Unveiling Objects in Big Data Distance Matching

Supervisor: Prof. Fabio Porto Prof. Dennis Shasha, Prof. Patrick Valduriez

> By Amir Khatibi Aug 2015





- Data deluge produced by the Big Data phenomenon, blurs high-level objects amongst billions of dataset elements.
- A Sample Query or a Descriptive Language specifies the elements that shall compose higher-level objects, and a composition model.

Unveiling objects – Seismic Data

• The objects of interest are components built from the elements held by the target dataset. Those features maybe obtained from the dataset through a smart combination of low-level elements.



Unveiling objects – Astronomy

low level objects: stars and their attributes

Higher level object: constellation



Distance Matching

Unveiling objects

- Distance queries are important in many areas like:
 - Astronomy catalogues
 - Seismic Data

...

• Environmental sensor data

- We look for efficient strategies to search for such elements in huge datasets
- In the case of Big data, processing the distributed data store in a parallelized environment is obvious.



Distance Matching

Astronomy application – sample query

• Astronomy catalogue:

position of sky objects specifies by the values of their coordinate in right-ascension (ra) and declination (dec).



Pre-computing the Query

• Find the k most representative points in the query

• Relieve us from many computations.



ex: In a query with 50% of points very similar to each other, we can avoid from 50% of the computations in whole.



Distance Matching

Pre-computing the Query

- Filter some small number of points that are far apart from each other.
 - Do the distance match for these points, firstly.
- Find the centroid point in the query
 - The nearest point to the Query's center of mass



Centroid-based approach

In this approach, each star is a potential centroid of a shape. Initial matching can be applied between the query centroid and the potential centroid of a shape:

Centroid's distances from other query elements

=> Pre-computing the dataset

(centroid_id,<<d1,star-id_1,ra_1,dec_1>,... ,<dn,star-id_n,ra_n,dec_n>>)

Pre-Computing the Dataset

- Pre-compute the distances of every sky object from other objects in a specific boundary d-radius of it and store an ordered list of distances and points
- Using a spatial index like **PH-Tree** to quicken the execution time.



• We look for candidate matches with respect to the query centroid and the result would be stored in the following relation. Every centroid could have a set of candidates for p-th element in the query.



Cp (Bucket p)

(centroid_star_id, partner_star_id, Ra_partner, Dec_partner)

Distance Matching

The second step is checking the pairwise distances in filtered centroids of a shape with respect to the query pairwise distances:

Element's distances from other query elements

• Query_pairwise_distances (<<dij,q_i,q_j >>)

=> Nested loops or Join Matrices

Matrices

- The idea of matrices aims to check the pairwise distances, partially.
- We create a set of matrices Mij

Mij(a,b) = 1 iff: dist (star a in Ci, star b in Cj) similar to dist (qi, qj)



Form the shapes

- According the density of intermediate results and the total number of solutions, we can do it differently:
- Nested Loops
 - Using in-memory variables and for loops
- Nested Joins in SQLite
 - Using Matrices and in-memory SQLite to join
- Matrix Multiplication
 - Using binary matrix multiplication to filter the candidate centroids

Some Results

- Data-set size: **1000 sky objects**
- Pre-computing the dataset:
 41 seconds
- Average number of neighbours for each centroid: 170 (between 90 to 240)

(All the following results are only for the first 50 candidate centroids using **Python PL**)

Method		avg(Cp)= 10 Dense matrices (lots of solutions)	avg(Cp)= 10 sparse matrices	avg(Cp)= 102 Dense matrices (lots of solutions)	avg(Cp)= 102 sparse matrices
		#solutions: 72,187	#solutions: 39	#solutions: 29,790,096	#solutions: 60
Nested loops	Without matrices	1.35 s	65 ms	550 s	2.4 s
MM Filtering	sparse matrix multiplication	130 ms	99 ms	5.3 s	3.9 s
Matrices and Sqlite	with indices	1.11 s	111 ms	?more than 20 min	3.9 s
	without indices	297 ms	105 ms	51 s	3.7 s
Prediction		MM>NL	MM <nl< td=""><td>MM>NL</td><td>MM<nl< td=""></nl<></td></nl<>	MM>NL	MM <nl< td=""></nl<>
Conclusion		Totally True			

Thank you for your attention

ANY QUESTIONS?