

## New York University

A private university in the public service

Prof. Dennis Shasha Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University 251 Mercer Street New York, N.Y. 10012, USA Telephone: (212) 998-3086

Electronic mail: shasha@cs.nyu.edu

Web: http://cs.nyu.edu/cs/faculty/shasha/index.html

October 17, 2007

Department of Administrative Services State Services Division Measure 37 Claims Unit 1225 Ferry ST SE U160 Salem, Oregon 97301-4292

To Whom It May Concern

This letter concerns:

Measure 37 Claimant: Dominic Mancini

Claim Number: M 132490

I am Mr. Mancini's neighbor with a residence at: 4484 Baldwin Creek Drive Parkdale, Oregon 97041-9742

My primary residence is in New York city: 100 Bleecker St 7A NY, NY 10012

Let me start by saying that Oregon is a special place because of the way that it values nature. Creating what amounts to a 10 building dense suburban development in the midst of the natural beauty of Hood River Valley is contrary to the qualities that Oregon is justly famous for. To this, one must add the extra pressure on water resources and the sullying of the ground water due to septic tank drainage that such a large development would entail. So, for environmental and aesthetic reasons, I am wholly opposed to Mr. Mancini's voracious request.

In addition, the density of the proposed development would entail an increase in traffic that would make traveling over the current twisting one lane dirt road hazardous. Cars would have to back up on hairpin turns to let other cars pass. That narrow road is built into a steep hillside and widening it could easily result in increased erosion and other environmental damage on my property. For this reason, I strongly object to any material change to that road where a change could include widening the road, cutting trees near the road, or damage to the road or its surroundings.

Finally, I understand that Mr. Mancini's proposed development constitutes an "urban use". I understand further that the access route to an urban use is regarded as accessory to that use, with the same urban nature and requires land use approval as well. Consequently that urban level of access must be an allowed use on the neighboring private property, in this case mine. Such a use is not allowed on my property because I acquired the property only in 1999. Therefore in my understanding, Mr. Mancini has no lawful route through my property to serve his intended urban use.

Respectfully Yours,

Dennis Shasha