

Fundamental Algorithms, Assignment 7 Solutions

1. Determine an LCS of 10010101 and 010110110.

Solution: We create an eight by eight array giving $C[m, n]$, the length of the LCS between the first m of the first sequence and the first n of the second sequence.

Here is array. The sequences are placed on top and on the left for convenience. The numbering starts at 0 so that the row zero and column zero are all zeroes.

-	-	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
1	0	1	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4
0	0	1	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	5
1	0	1	2	3	4	4	4	5	5	5
0	0	1	2	3	4	4	5	5	5	6
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	5	6	6	6

So the length is 6. Start at the bottom right and walk until hitting the edge. At (i, j) go diagonal left if $C[i, j] = C[i - 1, j - 1] + 1$; if not go left or up, whichever is $C[i, j]$. (We'll go left if they both are.)

This gives

-	-	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
1	0	0	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4
0	0	1	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	5
1	0	1	2	3	4	4	4	5	5	5
0	0	1	2	3	4	4	5	5	5	6
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	5	6	6	6

The places where you go diagonally left are the same in both sequences and these give the common sequence **010101**. Note that there is no uniqueness to the sequences themselves.

-	-	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
1	0	0	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4
0	0	1	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	5
1	0	1	2	3	4	4	4	5	5	5
0	0	1	2	3	4	4	5	5	5	6
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	5	6	6	6

2. Write all the parenthesizations of $ABCDE$. Associate them in a natural way with (setting $n = 5$) the terms $P(i)P(5 - i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ given in the recursion for $P(n)$.

Solution: Splitting $1 - 4$ gives $P(1)P(4) = 5$ parenthesizations:

$$A(B(C(DE))), A(B((CD)E)), A((BC)(DE)), A((B(CD))E), A(((BC)D)E)$$

Splitting $4 - 1$ gives $P(4)P(1) = 5$ parenthesizations:

$$(A(B(CD)))E, (A((BC)D))E, ((AB)(CD))E, (((AB)C)D)E, ((A(BC))D)E$$

Splitting $2 - 3$ gives $P(2)P(3) = 2$ parenthesizations:

$$(AB)((CD)E), (AB)(C(DE))$$

Splitting $3 - 2$ gives $P(3)P(2) = 2$ parenthesizations:

$$((AB)C)(DE), (A(BC))(DE)$$

3. Let x_1, \dots, x_m be a sequence of distinct real numbers. For $1 \leq i \leq m$ let $INC[i]$ denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence ending with x_i . Let $DEC[i]$ denote the length of the longest decreasing subsequence ending with x_i .

- (a) Find an efficient method for finding the values $INC[i]$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. (You should find $INC[i]$ based on the previously found $INC[j]$, $1 \leq j < i$. Your algorithm should take time $O(n^2)$.)

Solution: The longest increasing subsequence ending in x_i is either simply x_i or it is obtained by appending x_i to some subsequence ending in x_j where $j < i$. One can do that if and only if $x_j < x_i$. So we should take $INC[i]$ to be 1 (x_i itself) plus the

maximum of the $INC[j]$, $j < i$, for which $x_j < x_i$. However, if there are no such j (for example, when $i = 1$) the default value should be 1. Each $INC[i]$ then takes a single loop which is time $O(n)$ and so the total time is $O(n^2)$. (Of course, $DEC[i]$ can be found similarly.)

- (b) Let LIS denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of x_1, \dots, x_m . Show how to find LIS from the values $INC[i]$. Similarly, let DIS denote the length of the longest decreasing subsequence of x_1, \dots, x_m . Show how to find DIS from the values $DEC[i]$.

Solution: LIS is simply the maximum of all $INC[i]$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, as the subsequence has to end somewhere. Similarly, DIS is simply the maximum of all $DEC[i]$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

- (c) Suppose $i < j$. Prove that it is impossible to have $INC[i] = INC[j]$ and $DEC[i] = DEC[j]$.

Solution: Suppose $x_i < x_j$. Then $INC[j] \geq INC[i] + 1$ since you can take the maximal increasing sequence ending at x_i and append x_j . (That may not be optimal, but $INC[j]$ is at least that length.)

Similarly, suppose $x_i > x_j$. Then $DEC[j] \geq DEC[i] + 1$ since you can take the maximal decreasing sequence ending at x_i and append x_j .

- (d) Deduce the following celebrated results (called the Monotone Subsequence Theorem) of Paul Erdős and George Szekeres: Let $m = ab + 1$. Then any sequence x_1, \dots, x_m of distinct real numbers either $LIS > a$ or $DIS > b$. (Idea: Assume not and look at the pairs $(INC[i], DEC[i])$.)

Solution: If $LIS \leq a$ and $DIS \leq b$ then there are only ab possibilities for the pair $(INC[i], DEC[i])$, but from the previous part we have $ab + 1$ distinct pairs!

4. Find an optimal parenthesization of a matrix-chain product whose sequence of dimensions is 5, 10, 3, 12, 5, 50, 6. **Solution:**

The matrix chain product of $A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots A_n$ can be broken down to $(A_1 \dots A_k)(A_{k+1} \dots A_n)$. To find an optimal parenthesization for n matrices, we find the subset of k matrices, where $k < n$. And then compose them altogether.

In our algorithm, we have two matrices, one to record the minimum number of operations it takes and the other to record the parenthesization.

$Matrix[i][j] = 0$ ($i = j$)
 $Matrix[i][j] = \min_m [i][k] + m[k + 1][j] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j$
 $Result[i][j] = k + 1$ which gives min values to $Matrix[i][j]$

MATRIX-CHAIN-ORDER()

```

for(t = 1; t < p; t++)
    for(i = 0; i < p - t; i++)
        for(k = i; k < i + t; k++)
            matrix[i][i + t] = matrix[i][k] + matrix[k + 1][i + t] + size[i] * size[k + 1] * size[i + t]
            result[i][i + t] = k + 1;

```

Matrix[i][j] as following

0	150	330	405	1655	2010
0	0	360	330	2430	1950
0	0	0	180	930	1770
0	0	0	0	3000	1860
0	0	0	0	0	1500
0	0	0	0	0	0

Result[i][j] as following

0	1	2	2	4	2
0	0	2	2	2	2
0	0	0	3	4	4
0	0	0	0	4	4
0	0	0	0	0	5
0	0	0	0	0	0

Therefore the optimal parenthesization is (AB)((CD)(EF))

For example, $Matrix[2][5]$ gives the optimal matrix chain product of CDEF. The optimal choice comes from the minimum of C(DEF), (CD)(EF), (CDE)F. Take C(DEF) for example. It divides into sub-problem C and DEF. C is given by $Matrix[2][2]$, which is 0 since C

is itself. DEF is given by Matrix[3][5], which is 1860. C is a matrix of 3×12 . The result of DEF is a matrix of 12×6 . Therefore, $p_{i-1} p_k p_j$ equals $3 \times 12 \times 6 = 216$. The number of operations taken to get C(DEF) is therefore $1860 + 216 = 2076$. We can also get (CD)(EF) and (CDE)F with the same manner. They are 1770 and 1830. As a result, we take 1770 for Matrix[2][5] and 4 for $k+1$, which is recorded in Result[2][5].

5. Some exercises in logarithms:

(a) Write $\lg(4^n/\sqrt{n})$ in simplest form. What is its asymptotic value.
Solution: $n \lg(4) - \frac{1}{2} \lg(n) = 2n - \frac{\lg n}{2}$.

(b) Which is bigger, 5^{313340} or 7^{271251} ? Give reason. (You can use a calculator.)

Solution: The numbers themselves are too big for calculators but compare their *lgs*, which are around 727000 and 761000 respectively so the second is bigger.

(c) Simplify $n^2 \lg(n^2)$ and $\lg^2(n^3)$.

Solution: $2n^2 \lg(n)$ and $(3 \lg n)^2 = 9 \lg^2 n$.

(d) Solve (for x) the equation $e^{-x^2/2} = \frac{1}{n}$.

Solution: $-\frac{x^2}{2} = \lg(1/n) = -\lg n$ so $\frac{x^2}{2} = \lg n$ so $x^2 = 2 \lg n$ so $x = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\lg n}$.

(e) Write $\log_n 2^n$ and $\log_n n^2$ in simple form.

Solution: The first is that x for which $n^x = 2^n$ so $x \lg(n) = n$ so $x = \frac{n}{\lg(n)}$ is the answer. For the second the answer is 2.

(f) What is the relationship between $\lg n$ and $\log_3 n$?

Solution: $\log_3 n = \frac{\lg n}{\lg 3}$. As $\lg(3) \sim 1.5$ is a constant they are "the same" in Θ -land.

(g) Assume $i < n$. How many times need i be doubled before it reaches (or exceeds) n ?

Solution: If we double x times we reach $i2^x$ so we need $i2^x \geq n$, or $2^x \geq \frac{n}{i}$ or $x \geq \lg(\frac{n}{i})$. As x need be an integer the precise number of times is $\lceil \lg(\frac{n}{i}) \rceil$.

(h) Write $\lg[n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n}]$ precisely as a sum in simplest form. What is it asymptotic to as $n \rightarrow \infty$? What is interesting about the bracketed expression?

Solution: This is Stirling's Formula and is asymptotic to $n!$. Precisely

$$\lg[n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n}] = n \lg n - n \lg e + \frac{1}{2} \lg(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \lg n$$

which is asymptotic to $n \lg n$.