Attorney Docket No.: SHSH-0001-P01 Page 1 of 4

Serial No. 13/155,448 Filing Date: June 8, 2011

United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare with respect to the U.S. patent application entitled

METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR MULTI-DIMENSIONAL MOTION,

the specification of which was filed on <u>June 8, 2011</u> as application serial no. $\underline{13/155,448}$ that:

- (a) my residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name:
- (b) I believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought; and
- (c) I have reviewed and understood the contents of the specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(e).

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith, I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/agent/firm/organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented:

All Attorneys and Agents Associated With: Customer Number: 43520 (Strategic Patents, P.C.)

Please direct all correspondence in this case to:

Customer No. 43520 (Strategic Patents, P.C.) Telephone No. (781) 453-9993

Attorney Docket No.: SHSH-0001-P01 Page 2 of 4

Serial No. 13/155,448 Filing Date: June 8, 2011

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are

		001 of Title 18 of the United States e validity of the application or any
Full Name of joint inventor	number 1: Dennis Shasha	
Citizenship:	US	Residence: New York, NY
Post Office Address:	100 Bleecker Street	
	Apt. 7A	
	New York, NY 10012-2203	
Signature: Dennis Shasha	Date:	

 \underline{X} Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

Attorney Docket No.: SHSH-0001-P01 Page 3 of 4

Attorney Docket No.: SHSH-0001-P01 Serial No. 13/155,448 Filing Date: June 8, 2011

statements made on infor- statements were made wir punishable by fine or imp	mation and belie th the knowledg risonment, or bo	herein of my own knowledge are true and that all ef are believed to be true; and further that these e that willful false statements and the like so made are oth, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States its may jeopardize the validity of the application or any
Full Name of joint invent	or number 2:	Michael Whittaker
Citizenship:	CA	Residence: Edmonton, AB
Post Office Address:	1250 Hayter	Road
	Edmonton, A	AB T6S 1A2 Canada
Signature:		Date:
Michael Whitt	aker	

Attorney Docket No.: SHSH-0001-P01 Page 4 of 4

Serial No. 13/155,448 Filing Date: June 8, 2011

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.