

## Dating Strategy

Ralph is considering asking Josephine out on a date for Saturday night. He posits the following stochastic model, based on God knows what evidence. There are three random Boolean variables.

- $S$  — Josephine is otherwise free on Saturday.  $P(S = T) = 0.6$ .
- $L$  — Josephine likes him.  $P(L = T) = 0.75$ .
- $D$  — Josephine will accept his invitation to a date. The probabilities are shown below.

| $P(D=T \mid S, L)$ | $S=T$                      | $S=F$                                   |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---|
| $L=T$              | 0.95 (Sure!)               | 0.1 (J. will ditch previous engagement) |
| $L=F$              | 0.2 (nothing better to do) | 0.0 (Are you kidding?)                  |

Assume, finally, that  $S$  and  $L$  are absolutely independent.

A. Evaluate  $P(D)$ .

B. Sad to say, Josephine declined the date. Evaluate  $P(L = T \mid D = F)$ .

C. Faint heart never won fair lady. There is next Saturday. Assume that  $L$  remains constant. There are now two new random variables:  $S_2$  is the event that Josephine is free next Saturday.  $D_2$  is the event that she agrees to go on a date next Saturday. Assume that the distribution of  $P(S_2)$  is the same as  $P(S)$ ; that  $P(D_2 \mid L, S_2)$  is the same as  $P(D \mid L, S)$ ; that  $S_2$  is absolutely independent of  $S$ ,  $L$ , and  $D$ ; and that  $D_2$  is conditionally independent of  $S$  and  $D$  given  $S_2$  and  $L$ .

Evaluate  $P(D_2 = T \mid D_1 = F)$ .

D. Ralph associates the following utilities to various actions and outcomes (don't ask me what the units are).

- Summoning up the courage to ask for a date has a disutility of  $-1$ , each time it has to be done.
- Being rejected has a disutility of  $-3$ , each time it happens.
- If  $L = T$ , then a date has a utility of 20. If  $L = F$ , then a date has a disutility of  $-6$ .

Draw and evaluate a decision tree for Ralph at the start, showing the following three courses of action:

- Ralph never asks for any dates.
- Ralph asks for a date this week. If it is rejected, he does not try again.
- Ralph asks for a date this week. If it is rejected, he asks again for next week.

What is Ralph's best course of action, and what is his expected utility if he adopts that?

## Problem 2

A. Suppose you have a collection of  $N$  clauses in the propositional logic, where each clause has three literals with three different atoms.

You assign a truth value to each atom at random, with equal probability true or false. Let random variable  $K$  be the number of clauses satisfied by the assignment. What is  $\text{Exp}(K)$  as a function of  $N$ ? Justify your answer.

For example one set with  $N = 5$  is

- 1.  $P \vee \neg Q \vee R.$
- 2.  $\neg P \vee Q \vee W.$
- 3.  $P \vee \neg R \vee W.$
- 4.  $P \vee R \vee \neg W.$
- 5.  $Q \vee R \vee \neg W. \}$

If you randomly choose  $P = F, Q = T, R = T, W = F$ , then in that case 1, 2, 4, and 5 are satisfied but 3 is unsatisfied, so in that case, the value of  $K$  is 4.

Hint: This is an *easy* problem; your answer should not be more than three or four sentences long. Determining the probability distribution of  $K$  is difficult, and depends on the particular set of clauses. For example,  $P(K = N)$  is equal to 0 only if the set of clauses is unsatisfiable, which, as we've seen, is a hard problem (co-NP-complete). But  $\text{Exp}(K)$  is the same, regardless of what the clauses are (even if the collection is just  $N$  repetitions of the same clause, say.)

B. Find the probability distribution for  $K$  for the above specific example of five clauses. (There is no clever way to do this; you just have to enumerate all 16 different valuations.)