

## REVIEWER QUESTIONS

Please be frank in your evaluation and critical judgment of this manuscript. Your review is for the guidance of the author and the editors and Editorial Board of The MIT Press, and your identity will not be revealed to the author without your permission.

We are particularly concerned with the following questions and would appreciate some comment on them in the course of your review. Any other comments on the book or its likelihood of success are, of course, most welcome.

1. What has the author tried to accomplish, and to what extent does the manuscript achieve this?
2. Is the work original and the scholarship sound?
3. Will the work be a significant contribution to the field? If so, in what way is it significant?
4. To what audience is this book addressed?
5. What are the best books already published on the subject, and how does this work compare with them?
6. What is your opinion of the manuscript's level, style, organization, and length?
7. How could the manuscript be improved? Can you cite specific sections or chapters that need revision?
8. What is your overall reaction to the manuscript:
  - √ I do not recommend publication by the MIT Press
  - √ I recommend publication, provided the revisions suggested in this review are satisfactorily made.
  - √ I recommend publication. Suggestions for revision are offered, but their adoption should be left to the discretion of the author and The Press.
  - √ I strongly recommend publication.
9. Are you currently teaching a course for which this book might be appropriate? If so, what course? What is the annual enrollment?