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IJCAI-16 marked the first running of the Winograd Schema Challenge, sponsored by Nuance Communications. The Winograd Schema Challenge was originally conceived by Hector Levesque (Levesque, 2011; Levesque, Davis, and Morgenstern, 2012) as an alternative to the Turing Test that has clear criteria for success and doesn’t rely on deception. Six systems were entered, exploiting a variety of technologies. None were able to advance from the first round to the second and final round.

The Winograd Schema Challenge is concerned with finding the referents of pronouns, or solving the *pronoun disambiguation problem*. Doing this correctly appears to rely on having a solid base of commonsense knowledge and the ability to reason intelligently with that knowledge. This can be seen from considering an example of a Winograd schema. Like all Winograd schemas, it consists of two halves:

[1]John took the water bottle out of the backpack so that ***it*** would be lighter.

[2]John took the water bottle out of the backpack so that ***it*** would be handy.

The referent of *it* in [1] is the backpack; the referent of *it*in [2] is the water bottle. A human can easily figure out the referent of *it* in [1] because it is commonsense knowledge that when one takes an object out of a container, the object’s weight remains the same, but the container weighs less. The human can figure out the referent of *it* in [2] because it is commonsense knowledge that nearly all objects are handier when they are out of, rather than in, a bulky container like a backpack. This simple example draws on commonsense concepts such as weight, containment, and convenience that intelligent people typically use during their daily lives.

Sentences [1] and [2] are nearly identical except for a pair of *special words or phrases;* it is the choice of the special word or phrase —- in this case lighter / handy —- that changes the referent of the pronoun. All Winograd schemas have this property: This ensures that one cannot exploit properties of the structure of a particular sentence to guess at a pronoun’s referent in the absence of commonsense knowledge.

The Winograd Schema Challenge Competition consists of two tests. The first test is comprised of pronoun disambiguation problems, most of which have been collected from naturally occurring text in fiction or non-fiction, but for which a companion schema and associated special word or phrase are not necessarily known. An example (from “Sylvester and the Magic Pebble”) is:

[3] The donkey wished a wart on its hind leg would disappear, and it did. [“It” refers to “wart,” rather than “donkey” or “leg”.]

The second test contains randomly chosen halves of Winograd Schemas. A system takes the second test only if it does sufficiently well on the first test. If a system can pass both tests with a mark of at least 90% and no less than 5% worse than human performance, it is eligible to win the challenge prize of $25,000. The competition has been divided into two rounds because it is more difficult to manually create Winograd schemas than to collect pronoun disambiguation problems.

There were six systems entered into the 2016 competition, representing four different teams. The table below summarizes their results. The asterisks for Quan Liu’s three systems are due to a problem with unexpected punctuation in XML input and that affected a handful of questions. The starred scores represent performance on the corrected XML input files.

No team did well enough on the first test to qualify for the second test, so the second test was not given. The list of problems can be found at http://www.commonsensereasoning.org.

The problems on both parts of the competition were validated on human subjects in advance. The human subjects achieved better than 90% accuracy.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Contestant** | **Number correct** | **Percentage correct** |
| Patrick DhondtIndependent Researcher | 27 | 45% |
| Denis RobertIndependent Researcher | 19 | 31.666% |
| Nicos IssakOpen University of Cyprus | 29 | 48.33% |
| Quan Liu (1)University of Science and Technology of China | 28 | 46.9% (48.33)\* |
| Quan Liu (2)University of Science and Technology of China | 29 | 48.33% (58.33)\* |
| Quan Liu (3)University of Science and Technology of China | 27 | 45% (58.33)\* |

The next Winograd Schema Challenge will take place at AAAI 2018. Further information will be available at http://www.commonsensereasoning.org.
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