprev):
      inputs, targets are both list of integers.
      hprev is Hx1 array of initial hidden state
      returns the loss, gradients on model parameters, and last hidden state
      xs, hs, ys, ps = {}, {}, {}, {}
      hs[-1] = np.copy(hprev)
      loss = 0
      # forward pass
      for t in xrange(len(inputs)):
        xs[t] = np.zeros((vocab_size,1)) # encode in 1-of-k representation
        xs[t][inputs[t]] = 1
        hs[t] = np.tanh(np.dot(Wxh, xs[t]) + np.dot(Whh, hs[t-1]) + bh) # hidden state
        ys[t] = np.dot(Why, hs[t]) + by # unnormalized log probabilities for next chars
        ps[t] = np.exp(ys[t]) / np.sum(np.exp(ys[t])) # probabilities for next chars
        loss += -np.log(ps[t][targets[t],0]) # softmax (cross-entropy loss)
      # backward pass: compute gradients going backwards
      dWxh, dWhh, dWhy = np.zeros_like(Wxh), np.zeros_like(Whh), np.zeros_like(Why)
      dbh, dby = np.zeros_like(bh), np.zeros_like(by)
      dhnext = np.zeros like(hs[0])
      for t in reversed(xrange(len(inputs)));
       dy = np.copy(ps[t])
        dy[targets[t]] -= 1 # backprop into y
        dwhy += np.dot(dy, hs[t].T)
        dby += dy
        dh = np.dot(Why.T. dy) + dhnext # backprop into h
       dhraw = (1 - hs[t] * hs[t]) * dh # backprop through tanh nonlinearity
        dbh += dhraw
        dWxh += np.dot(dhraw, xs[t].T)
        dwhh += np.dot(dhraw, hs[t-1].T)
        dhnext = np.dot(Whh.T, dhraw)
      for dparam in [dWxh, dWhh, dWhy, dbh, dby]:
       np.clip(dparam, -5, 5, out=dparam) # clip to mitigate exploding gradients
      return loss, dWxh, dWhh, dWhy, dbh, dby, hs[len(inputs)-1]
```

```
63 def sample(h, seed_ix, n):
64 """
65 sample a sequence of integers from the model
```

- 6 h is memory state, seed_ix is seed letter for first time step
- x = np.zeros((vocab_size, 1))
- 69 x[seed_ix] = 1
- ixes = []
- for t in xrange(n):
 - h = np.tanh(np.dot(Wxh, x) + np.dot(Whh, h) + bh)
- y = np.dot(Why, h) + by
- p = np.exp(y) / np.sum(np.exp(y))
- ix = np.random.choice(range(vocab_size), p=p.ravel())
 x = np.zeros((vocab_size, 1))
 - x = up.zeros((vocab_size, 1))
 x[ix] = 1
- ixes.append(ix)
- return ixes
- 81 n, p = 0, 0
 - mixh, mwhh, mwhh = np.zeros_like(Wxh), np.zeros_like(Whh), np.zeros_like(Why) mbh, mby = np.zeros_like(bh), np.zeros_like(by) # memory variables for Adagrad
- smooth_loss = -np.log(1.0/vocab_size)*seq_length # loss at iteration 0
- while True:
- # prepare inputs (we're sweeping from left to right in steps seq_length long)
- if p+seq_length+1 >= len(data) or n == 0: hprev = np.zeros((hidden_size, 1)) # reset RNN memory
- 9 p = 0 # go from start of data
- inputs = [char_to_ix[ch] for ch in data[p:p+seq_length]]
- targets = [char_to_ix[ch] for ch in data[p+1:p+seq_length+1]]
- 92 93 # sample from the model now and the
- 94 if n % 100 == 0;
- sample_ix = sample(hprev, inputs[0], 200)
- 96 txt = ''.join(ix_to_char[ix] for ix in sample_ix)
- print '---- \n %s \n----' % (txt,)
- 99 # forward seq_length characters through the net and fetch gradient
- loss, dWxh, dWhh, dWhy, dbh, dby, hprev = lossFun(inputs, targets, hprev)
- 01 smooth_loss = smooth_loss * 0.999 + loss * 0.001
- if n % 100 == 0: print 'iter %d, loss: %f' % (n, smooth_loss) # print progress
- # perform parameter update with Adagrad
- of for param, dparam, mem in zip([Wxh, Whh, Why, bh, by],
 - [dWxh, dWhh, dWhy, dbh, dby],
 - [mwxh, mwhh, mwhy, mbh, mby]): mem += dparam * dparam
- param += -learning_rate * dparam / np.sqrt(mem + 1e-8) # adagrad update
- 0
- 111 p += seq_length # move data pointer
 - n += 1 # iteration counter

(https://gist.github.com/karpathy/d4dee 566867f8291f086)

THE SONNETS

by William Shakespeare

From fairest creatures we desire increase, That thereby beauty's rose might never die, But as the riper should by time decease, His tender heir might bear his memory: But thou, contracted to thine own bright eyes, Feed'st thy light's flame with self-substantial fuel, Making a famine where abundance lies, Thyself thy foe, to thy sweet self too cruel: Thou that art now the world's fresh ornament, And only herald to the gaudy spring, Within thine own bud buriest thy content, And tender churl mak'st waste in niggarding: Pity the world, or else this glutton be, To eat the world's due, by the grave and thee.

When forty winters shall besiege thy brow, And dig deep trenches in thy beauty's field, Thy youth's proud livery so gazed on now, Will be a tatter'd weed of small worth held: Then being asked, where all thy beauty lies, Where all the treasure of thy lusty days; To say, within thine own deep sunken eyes, Were an all-eating shame, and thriftless praise. How much more praise deserv'd thy beauty's use, If thou couldst answer 'This fair child of mine Shall sum my count, and make my old excuse,' Proving his beauty by succession thine! This were to be new made when thou art old,

And see thy blood warm when thou feel'st it cold.




Multilayer RNNs

$$\begin{aligned} h^l_t &= \tanh W^l \begin{pmatrix} h^{l-1}_t \\ h^l_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ h \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad W^l \ [n \times 2n] \end{aligned}$$



Bengio et al, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994 Pascanu et al, "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks", ICML 2013



$$h_{t} = \tanh(W_{hh}h_{t-1} + W_{xh}x_{t})$$
$$= \tanh\left(\left(W_{hh} \quad W_{hx}\right) \begin{pmatrix}h_{t-1}\\x_{t}\end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$= \tanh\left(W\begin{pmatrix}h_{t-1}\\x_{t}\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

Bengio et al, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994 Pascanu et al, "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks", ICML 2013

$$h_{t} = \tanh(W_{hh}h_{t-1} + W_{xh}x_{t})$$
$$= \tanh\left(\begin{pmatrix}W_{hh} & W_{hx}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}h_{t-1}\\x_{t}\end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$= \tanh\left(W\begin{pmatrix}h_{t-1}\\x_{t}\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

Backpropagation from h_t to h_{t-1} multiplies by W (actually W_{hh}^{T})



Bengio et al, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994 Pascanu et al, "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks", ICML 2013



Computing gradient of h_0 involves many factors of W (and repeated tanh)

Bengio et al, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994 Pascanu et al, "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks", ICML 2013



Computing gradient of h₀ involves many factors of W (and repeated tanh) Largest singular value > 1: **Exploding gradients**

Largest singular value < 1: **Vanishing gradients**

Bengio et al, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994 Pascanu et al, "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks", ICML 2013



Bengio et al, "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult", IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 1994 Pascanu et al, "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks", ICML 2013



Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)

Vanilla RNN

LSTM

$$h_t = \tanh\left(W\begin{pmatrix}h_{t-1}\\x_t\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} i \\ f \\ o \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \tau \\ tanh \end{pmatrix} W \begin{pmatrix} h_{t-1} \\ x_t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$c_t = f \odot c_{t-1} + i \odot g$$
$$h_t = o \odot \tanh(c_t)$$

Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, "Long Short Term Memory", Neural Computation 1997

Meet LSTMs



(C) Dhruv Batra Image Credit: Christopher Olah (http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/)

LSTMs Intuition: Memory

Cell State / Memory



47

LSTMs Intuition: Forget Gate

• Should we continue to remember this "bit" of information or not?



$$f_t = \sigma \left(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f \right)$$

48

LSTMs Intuition: Input Gate

- Should we update this "bit" of information or not?
 - If so, with what?



$$i_t = \sigma \left(W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i \right)$$
$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C)$$

LSTMs Intuition: Memory Update

• Forget that + memorize this



 $C_t = f_t * C_{t-1} + i_t * \tilde{C}_t$

50

LSTMs Intuition: Output Gate

 Should we output this "bit" of information to "deeper" layers?



$$o_t = \sigma \left(W_o \left[h_{t-1}, x_t \right] + b_o \right)$$
$$h_t = o_t * \tanh \left(C_t \right)$$

LSTMs Intuition: Additive Updates



Backpropagation from c_t to c_{t-1} only elementwise multiplication by f, no matrix multiply by W

52

LSTMs Intuition: Additive Updates



53

LSTMs Intuition: Additive Updates



Image Credit: Christopher Olah (http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/)

LSTMs



(C) Dhruv Batra Image Credit: Christopher Olah (http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/)



Image Embedding (VGGNet)







Sequence Model Factor Graph



Beam Search Demo

http://dbs.cloudcv.org/captioning

Image Captioning



Figure from Karpathy et a, "Deep /isual-Semantic Alignments for Generating Image Descriptions", CVPR 2015; figure copyright IEEE, 2015. Reproduced for educational purposes.

- Many recent works on this:
- Baidu/UCLA: Explain Images with Multimodal Recurrent Neural Networks
- Toronto: Unifying Visual-Semantic Embeddings with Multimodal Neural Language Models
- Berkeley: Long-term Recurrent Convolutional Networks for Visual Recognition and Description
- Google: Show and Tell: A Neural Image Caption Generator
- Stanford: Deep Visual-Semantic Alignments for Generating Image Description
- UML/UT: Translating Videos to Natural Language Using Deep Recurrent Neural Networks
- Microsoft/CMU: Learning a Recurrent Visual Representation for Image Caption Generation
- Microsoft: From Captions to Visual Concepts and Back

Recurrent Neural Network



Convolutional Neural Network



This image is CC0 public domain





test image







x0

<STA RT>

<START>



test image

FC-4090 FC-1000 softmax





test image

before: h = tanh(Wxh * x + Whh * h)

now:

h = tanh(Wxh * x + Whh * h + Wih * v)










Image Captioning: Example Results

Captions generated using <u>neuraltalk2</u> All images are <u>CC0 Public domain</u>:

cat suitcase, cat tree, dog, bear, surfers, tennis, giraffe, motorcycle



A cat sitting on a suitcase on the floor



A cat is sitting on a tree branch



A dog is running in the grass with a frisbee



A white teddy bear sitting in the grass



Two people walking on the beach with surfboards



A tennis player in action on the court



Two giraffes standing in a grassy field



A man riding a dirt bike on a dirt track

Image Captioning: Failure Cases

Captions generated using <u>neuraltalk2</u> All images are <u>CC0 Public domain</u>: <u>fur</u> <u>coat</u>, <u>handstand</u>, <u>spider web</u>, <u>baseball</u>



A woman is holding a cat in her hand



A person holding a computer mouse on a desk



A woman standing on a beach holding a surfboard



A bird is perched on a tree branch



A man in a baseball uniform throwing a ball

More Image Captioning Examples



[men (0.59)] [group (0.66)] [woman (0.64)] [people (0.89)] [holding (0.60)] [playing (0.61)] [tennis (0.69)] [court (0.51)] [standing (0.59)] [skis (0.58)] [street (0.52)] [man (0.77)] [skateboard (0.67)]

a group of people standing next to each other people stand outside a large ad for gap featuring a young boy



[person (0.55)] [street (0.53)] [holding (0.55)] [group (0.63)] [slope (0.51)] [standing (0.62)] [snow (0.91)] [skis (0.74)] [player (0.54)] [people (0.85)] [men (0.57)] [skiing (0.51)] [skateboard (0.89)] [riding (0.75)] [tennis (0.74)] [trick (0.53)] [skate (0.52)] [woman (0.52)] [man (0.86)] [down (0.61)]

a group of people riding skis down a snow covered slope a guy on a skate board on the side of a ramp



g in the direction of the pigeons



a baby elephant standing next to each other on a field elephants are playing together in a shallow watering hole

From Captions to Visual Concepts and Back, Hao Fang* Saurabh Gupta* Forrest Iandola* Rupesh K. Srivastava*, Li Deng Piotr Dollar, Jianfeng Gao Xiaodong He, Margaret Mitchell John C. Platt, C. Lawrence Zitnick, Geoffrey Zweig, CVPR 2015.

Show, Attend and Tell

(Xu et al., 2015)

Instead of learning word detectors over image regions, consider learning an **attention model** instead

- What is visual attention?
- How to augment Show and Tell with visual attention
- Soft vs. hard attention







Slides from Stanford 231n



Slides from Stanford 231n



Slides from Stanford 231n

Xu et al., Show, Attend, and Tell: Neural Image Caption Generation with Visual Attention, ICML 2015

81

Soft Attention

 \boldsymbol{z}_{t} is calculated by taking the weighted sum of all feature vectors \boldsymbol{a}

$$z_t = \sum_{i=1}^L \alpha_t[i] \cdot a_i$$



- Deterministic: α'_i 's assign relative importance to give to location *i* in blending the α'_i 's together
- Learned using standard backpropagation

Soft Attention: Examples

A(1.00)





More examples at project website Xu et al., <u>Show, Attend, and Tell: Neural Image Caption Generation with Visual Attention</u>, ICML 2015

Hard Attention

At time step *t*, the index into the feature vectors is sampled from the current location distribution vector α_{t}

$$k = sample(\alpha_t)$$

$$z_t = a_k$$

- Stochastic: α_i's assign probability that location *i* is the right place to focus for producing the next word
- Focuses on one image region at a time
- Non-differentiable due to sampling
 - Set up as reinforcement learning problem:
 - Action = which area to attend to next
 - Reward = log-likelihood of caption wrt to target sentence

Soft vs. Hard Attention



Examples





A(1.00)



How to Evaluate different captions?



- 1. A woman in a green shirt is getting food ready with a child , while sitting on rocks .
- 2. A mother and child having a picnic on a big rock with blue utensils .
- 3. A woman serving food for a little boy outside on a large rock .
- 4. A woman and a baby eating (having a picnic) .
- 5. A mother and child picnic on some rocks .

P. Young et al., From image descriptions to visual denotations: New similarity metrics for semantic inference over event descriptions, TACL 2014

BLEU (BiLingual Evaluation Understudy)

(Papineni et al., 2002)

- "The closer a machine translation is to a professional human translation, the better it is."
- Analyzes co-occurrences of *n*-grams between candidate and reference sentences
 - O Modified (clipped) *n*-gram precision
 - O Brevity penalty to penalize short candidate sentences
- Has been shown in MT literature to be an insufficient metric (Callison-Burch et al., 2006)
 - O Many large variations of a generated sentence can score identically
 - O Higher BLEU score is not necessarily indicative of higher human-judged quality

Candidate: the the the the the the the the. Reference 1: The cat is on the mat. Reference 2: There is a cat on the mat. Modified Unigram Precision = 2/7.



Reference captions:

- 1. Latino man holding sign on the sidewalk outside promoting Quiznos-Subs .
- 2. A man is holding an advertisement for Quiznos Subs .
- 3. A man is holding a Quiznos sign next to a street .
- 4. A man is holding a Quiznos Sub sign .

Candidate caption:

Quiznos worker wearing sign .

BLEU-4 = 0.106

P. Young et al., From image descriptions to visual denotations: New similarity metrics for semantic inference over event descriptions, TACL 2014

METEOR

(Banerjee & Lavie, 2005)

More flexible MT metric that calculates sentence-level similarity scores as a harmonic mean of unigram precision & recall, based on:

- Exact token matching
- Stemmed tokens
- WordNet synonyms
- Paraphrases

SYSTEMJim went homeREFERENCEJoe goes home

SYSTEMJim walks homeREFERENCEJoe goes home

Examples from <u>Statistical Machine Translation slides</u> Banerjee & Lavie, <u>METEOR: An Automatic Metric for MT Evaluation with Improved Correlation with Human Judgments</u>, ACL 2005

CIDEr: Consensus-based Image Description Evaluation

(Vedantam et al., 2015)

- "Does a caption describe an image as most people tend to describe it?"
- Automatically evaluate for image I_i how well a candidate sentence c_i matches the **consensus** of a set of image descriptions $S_i = \{s_{i1}, \dots, s_{im}\}$
- Intuitively, a measure of consensus should:
 - O Encode how often *n*-grams in the candidate sentence are present in the reference sentences
 - O *n*-grams not present in the reference sentences should not be in the candidate sentence
 - O *n*-grams that commonly occur across all images in the dataset should be given lower weight, since they are likely to be less informative

In practice: perform a **Term Frequency Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF)** (Robertson, 2004) weighting for each *n*-gram

Vedantam et al., CIDEr: Consensus-based Image Description Evaluation, CVPR 2015

\$	CIDEr-D 🔻	Meteor 🖕	ROUGE-L	BLEU-1 🖕	BLEU-2	BLEU-3	BLEU-4	date 🔶
Watson Multimodal ^[46]	1.123	0.268	0.559	0.773	0.609	0.461	0.344	2016-11-16
MSM@MSRA ^[29]	1.049	0.266	0.552	0.751	0.588	0.449	0.343	2016-10-25
G-RMI(PG-SPIDEr-TAG) ^[17]	1.042	0.255	0.551	0.751	0.591	0.445	0.331	2016-11-11
MetaMind/VT_GT ^[25]	1.042	0.264	0.55	0.748	0.584	0.444	0.336	2016-12-01
ATT-IMG (MSM@MSRA) ^[5]	1.023	0.262	0.551	0.752	0.59	0.449	0.34	2016-06-13
G-RMI (PG-BCMR) ^[16]	1.013	0.257	0.55	0.754	0.591	0.445	0.332	2016-10-30
DONOT_FAIL_AGAIN ^[13]	1.01	0.262	0.542	0.734	0.564	0.425	0.32	2016-11-22
DLTC@MSR ^[12]	1.003	0.257	0.543	0.74	0.575	0.436	0.331	2016-09-04
Postech_CV ^[38]	0.987	0.255	0.539	0.743	0.575	0.431	0.321	2016-06-13
feng ^[15]	0.986	0.255	0.54	0.743	0.578	0.434	0.323	2016-11-06

Human ^[21]	0.854	0.252	0.484	0.663	0.469	0.321	0.217	2015-03-23
-----------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	------------

...

According to CIDEr, humans are in 38th place!!