

# Fast Fourier Transform

## 1 Overview

This material, in somewhat different form, is in §30.1-2 of the text.

Our goal is to give a rapid algorithm to multiply two binary numbers  $\alpha = \sum_i a_i 2^i$ ,  $\beta = \sum_i b_i 2^i$  and output the product  $\gamma = \sum_i d_i 2^i$ . Recall that we consider numbers represented by their array  $a[i], b[i], d[i]$ . Our algorithm will take time  $O(n \lg n)$  (our mantra!) where all exponents of 2 (in  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ) are less than  $n$ . We shall take  $n$  a power of two and write

$$n = 2^t \tag{1}$$

(If, say, the largest exponent is 37 we will take  $n = 64$ . This incurs a small loss but the algorithm is considerably more complicated when  $n$  is not a power of two.) Sums will be for  $0 \leq i < n$ , though there are likely to be many zeroes near the top.

## 2 Reduction to Polynomials

We first reduce to polynomial multiplication. Here the input is two polynomials  $A(x) = \sum_i a_i x^i$ ,  $B(x) = \sum_i b_i x^i$  and the output is  $C(x) = \sum_i c_i x^i$  where  $C(x) = A(x)B(x)$ . (Similar to binary, the polynomials are represented by the array  $a[i], b[i], c[i]$ .) Given  $C(x)$  we plug in  $x = 2$ . So  $\alpha = A(2), \beta = B(2)$  and therefore  $\gamma = C(2) = \sum c_i 2^i$ . We're not quite done as we may, and generally will, have some  $c_i \geq 2$ . We get to the final product  $d[i]$  by a simple CARRY routine:

```
CARRY = 0
FOR i = 0 TO (n-1)
  c[i]=c[i]+CARRY (* add carry *)
  CARRY = c[i]/2 (* new carry *)
  c[i]=c[i]-2*CARRY (* remainder, zero or one *)
END FOR
```

(We are assuming integer division so, e.g.,  $7/2 = 3$ . So when  $c[i] = 7$  we set  $CARRY = 3$  and reset  $c[i]$  to 0.) The CARRY routine is a simple FOR loop, taking  $O(n)$  times.

### 3 Complex Roots of Unity

FFT depends critically on complex numbers, in particular on the solutions to the equation  $z^n = 1$ . We set

$$\epsilon = e^{2\pi i/n} = \cos[2\pi/n] + i \sin[2\pi/n] \quad (2)$$

(If you aren't familiar with the  $e^{i\theta}$  notation just use the sin, cos notation.) This point is on the unit circle at angle  $2\pi/n$  with the  $X$ -axis. The  $n$  solutions to the equation  $z^n = 1$  are then given by

$$z = 1, \epsilon, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^{n-1} \quad (3)$$

Geometrically, these  $n$  points are the vertices of a regular  $n$ -gon on the unit circle. For  $n = 4$ ,  $\epsilon = i$ , the points  $1, i, -1, -i$  form a square.

**Background:** Complex multiplication is best understood using polar coordinates. Write nonzero  $z = x + iy$  in polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ . (Or  $z = re^{i\theta}$ .) When  $z_1, z_2$  have  $(r_1, \theta_1), (r_2, \theta_2)$  their product  $z = z_1 z_2$  has  $(r_1 r_2, \theta_1 + \theta_2)$ . That is, multiply the  $r$ -values and add the  $\theta$ -values. When points are on the unit circle, so  $r = 1$ , this is particularly nice. When  $z = e^{i\theta} = (1, \theta)$ , its  $s$ -th power is  $z^s = e^{i(s\theta)} = (1, s\theta)$ . When  $z = \epsilon$ , given above, the powers  $\epsilon^s$  form the regular  $n$ -gon.

A key fact about  $\epsilon$  we shall use is

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \epsilon^s = 0 \quad (4)$$

and, more generally, for any  $0 < t < n$ ,

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (\epsilon^t)^s = 0 \quad (5)$$

We give two arguments for (4), (5 is similar). By the formula for sum of a geometric series

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \epsilon^s = \frac{\epsilon^n - 1}{\epsilon - 1} = \frac{1 - 1}{\epsilon - 1} = 0 \quad (6)$$

Or, geometrically, the points of the regular  $n$ -gon average out to their center, which is 0.

## 4 Discrete Fourier Transform

Let  $A(x)$  be a polynomial of degree less than  $n$ . Recall  $A$  is given by an array  $a[i] : 0 \leq i < n$ . The Discrete Fourier Transform of  $A$ , written  $DFT_n[A]$  is the array of values

$$DFT_n[A] = (A(1), A(\epsilon), A(\epsilon^2), \dots, A(\epsilon^{n-1})) \quad (7)$$

That is,  $DFT_n[A]$  is the values  $A(z)$  where  $z$  ranges over the  $n$   $n$ -th roots of unity.

Mathgeeks may compare this (others can ignore this!) with the standard Fourier Transform of a function  $f(x)$  given by

$$\hat{f}(\theta) = \int_x f(x)e^{ix\theta} dx \quad (8)$$

Now we give the idea of polynomial multiplication. Input is  $A(x), B(x)$  and output should be  $C(x) = A(x)B(x)$ , all polynomials of degree less than  $n$ .

1. Find

$$DFT_n[A] = (A(1), A(\epsilon), A(\epsilon^2), \dots, A(\epsilon^{n-1})) \quad (9)$$

2. Find

$$DFT_n[B] = (B(1), B(\epsilon), B(\epsilon^2), \dots, B(\epsilon^{n-1})) \quad (10)$$

3. Now as  $C(\epsilon^s) = A(\epsilon^s)B(\epsilon^s)$  we multiply termwise to get

$$DFT_n[C] = (C(1), C(\epsilon), C(\epsilon^2), \dots, C(\epsilon^{n-1})) \quad (11)$$

4.  $n$  values of a polynomial of degree at most  $n$  determine the polynomial (more on that later). Given  $DFT_n[C]$ , find  $C = (c_0, \dots, c_{n-1})$ . This is called finding the *inverse* discrete Fourier Transform.

How long do these steps take. Consider finding  $DFT_n[A]$ . Calculating each  $A[\epsilon^s]$  would involve the sum of  $n$  terms so would take time  $O(n)$  so it *appears* that calculating the  $n$  different values would take time  $O(n^2)$ . **However**, the special plugin values  $1, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon^{n-1}$  shall allow us to do the calculation in time our mantra  $O(n \lg n)$ .

Efficient implementation of  $DFT$  (e.g., keeping track of the parts  $A_1, A_2$ ) can be done using ingenious methods of §30.3, which we do not cover. However, even clumsy implementation will yield the  $O(n \lg n)$  final result – albeit with a poorer constant.

## 5 Calculating Discrete Fourier Transform

Our input is  $A(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$ , given as an array  $[a[i] : 0 \leq i < n]$ . The key is to split  $A(x)$  into odd and even powers of  $x$ . The even powers are written as a function of  $x^2$ . The odd powers are written as  $x$  times a function of  $x^2$ . More precisely we set

$$A_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} a_{2j} x^j \quad (12)$$

and

$$A_2(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} a_{2j+1} x^j \quad (13)$$

so that

$$A(x) = A_1(x^2) + x A_2(x^2) \quad (14)$$

**Example:** With  $n = 4$  and  $A(x) = 3 + 5x + 2x^2 + 7x^3$  we set  $A_1(x) = 3 + 2x$  and  $A_2(x) = 5 + 7x$ .

Now we come to a special property of the  $2^t$ -th roots of unity. As  $x$  ranges over the  $2^t$ -th roots of unity,  $x^2$  ranges over the  $2^{t-1}$ -st roots of unity. For example, with  $t = 2$ , the squares of  $1, i, -1, -i$  and  $1, -1, 1, -1$ , the square roots of unity. So  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  need only be evaluated at the  $2^{t-1}$ -st roots of unity, which is precisely  $DFT_{n/2}$ . This gives a *recursive* evaluation for  $DFT_n[A]$ :

1. Find, recursively  $DFT_{n/2}[A_1]$ . This gives the values  $A_1(1), A_1(\epsilon^2), A_1(\epsilon^4), \dots, A_1(\epsilon^{n-4}), A_1(\epsilon^{n-2})$ .
2. Find, recursively  $DFT_{n/2}[A_2]$ . This gives the values  $A_2(1), A_2(\epsilon^2), A_2(\epsilon^4), \dots, A_2(\epsilon^{n-4}), A_2(\epsilon^{n-2})$ .
3. For each  $0 \leq s < n$  find  $A(\epsilon^s)$  by the formula

$$A(\epsilon^s) = A_1(\epsilon^{2s}) + \epsilon^s A_2(\epsilon^{2s}) \quad (15)$$

Let  $T(n)$  be the time to calculate  $DFT_n(A)$ . Equation (15) takes time  $O(1)$  (once the  $A_1, A_2$  have been calculated) for each  $s$  for a total time  $O(n)$ . We have two recursive calls taking time  $2T(n/2)$ . This gives the recursion:

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n) \quad (16)$$

This is “just right overhead” with solution our mantra

$$T(n) = O(n \lg n) \tag{17}$$

Going back to the idea in §4, Equations (9,10) each take time  $O(n \lg n)$ . Equation (11) takes time  $O(n)$ . This leaves us with the inverse Discrete Fourier Transform.

## 6 Calculating Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform

We'll take  $n = 4$  as an example. Let  $C(x) = a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3$ . We know  $DFT_4[C]$  – that is, we know  $C(1), C(i), C(-1), C(-i)$  – and we want to find  $a, b, c, d$ . This gives four equations in the four unknowns  $a, b, c, d$

$$\begin{aligned} C(1) &= a + b + c + d \\ C(i) &= a + ib - c - id \\ C(-1) &= a - b + c - d \\ C(-i) &= a - ib - c + id \end{aligned}$$

Normally  $n$  equations in  $n$  unknowns takes *lots* of time to solve. But these equations are *very* special. If we add them up the coefficients of  $b, c, d$  all cancel! So

$$4a = C(1) + C(i) + C(-1) + C(-i) \tag{18}$$

How about  $b$ ? Multiply each equation in order to make the coefficient of  $b$  equal to 1 – by 1,  $-i, -1, i$  respectively. (In the general pattern below think of this as multiplying by  $1, i^{-1}, i^{-2}, i^{-3}$ .) This gives

$$\begin{aligned} C(1) &= a + b + c + d \\ -iC(i) &= -ia + b + ic - d \\ -C(-1) &= -a + b - c + d \\ iC(-i) &= ia + b - ic + d \end{aligned}$$

Then add. Now the coefficients of  $a, c, d$  all cancel. So

$$4b = C(1) + (-i)C(i) + (-1)C(-1) + iC(-i) \tag{19}$$

and  $4c, 4d$  are similar.

What is the general pattern? Write  $C(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_j x^i$ . The  $n$  equations in the  $n$  unknowns  $c_j$  become:

$$C(\epsilon^s) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j \epsilon^{sj} \tag{20}$$

for  $0 \leq s < n$ . Now we want to solve for some  $c_t$ . We first multiply the  $s$ -th equation by  $\epsilon^{-st}$  giving

$$\epsilon^{-st}C(\epsilon^s) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j \epsilon^{sj} \epsilon^{-st} \quad (21)$$

Now add equation (20) over  $0 \leq s < n$ . We have made the coefficient of  $c_t$  equal to one in each equation. So when we add we get an  $nc_t$  term. What about terms  $c_u$  with  $u \neq t$ . The coefficient of  $c_u$  is

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \epsilon^{su} \epsilon^{-st} = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (\epsilon^{(u-t)})^s \quad (22)$$

From (5), with  $t$  replaced by  $u - t$ , this geometric sum is zero. That is, the coefficients of  $c_u$  all cancel. The only thing left is the  $nc_t$  term. That is:

$$nc_t = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \epsilon^{-st} C(\epsilon^s) \quad (23)$$

It is helpful here to think of the subscripts  $t$  as calculated modulo  $n$  so that we can write  $-t$ . For example, with  $n = 16$ ,  $-5$  would be 11. Now replacing  $t$  by  $-t$ , (23) becomes

$$nc_{-t} = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \epsilon^{st} C(\epsilon^s) \quad (24)$$

This is *nearly* the formula for Discrete Fourier Transform. We make a little massaging to get from one to the other. Given  $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$  we wish to find  $(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1})$  so that  $DFT_n(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}) = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ . We do this in three steps:

1. Calculate  $(d_0, \dots, d_{n-1}) = DFT_n(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$
2. Divide each term by  $n$  giving  $(e_0, \dots, e_{n-1})$  with  $e_t = d_t/n$ .
3. Reverse the  $e$  array giving  $(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1})$  with  $c_0 = e_0$  and  $c_t = e_{n-t}$  for  $0 < t < n$ .

Then  $(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1})$  is the inverse Discrete Fourier Transform of  $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .

Division and reversal are both  $O(n)$  so the main time is the  $DFT_n$  which takes  $O(n \lg n)$ .

We have completed all the steps for polynomial multiplication. The total time is  $O(n \lg n)$ . Hence we also have integer multiplication, taking time  $O(n \lg n)$ .

## **7 Ruminations**

The Fourier Transform is a powerful technique in mathematics that has been developed over the centuries. How remarkable that it is applicable to one of the most basic algorithmic challenges – multiplication!