### Midwest Probability Colloquium

October 2009

#### Sieving a Needle

### from

#### Lovász's Exponential Haystack

Joel Spencer

# Lovász Local Lemma The Framework

Universe  $\Omega$ .

 $i\in \Omega$  make independent choice

 $BAD_{\alpha}$  depends on choices  $i \in X_{\alpha}$ 

 $\alpha \sim \beta \text{ if } X_{\alpha} \cap X_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ 

Example: Boolean  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \leftarrow \{T, F\}$ 

Clause  $C_{\alpha}$ , e.g.:  $x_{11} \wedge \overline{x}_{19} \wedge x_{204}$ 

 $BAD_{\alpha}$ :  $C_{\alpha}$  false.

Desired Sieve Outcome:

$$\wedge_{\alpha} \overline{BAD}_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$$

Example:  $\wedge C_{\alpha}$  satisfiable.

## Lovász Local Lemma The Statement (Symmetric Case)

Assume:

All  $\Pr[BAD_{\alpha}] \leq p$ All  $\alpha$ :  $|\{\beta : \beta \sim \alpha\}| \leq d$  $p\frac{d^d}{(d+1)^{d+1}} \leq 1$  (roughly: epd < 1) Conclusion:

$$\wedge_{\alpha} \overline{BAD}_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$$

Example: Each  $C_{\alpha}$  of Length 4.  $p = \frac{1}{16}$ . Each  $C_{\alpha}$  overlaps  $\leq 5$  clauses. No restriction on number of Clauses! Satisfiable.

## Lovász Local Lemma Lovász (~ 1970) Proof

Induction on |ARB|:

$$\Pr[BAD_{\alpha}| \wedge_{ARB} \overline{BAD}_{\gamma}] \le xp$$

Renumber  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $ARB = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ,  $0 \sim 1, \ldots d$ :

$$\Pr[B_0|\overline{B}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{B}_n] =$$

 $=\frac{\Pr[B_0 \wedge \overline{B}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{B}_d | \overline{B}_{d+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{B}_n]}{\Pr[\overline{B}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{B}_d | \overline{B}_{d+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{B}_n]} = \frac{NUM}{DEM}$ 

 $NUM \leq \Pr[B_0 | \overline{B}_{d+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{B}_n] = \Pr[B_0] \leq p$  $DEN = \prod_{i=1}^d \Pr[\overline{B}_i | \overline{B}_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \overline{B}_n]$ Induction:  $DEN \geq (1 - xp)^d$ Done if  $p(1 - xp)^{-d} \leq xp$ ,  $1 \leq x(1 - xp)^d$ Calculus: Optimal  $x = \frac{1}{p(d+1)}$ . OK if  $p \leq d^d(d+1)^{-(d+1)}$ .

### Lovász Local Lemma Moser-Tardos 2009 Algorithm

Each  $i \in \Omega$  makes independent choice WHILE some  $C_{\alpha}$  false SELECT \* false  $C_{\alpha}$ Each  $i \in X_{\alpha}$  reselects

\*Use BFS for Efficiency

 $LOG = (e_1, \dots, e_t, \dots)$ , which *C*'s called E.g.:  $(\alpha, \gamma, \kappa, \alpha, \beta, \delta, \alpha, \kappa)$ "Time" T = length of LOG $T_{\alpha} =$  number of times  $\alpha$  called Key Lemma:  $E[T_{\alpha}] \leq xp$ As  $E[T] = \sum E[T_{\alpha}]$ , Linear Time Algorithm!

#### Tree of Relevant History

TREE[t] has root  $e_t$ 

FOR i = t - 1 DOWN TO 1

If  $e_i$  overlaps  $e_j$  already in *TREE* 

(\*\* If not, Ignore \*\*)

Make  $e_i$  child of  $e_j$ 

(\*\*\*) If choices, pick node furthest from root

#### **Key Properties**

- The TREE[t] are all different
- $e \in TREE[t]$  on same level do not overlap
- If  $e_r, e_s \in TREE[t]$ , r < s,  $e_r, e_s$  overlap,

Then  $e_r$  lower than  $e_s$ 

• Let  $i \in \Omega$ .  $i \in f_1, \ldots, f_s \in TREE[t]$ .

Order of  $f_j$  in LOG is by depth in TREE[t].

$$E[T_{\alpha}] = \sum_{TR} \Pr[\exists_t TREE[t] = TR]$$

TR rooted at  $\alpha$ 

 $\gamma$  child of  $\beta \Rightarrow \gamma, \beta$  overlap.

$$\Pr[\exists_t TREE[t] = TR] \le \prod_{\gamma \in TR}^{(rep)} \Pr[BAD_{\gamma}]$$

Proof: Preprocess Randomness

Each *i* chooses  $y_1, y_2, \ldots$ 

 $\mathit{TR}$  only  $\alpha : \mathit{BAD}_\alpha$  with first choice

 $TR \alpha$  with child  $\beta$ .

 $BAD_{\beta}$  with first choice all  $i \in X_{\beta}$ 

 $BAD_{\alpha}$  with first choice  $i \notin X_{\beta}$ , else second.

General: Choice Number determined by TR.

$$E[T_{\alpha}] \leq \sum_{TR} \prod_{\gamma \in TR}^{(rep)} \Pr[BAD_{\gamma}]$$

$$E[T_{\alpha}] \le y := \sum_{TR} p^{|TR|}$$

TR subtree of infinite rooted tree.

Each node has d children (here  $\alpha$  child of  $\alpha$ ) Galton-Watson BIN[d, p] Birth Process.

y/p = expected number of subtrees.  $p \leq \frac{(d-1)^{d-1}}{d^d} \Rightarrow y = p(1+y)^d \leq \frac{1}{d-1}$ AlmostProof

Specifying *i* children of root,  $py^i$ .

$$y = p \sum_{i=0}^{d} {d \choose i} y^{i} = p(1+y)^{d}$$

Here  $\alpha$  is child of  $\alpha$  so **same** as Lovász!

You don't have to believe in God but you should believe in The Book. – Paul Erdős