Programming Paradigms for Concurrency Lecture 5 – Monitors and Blocking Synchronization



Based on The Art of Multiprocessor Programming

by Maurice Herlihy & Nir Shavit

Thomas Wies New York University

What Should you do if you can't get a lock?

- Keep trying
 - "spin" or "busy-wait"
 - Good if delays are short
- Give up the processor
 - Good if delays are long
 - Always good on uniprocessor

What Should you do if you can't get a lock?

- Keep trying
 - "spin" or "busy-wait"
 - Good if delays are short
- Give up the processor

 - Good if delays are long
 Always good on uniprocessor

today's focus

Producer/consumer based on a FIFO Queue

```
public produce(Object x) {
  mutex.lock();
  try {
    queue.enq(x);
  } finally {
    mutex.unlock();
  }
```

The Need for Modular Synchronization

Suppose queue is bounded:

- enq may block until queue has room
- decision whether to block depends on internal state of the queue
- Multiple producers/consumers:
- every thread needs to keep track of the lock, the queue state, etc.

The Need for Modular Synchronization

Suppose queue is bounded:

- enq may block until queue has room
- decision whether to block depends on internal state of the queue
- Multiple producers/consumers:
- every thread needs to keep track of it lock, the queue state, etc.

Modular Synchronization

Let queue handle its own synchronization

- queue has its own lock
 - acquired by each method call
 - released when the call returns
- if thread enqueues on a full queue
 - queue itself detects the problem
 - suspend the caller and resume when the queue has room

Conditions

- a condition object is associated with a lock
- condition objects allow a thread to
 - temporarily release the lock and suspend itself until awoken by another thread
 - awake other threads that are currently suspended

Monitors

The combination of

- an object and its methods
- a mutual exclusion lock
- and the lock's condition objects
- is called a monitor

Monitors enable modular synchronization.

Java's Lock Interface

```
public interface Lock {
  void lock();
  void lockInterruptibly()
     throws InterruptedException;
  void tryLock();
  void tryLock(long time, TimeUnit unit);
  Condition newCondition();
  void unlock();
```

Java's Condition Interface

```
public interface Condition {
   void await() throws InterruptedException;
   boolean await(long time, TimeUnit unit)
      throws InterruptedException;
   ...
   void signal();
   void signalAll();
}
```

Java's Condition Interface

public interface Condition {

void await() throws InterruptedException;

boolean await(long time, TimeUnit unit)

throws InterruptedException;

void signal(); void signalAll();

wake up **one** waiting thread

Java's Condition Interface

public interface Condition { void await() throws InterruptedException; boolean await(long time, TimeUnit unit) throws InterruptedException; ... void signal(); void signalAll(); wake up all waiting threads

















```
Condition condition = mutex.newCondition();
. . .
mutex.lock();
try {
  while (!property)
    condition.await();
} catch (InterrupedException e) {
  . . .
```







```
Condition condition = mutex.newCondition();
. . .
mutex.lock();
                              release the lock
try {
                             and suspend
  while (!property)
                             until notified
    condition.await();
 catch (InterrupedException e) {
```

```
Condition condition = mutex.newCondition();
. . .
mutex.lock();
try {
  while (!property)
    condition.await();
} catch (InterrupedException e) {
              application specific response
```

```
Condition condition = mutex.newCondition();
. . .
mutex.lock();
try {
  while (!property)
    condition.await();
} catch (InterrupedException e) {
            happy: property must hold
```

public class BlockingQueue<T> {

- final Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();
- final Condition notFull = lock.newCondition();
- final Condition notEmpty = lock.newCondition();
- final T[] items;
- int tail, head, count;

```
public BlockingQueue(int capacity) {
    items = new T[capacity];
```



public class BlockingQueue<T> {

final Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();

final Condition notFull = lock.newCondition();

final Condition notEmpty = lock.newCondition();

final T[] items;

int tail, head, count;

condition to wait on if queue is full

public BlockingQueue(int capacity) {

```
items = new T[capacity];
```

public class BlockingQueue<T> {

final Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();

final Condition notFull = lock.newCondition();

final Condition notEmpty = lock.newCondition();

final T[] items;

}

int tail, head, count;

condition to wait on if queue is empty

public BlockingQueue(int capacity) {

```
items = new T[capacity];
```

public class BlockingQueue<T> {

final Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();

final Condition notFull = lock.newCondition();

final Condition notEmpty = lock.newCondition();

final T[] items;

int tail, head, count;

internal queue state protected by lock

```
public BlockingQueue(int capacity) {
    items = new T[capacity];
}
....
```

Blocking Queue: enqueue

```
public void enq(T x) {
  lock.lock();
  try {
    while (count == items.length())
      notFull.await();
    items[tail] = x;
    if (++tail == items.length) tail = 0;
    ++count;
    notEmpty.signal();
  } finally { lock.unlock(); }
```

Blocking Queue: enqueue

```
public void enq(T x) {
  lock.lock();
  try {
    while (count == items.length())
      notFull.await();
    items[tail] = x;
    if (++tail == items.length)
                                 tail = 0;
    ++count;
    notEmpty.signal();
                                 wait until queue
  } finally { lock.unlock(); }
                                 has space
```

Blocking Queue: enqueue

```
public void enq(T x) {
  lock.lock();
  try {
    while (count == items.length())
      notFull.await();
    items[tail] = x;
    if (++tail == items.length) tail = 0;
    ++count;
    notEmpty.signal();
                                  queue has space!
  } finally { lock.unlock(); }
                                  insert element
```
```
public void enq(T x) {
  lock.lock();
  try {
    while (count == items.length())
      notFull.await();
    items[tail] = x;
    if (++tail == items.length) tail = 0;
    ++count;
                          wake up one waiting
    notEmpty.signal();
                                     consumer
  } finally { lock.unlock(); }
```

```
public T deq() {
  lock.lock();
  try {
    while (count == 0)
      notEmpty.await();
    T x = items[head];
    if (++head == items.length) head = 0;
    --count;
    notFull.signal();
    return x;
  } finally { lock.unlock(); }
```

}

```
public T deq() {
  lock.lock();
  try {
                              wait until queue
    while (count == 0)
                              is nonempty
      notEmpty.await();
    T x = items[head];
    if (++head == items.length) head = 0;
    --count;
    notFull.signal();
    return x;
   finally { lock.unlock(); }
```

```
public T deq() {
  lock.lock();
  try {
    while (count == 0)
      notEmpty.await();
    T x = items[head];
    if (++head == items.length) head = 0;
    --count;
    notFull.signal();
                                  queue nonempty!
    return x;
                                  retrieve next
  } finally { lock.unlock(); }
                                  element
```

```
public T deq() {
  lock.lock();
  try {
    while (count == 0)
      notEmpty.await();
    T x = items[head];
    if (++head == items.length) head = 0;
    --count;
                           wake up one waiting
    notFull.signal();
                                      producer
    return x;
  } finally { lock.unlock(); }
```

Improved enqueue?

```
public void enq(T x) {
  lock.lock();
  try {
    while (count == items.length())
      notFull.await();
    items[tail] = x;
    if (++tail == items.length) tail = 0;
                                 st wakeup:
    ++count;
    if (count == 1) notEmpty.signal();
  } finally { lock.unlock(); }
```

The Lost-Wakeup Problem

- Condition variables are inherently vulnerable to lost wakeups
 - one thread waits forever without realizing that its waiting condition has become true
- Programming practices
 - if in doubt, signal **all** waiting processes
 - specify a timeout when waiting

Reentrant Locks

- same thread can acquire the lock multiple times without blocking
- commonly used in OOP to handle reentrant calls to locked objects

Using Reentrant Locks

```
public class AtomicArray<T> {
  final Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();
  • • •
  public T getAndSet(int i, T v) {
    try { lock.lock();
      T old = qet(i);
      set(i, v);
      return old;
    } finally { lock.unlock(); } }
  public T get() {
    try {lock.lock(); return item[i]; }
    finally { lock.unlock(); }
  public void set(int i, T v) { ... } }
```

Using Reentrant Locks

```
public class AtomicArray<T> {
  final Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();
  . . .
  public T getAndSet(int i, T v) {
    try { lock.lock();
      T old = get(i);
                      reacquire lock
      set(i, v);
      return old;
    } finally { lock.unlock(); } }
  public T get() {
    try {lock.lock(); return item[i]; }
    finally { lock.unlock(); }
  public void set(int i, T v) { ... } }
```

public class SimpleReentrantLock implements Lock{
 final Lock lock = new SimpleLock();
 final Condition cond = lock.newCondition();
 int owner, holdCount;

```
public SimpleReentrantLock() {
   owner = holdCount = 0;
}
....
```



public class SimpleReentrantLock implements Lock{

final Lock lock = new SimpleLock();

final Condition cond = lock.newCondition();

int owner, holdCount;

public SimpleReentrantLock()

```
owner = holdCount = 0;
```

condition to wait on if lock is held by other thread





```
public void lock() {
  int me = ThreadID.get();
  lock.lock();
  try {
    if (owner == me) {
      ++holdCount;
      return;
    }
    while (holdCount != 0) condition.await();
    owner = me;
    holdCount = 1;
  } finally { lock.unlock() } }
```

```
public void lock() {
  int me = ThreadID.get();
  lock.lock();
  try {
    if (owner == me) {
                            already holding the lock?
      ++holdCount;
                            then just increase counter
      return;
    while (holdCount != 0) condition.await();
    owner = me;
    holdCount = 1;
  } finally { lock.unlock() } }
```

```
public void lock() {
  int me = ThreadID.get();
  lock.lock();
  try {
    if (owner == me) {
      ++holdCount; Otherwise, wait until lock is
                      free and then take ownership
      return;
    while (holdCount != 0) condition.await();
    owner = me;
    holdCount = 1;
   finally { lock.unlock() } }
```

```
public void unlock() {
  lock.lock();
  try {
    if (holdCount == 0 ||
        owner != ThreadID.get()) {
      throw new IllegalMonitorStateException();
    }
    if (--holdCount == 0) cond.signal();
  } finally { lock.unlock() }
```



```
public void unlock()
                       <sup>{</sup> otherwise, decrement counter
  lock.lock();
                     and wake up one blocked thread
  try {
                                    if lock is released
    if (holdCount == 0
        owner != ThreadID.get(
      throw new IllegalMonitorStateException();
    if (--holdCount == 0) cond.signal();
    finally { lock.unlock() }
```

Java's built-in Monitors

- synchronized blocks and methods acquire and release an implicit reentrant lock
- access to an implicit condition object is provided via special methods
 - -wait()
 - notify()
 - notifyAll()

Simplified Blocking Queue: enqueue

public synchronized void enq(T x) {
 while (count == items.length())
 wait();
 items[tail] = x;
 if (++tail == items.length) tail = 0;
 ++count;
 notifyAll();
}

Simplified Blocking Queue: dequeue

```
public synchronized T deq() {
  while (count == 0)
    wait();
  T x = items[head];
  if (++head == items.length) head = 0;
  --count;
  notifyAll();
  return x;
}
```

Simplified Blocking Queue: dequeue















Lost Wakeup in Simplified Queue with notify() waiting room enq(1) énq(2) queue state: [0] lock

capacity=1
















waiting



waiting







waiting



waiting



- shared objects often have the property that their methods can be partitioned into
 - readers: return information about the object
 - writers: actually modify the object
- no need for readers to synchronize with each other

```
public interface ReadWriteLock {
  Lock readLock();
  Lock writeLock();
}
```

```
public SimpleReadWriteLock implements
  ReadWriteLock {
  int readers = 0;
  boolean writer = false;
  Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();
  Condition condition = lock.newCondition();
  Lock readLock = new ReadLock();
  Lock writeLock = new WriteLock();
  Lock readLock() { return readLock; }
  Lock writeLock() { return writeLock; }
```



• •

pι	ublic SimpleReadWriteLock implements
	ReadWriteLock {
	<pre>int readers = 0;</pre>
	boolean writer = false; is there a writer?
	<pre>Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();</pre>
	<pre>Condition condition = lock.newCondition();</pre>
	<pre>Lock readLock = new ReadLock();</pre>
	<pre>Lock writeLock = new WriteLock();</pre>
	<pre>Lock readLock() { return readLock; }</pre>
	<pre>Lock writeLock() { return writeLock; }</pre>







```
class ReadLock {
  public void lock() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
      while (writer) {
        condition.await();
      }
      readers++;
    } finally { lock.unlock(); }
  }
  . . . .
```

```
class ReadLock {
  public void lock() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
                                wait until no writer
      while (writer) {
                                holds the lock
        condition.await();
      readers++;
      finally { lock.unlock(); }
  }
  . . . .
```

```
class ReadLock {
  public void lock() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
      while (writer) {
        condition.await();
                               increase the
                               number of readers
      readers++;
    } finally { lock.unlock(); }
  }
  . . . .
```

```
class ReadLock {
  • • •
  public void unlock() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
      readers--;
      if (readers == 0)
        condition.signalAll();
    } finally { lock.unlock(); }
  }
```



```
class ReadLock {
  . . .
  public void unlock() {
                               no more readers,
    lock.lock();
                               then wake up
    try {
                               waiting writers
      readers--;
      if (readers == 0)
        condition.signalAll();
    } finally { lock.unlock(); }
```

```
class WriteLock {
 public void lock() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
      while (readers > 0 || writer) {
        condition.await();
      }
      writer = true;
    } finally { lock.unlock(); }
  }
  ....
```



```
class WriteLock {
 public void lock() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
      while (readers > 0 || writer) {
        condition.await();
                         take the lock
      writer = true;
    } finally { lock.unlock(); }
  }
  . . . |
```

```
class WriteLock {
  • • •
  public void unlock() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
      writer = false;
      condition.signalAll();
    } finally { lock.unlock(); }
  }
```



```
class WriteLock {
  . . .
  public void unlock() {
                        wake up waiting
    lock.lock();
                        readers and writers
    try {
      writer = false
      condition.signalAll();
    } finally { lock.unlock(); }
```

Fair Readers-Writers Lock

- Problem with SimpleReadWriteLock
 - usually readers are much more frequent than writers
 - writers may be locked out for a long time
- Idea: give priority to writers

FIFO Readers-Writers Lock

public FifoReadWriteLock implements ReadWriteLock {

- int readAcquires = 0;
- int readReleases = 0;
- boolean writer = false;
- Lock lock = new ReentrantLock(true);
- Condition condition = lock.newCondition();
- Lock readLock = new ReadLock();
- Lock writeLock = new WriteLock();
- Lock readLock() { return readLock; }
- Lock writeLock() { return writeLock; }

FIFO Readers-Writers Lock

p۱	ublic FifoReadWriteLock implements ReadWriteLock {
	int readAcquires = 0; > count releases and acquires
	int readReleases = 0; of readers separately
	<pre>boolean writer = false;</pre>
	<pre>Lock lock = new ReentrantLock(true);</pre>
	Condition condition = lock.newCondition();
	Lock readLock = new ReadLock();
	<pre>Lock writeLock = new WriteLock();</pre>
	<pre>Lock readLock() { return readLock; }</pre>
	<pre>Lock writeLock() { return writeLock; }</pre>

FIFO Readers-Writers Lock

public FifoReadWriteLock implements ReadWriteLock { int readAcquires = 0; int readReleases = 0; create FIFO lock boolean writer = false; Lock lock = new ReentrantLock(true); Condition condition = lock.newCondition(); Lock readLock = new ReadLock(); Lock writeLock = new WriteLock(); Lock readLock() { return readLock; } Lock writeLock() { return writeLock; }

```
class ReadLock {
  public void lock() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
      while (writer) {
        condition.await();
      }
      readAcquires++;
    } finally { lock.unlock(); }
  }
  . . . .
```

```
class ReadLock {
  • • •
  public void unlock() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
      readReleases++;
      if (readReleases == ReadAcquires)
        condition.signalAll();
    } finally { lock.unlock(); }
  }
```
```
class WriteLock {
 public void lock() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
      while (writer) condition.await();
      writer = true;
      while (readAcquires != readReleases)
        condition.await();
    } finally { lock.unlock(); }
  }
```

```
class WriteLock {
 public void lock() { first wait for writers to
                          release the lock
    lock.lock();
    try {
      while (writer) condition.await();
      writer = true;
      while (readAcquires != readReleases)
        condition.await();
    } finally { lock.unlock(); }
  }
  ... }
```





```
class WriteLock {
    ...
    public void unlock() {
        writer = false;
        condition.signalAll();
    }
}
```