

Timestamp	1. Given the nature of the material, rate ability of instructor to keep the presentation interesting	2. Clarity of explanations	3. Blackboard presentation	4. Overall quality of lectures	5. Degree of preparedness	6. Availability of instructor for consultation	7. Overall rating of instructor	8. Fairness and appropriateness of exams	9. Overall rating of course	10. Usefulness of textbook	11. Perceived difficulty of course	12. Amount of coursework	13. Pace of course	Please make any additional comments below.
5/6/2020 15:28:12	3	4	4	3	5	5	4	3	3	4	4	3	3	
5/6/2020 15:30:47	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	
5/6/2020 16:31:16	2	3	0	3	4	5	3	4	3	1	5	4	4	The course was great for covering a non-cryptocurrency application for blockchain. However, its critical weakness was using Hyperledger Fabric as the core application. Hyperledger Fabric is poorly documented, hideously complex, and relatively obscure. These flaws made the class concepts muddled and confusing, and was by far the biggest hurdle of the class. If another blockchain framework like Ethereum (which was explored in class) were used, I feel the core concepts would be better explored and understood. Still, Dr. Hull did a good job getting students through these complexities. Another point is that having the classwork split over two projects was a bit too granular. Breaking the projects down into more frequent checkpoint-like homeworks would let students keep on track with handling such complicated code.
5/6/2020 17:00:53	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	Prof. Hull is very nice and great. I appreciate his time spent on every student's project and study (face to face progress report, office hour, etc.)
5/6/2020 18:15:59	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	
5/12/2020 21:34:41	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	3	4	2	4	4	
5/20/2020 15:29:19	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	
Averages	4.00	4.43	3.86	4.14	4.57	4.86	4.29	4.14	4.14	3.71	3.57	3.29	3.14	