

## HOMEWORK 6

Due: Thursday, May 1

**Definitions:** Given boolean functions  $f_1, \dots, f_d$ , a “ $k$ -query test” is a probability distribution  $\Pr$  on “checks” of the form

$$\phi(f_{i_1}(x^{(1)}), \dots, f_{i_k}(x^{(k)})).$$

Here the random variables are  $\phi$ , a predicate  $\{-1, 1\}^k \rightarrow \{\text{pass}, \text{fail}\}$ , the indices  $i_1, \dots, i_k$ , and the strings  $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}$ . The “predicate support” of the test, denoted  $\Phi$ , is the collection of all  $k$ -bit predicates used with nonzero probability.

**1. DPCTs.** A “Dictator Projection-Consistency Test” (DPCT) consists of two parts:

- (i) A  $k$ -query test over just two functions,  $f_1 : \{-1, 1\}^K \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  and  $f_2 : \{-1, 1\}^{dK} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ . We write the coordinates for  $f_2$  as  $[dK] = J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_K$ , where each  $|J_i| = d$ .
- (ii) Two (independent) *randomized* decoding procedures  $\text{Dec}_1 : f_1 \mapsto i \in [K]$  and  $\text{Dec}_2 : f_2 \mapsto j \in [dK]$ . The second one should be “permutation-invariant”, meaning that for all permutations  $\sigma$  on  $[dK]$  and all functions  $f_2$ , the distribution  $\text{Dec}_2(f_2 \circ \sigma)$  should be the same as the distribution  $\sigma(\text{Dec}_2(f_2))$ .

The DPCT has “completeness”  $c$  if the following holds: If  $f_1 = \chi_i$  and  $f_2 = \chi_j$  where  $j \in J_i$ , then  $\Pr[\text{pass}] \geq c$ . The DPCT has “ $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -soundness”  $s$  if, whenever  $\Pr[\text{pass}] \geq s + \epsilon$ , the probability that  $\text{Dec}_2(f_2) \in J_{\text{Dec}_1(f_1)}$  is at least  $\delta$ , where the probability is over the (independent) decoding procedures.

Assume there exists a family of DPCTs, one for each value of  $K$  and  $d$ , and that for all constant  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists constant  $\delta > 0$  such that each DPCT has completeness  $c$  and  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -soundness  $s$ . Show that for all constant  $\eta > 0$ , the  $c$  vs.  $s + \eta$  Max- $\Phi$  problem is NP-hard, where  $\Phi$  is the predicate support of the tests.

**2. DATs.**

- a. Suppose that we have a  $k$ -query test operating on just a single function  $f : \{-1, 1\}^K \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ . Show that  $\Pr[\text{pass}]$  can be expressed as a sum of products of  $k$  of  $f$ 's Fourier coefficients.
- b. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$  be a collection of functions  $\{-1, 1\}^K \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ . Suppose we take the one-function test from part (a) and “apply it to  $\mathcal{F}$ ”, meaning that we convert each check

$$\phi(f(x^{(1)}), \dots, f(x^{(k)}))$$

to the check

$$\phi(f_{i_1}(x^{(1)}), \dots, f_{i_k}(x^{(k)})),$$

where the  $i_j$ 's are independently and uniformly chosen from  $[d]$ . Show that  $\Pr[\text{pass}]$  can be written as the *same* sum of products of  $k$  of  $F$ 's Fourier coefficients, where  $F : \{-1, 1\}^K \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  denotes the function  $F(x) = \text{avg}_{i \in [d]} f_i(x)$ .

- c. A “Dictator Average Test” (DAT) is like a DPCT, except that its  $k$ -query test only operates on single functions  $f : \{-1, 1\}^K \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , and it has only a single, permutation-invariant decoding procedure  $\text{Dec} : f \mapsto i \in [K]$ . It has completeness at least  $c$  if  $\Pr[\text{pass}] \geq c$  whenever  $f$  is a dictator,  $f = \chi_i$  for some  $i$ . It has  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -soundness  $s$  if, whenever it is applied to a *collection*  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$  and  $\Pr[\text{pass}] \geq \epsilon$ , the probability that  $\text{Dec}(f_{i_1}) = \text{Dec}(f_{i_2})$  is at least  $\delta$ , where  $i_1, i_2 \in [d]$  are chosen independently and uniformly (and the decodings are independently done).

Let  $k$  be a universal constant. Assume there exists a family of DATs, one for each value of  $K$ , and that for all constant  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists constant  $\delta > 0$  such that each DAT has completeness  $c$  and  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -soundness  $s$ . Show that for all constant  $\eta > 0$ , the  $c - \eta$  vs.  $s + \eta$  Max- $\Phi$  problem is NP-hard assuming the Unique Games Conjecture, where  $\Phi$  is the predicate support of the tests.

### 3. Gadgets.

- Show that  $1 - \epsilon$  vs.  $7/8 + \epsilon$  for Max-E3Sat is NP-hard. (Hint: reduce from Hastad's theorem. Remark: indeed,  $1$  vs.  $7/8 + \epsilon$  is known to be NP-hard.)
- Let "Max-Maj<sub>3</sub>" be the CSP where the constraints are of the form "Majority( $\ell_{i_1}, \ell_{i_2}, \ell_{i_3}$ ) = 1", where the  $\ell$ 's denote literals  $x_i$  or  $\bar{x}_i$ . Show that approximating Max-Maj<sub>3</sub> to factor  $2/3 + \epsilon$  is NP-hard. (Hint: same. Remark: there is a factor- $2/3$  approximation algorithm for Max-Maj<sub>3</sub> using SDP, but its analysis is quite tricky.)
- Suppose that there is a factor- $\alpha$  approximation algorithm for Max-And <sub>$k$</sub> , the CSP where each constraint is an AND of up to  $k$  literals. Show that there is a factor- $\alpha$  approximation algorithm for "Max- $k$ CSP", the CSP where any constraint on up to  $k$  variables is allowed.

**4. Min-E2Lin-Deletion to Multicut.** Suppose there is a factor- $\alpha$  approximation algorithm for Multicut. Show there is a factor- $\alpha$  approximation algorithm for "Min-E2Lin-Deletion" — i.e., a  $1 - \epsilon$  vs.  $1 - \alpha\epsilon$  algorithm for Max-E2Lin.

**5. Semidefinite Programs for Sparsest Cut.** Consider the sparsest cut problem given by a  $n$ -vertex graph with the edge-capacity vector  $C$  and demand vector  $D$ , where we want to find a cut  $(S, \bar{S})$  minimizing  $\frac{C \cdot \delta_S}{D \cdot \delta_S}$ . Also, recall that  $\ell_2^2$  is the set of all distances  $d$  such that  $\sqrt{d} \in \ell_2$ .

- In Lecture 20, we considered the relaxation

$$\min_{d \in \ell_2^2} \frac{C \cdot d}{D \cdot d}$$

and claimed that this relaxation has a very large integrality gap of  $\Omega(n)$ . Give an example showing this.

- We then considered the relaxation

$$\min_{d \in \ell_2^2 \cap \text{metrics}} \frac{C \cdot d}{D \cdot d}.$$

Write a semidefinite program that finds such a metric  $d$ .

**6. Getting Semidefinite Programs from Linear Programs.** Suppose the  $\{0, 1\}$  variables for some problem in question are  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ , and the ILP formulation of the problem seeks to maximize  $c^T x$  subject to the integrality constraints  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and problem constraints  $g_\ell(x) \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ . E.g., for vertex cover, we have  $m = |E|$  problem constraints

$$g_{ab}(x) = x_a + x_b - 1 \quad \forall (a, b) \in E.$$

Let  $K$  be the LP relaxation of this ILP obtained by dropping the integrality constraints. (For vertex cover, this is the standard LP relaxation  $K_{VC}$ , which we know has an integrality gap of 2.)

Suppose we have a vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2^n}$ , with a coordinate for each subset of variables in  $[n]$ . The *moment matrix*  $M_t(y)$  is an  $\binom{[n]}{\leq t} \times \binom{[n]}{\leq t}$  matrix indexed by pairs of sets of at most  $t$  variables, whose entries are

$$(M_t(y))_{I, J} = y_{I \cup J} \quad \forall I, J \in \binom{[n]}{\leq t}$$

Note that each linear constraint  $g_\ell$  can also be viewed as a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{2^n}$ : the coordinates in this vector for sets of size  $\leq 1$  correspond to the coefficients of the corresponding variable in the linear constraint (including the constant), and all other coordinates in the vector are 0. E.g., the vector corresponding to  $g_{ab}$  has 1 in positions for  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  and  $-1$  in the position for  $\emptyset$ . Finally, given two vectors  $g$  and  $y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2^n}$ ,  $(g * y)$  is another vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{2^n}$ , such that for  $H \subseteq [n]$ ,

$$(g * y)(H) = \sum_{J \subseteq [n]} g(J) y(J \cup H).$$

Recall that  $A \succcurlyeq 0$  denotes the constraint that  $A$  is a positive-semidefinite matrix. Given a ILP formulation as above, and some integer  $t \geq 0$ , define the set  $P_t(K)$  to consist of the points  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2^n}$  such that

$$M_{t+1}(y) \succcurlyeq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad M_t(g_\ell * y) \succcurlyeq 0 \quad \forall \ell = 1 \dots m.$$

Define  $Q_t(K)$  to be the "projection" on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of  $P_t(K) \cap \{y \mid y_\emptyset = 1\}$ ; i.e., for each vector  $y \in P_t(K) \cap \{y \mid y_\emptyset = 1\}$ , the set  $Q_t(K)$  contains a  $n$ -dimensional vector  $y'$  such that  $y'_i = y_{\{i\}}$  for all  $i \in [n]$ .

- Consider the polytope  $K_{VC}$  given by the LP relaxation for vertex cover above. Show that  $Q_0(K_{VC}) \subseteq K_{VC}$ .
- Write the constraints corresponding to  $P_1(K_{VC})$ .