

Basic Algorithms, Assignment 10 SOLUTIONS

Due, TUESDAY, Nov 20

1. A positive integer n is called *banana* if it can be written as the sum of two integer squares. (E.g., $41 = 16 + 25$.) Argue that the problem class BANANA is in NP.

Solution: The certificate is the numbers x, y with $n = x^2 + y^2$ and the we just calculate $x^2 + y^2$ and make sure it is n .

2. In 2002 Agarwal, Kayal and Saxena showed that PRIME is in P. Call a positive integer n *walrus* if it is the product of precisely three primes. Given the AKS result, argue that WALRUS is in NP. Argue (harder!) that NOTWALRUS is in NP.

Solution: The certificate is the three primes p, q, r . Then we check (using the Agarwal, Kayal, Saxena algorithm) that they are primes and that their product is n . If n is not walrus then it is either a prime, a product of two primes p, q , or the product of four numbers a, b, c, d , not necessarily prime. In the last case the certificate is the numbers a, b, c, d and we multiply them; in the second case the certificate is the numbers p, q and we apply AKS to show they are primes and then multiply them; in the first case we apply AKS to show it is a prime.

3. Lets define 3-SATSMALL to be the same as 3-SAT except that no Boolean variable x_i appears (as either x_i or \bar{x}_i) more than 20 times. Our object is to show $3\text{-SAT} \leq_P 3\text{-SATSMALL}$.

- (a) Let x, y be Boolean variables. Find a set of clauses C_1, \dots, C_r of size 3 using auxilliary Boolean variables z_1, z_2, \dots, z_s so that $C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_r$ can be satisfied if and only if x, y have the same truth value. (Here r, s will be small numbers.)

Solution: $x \vee \bar{y} \vee z_1, x \vee \bar{y} \vee \bar{z}_1, y \vee \bar{x} \vee z_2, y \vee \bar{x} \vee \bar{z}_2$. (When x, y both true or both false the $x \vee \bar{y}, y \vee \bar{x}$ are both true so any z_1, z_2 will do. If x is true and y is false then $\bar{x} \vee y$ is false so whatever we choose for z_2 we are stuck. If y is true and x is false then $\bar{y} \vee x$ is false so whatever we choose for z_1 we are stuck.

Solution: $x \vee \bar{y} \vee z_1,$

- (b) Let x_1, \dots, x_w be Boolean variables. Show that there is a set of clauses C_1, \dots, C_v using auxilliary variables z_1, \dots so that $C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_v$ can be satisfied if and only if x_1, \dots, x_w have the same truth value. Further, we require that none of the Boolean variables (neither the original x 's nor the auxilliary z 's) are used more

than ten times. (Idea: Make sure x_i, x_{i+1} have the same truth value for $1 \leq i < w$.)

Solution: Just string the solution to the first problem together with different auxiliary variables. That is, to make sure x_i, x_{i+1} have the same truth value for $1 \leq i < w$ add z_{2i-1}, z_{2i} and the four clauses. Actually, no x_i appears more than eight times (four clauses with x_{i-1} and four with x_{i+1} the auxiliary z 's only appear twice).

- (c) Show $3\text{-SAT} \leq_P 3\text{-SATSMALL}$. (Idea: When x_i appears many times replace it with copies x_i^1, x_i^2, \dots , none appearing very often, that all must have the same truth value.)

Solution: Say x_i appears u times and \bar{x}_i appears v times with (for the sake of argument) $v \leq u$. Replace the x_i with x_i^1, \dots, x_i^u and \bar{x}_i with $\bar{x}_i^1, \dots, \bar{x}_i^v$. Now each x_i appears at most twice (counting appearances with negation). For each i add the auxiliary z s and the clauses to assure that x_i^1, \dots, x_i^u have the same truth value. Each x_i^j appears no more than eight times each time we do this, plus it already appeared, times two for its negation appearing, so it appears at most twenty times.

4. Suppose a graph algorithm with input a graph G takes a time polynomial in $N + M$ where N is the number of vertices and M is the number of edges in G . Show that it takes time polynomial in N . Suppose a number theoretic algorithm with input a positive integer x takes time polynomial in x . What can you say about the time it takes when the input is an n -digit number? In particular, explain why you *cannot* say that the time is polynomial in n .

Solution: As $N + M \leq N^2$ is an algorithm takes $O((N + M)^c)$ time then it takes $O(N^{2c})$ time. A different power, a big difference in the real world, but still polynomial. But if an algorithm (for example, the trial division algorithm for primality) takes time $O(x^c)$ then, as $x = \Theta(2^n)$, it takes time $O(2^{nc})$ which is *exponential* time and not polynomial time.

What I tell you three times is true
– Lewis Carroll *in* Hunting the Snark