## Weekly Report 02/22/2015

Jingyu Deng

## This Week

- Modified my previous random forest package
- Added a reader package to read data
- Added a high-level master program to implement Anil's algorithm
- Made some tests to see the performance

# New Functions of my RF package

- predict(X)
  - X is the data set
  - return a vector containing result from each trees
- update\_chunk(X, y)
  - inserting the latest data point, removing the oldest one.
- replace(flag, cnt)
  - flag is a bool vector with the length of n\_trees
  - cnt is the number of trees which should be replaced
  - flag[i] == true means this tree need to replace
  - use last chunk to build a new random forest with cnt trees. Then use them to replace those trees whose flag is true.

# DataReader Package

- \_\_init\_\_(num, data\_path, target\_path)
  - initialize the reader
- read\_data\_point()
  - read a data point from the file
  - return a dict containing data, target and index of this data point
- close()
  - close the reader

# Master Program

- This master program is used to implement Anil's algorithm in high-level.
- Two versions are implemented:
  - A simple gradient descent algorithm
  - A complex correlation matrix based algorithm

## Some Tests

- Because correlation matrix based algorithm may occur error due to no inverse of a singular matrix, I tested the gradient descent algorithm only.
- n\_trees = 100
- $chunk_size = 1000$
- num of data points = 5000(no change point), 21000(1 change point), 61000(3 change points)
  - I didn't test all data points since it cost a very long time if we need to train new trees

## Some Tests

- I compared gradient descent + replace tree algorithm with naive no weight adjusting algorithm.
- I use mse to evaluate the performance

| num of data | gradient | naive |
|-------------|----------|-------|
| 5000        | 0.02     | 0.02  |
| 20000       | 0.14     | 2.43  |
| 60000       | 0.08     | 0.08  |
| 110000      | 0.10     | 2.32  |

## Question

 Why does naive algorithm get the same performance when n\_data\_points = 60000? I guess the trained RF is suitable for these later data by coincidence.

## Next Step

- More experiments
- Improve our algorithm

Thanks