

LECTURE # 11

APRIL 28 2015

2008 BIT-COINS (BTC) ₿

Satoshi NAKAMOTO. } Widely presumed to be a pseudonym.

Became fully operational in January 2009

- ◇ All the transactions ever carried out in the Bitcoin system
⇒ Available on the internet (in an anonymous way.)

₿ Bitcoins ≡ A decentralized electronic CRYPTO-currency system using PEER-TO-PEER networking.

(1) Enable payments between parties without relying on mutual trust

(2) DIGITAL COINS

Issued and transferred by the bitcoin network.

(3) Total BTC = 14,088,575

Market Cap = 3.2 Billion \$

(4) No centralized Issuing Authority

(No backing by Reserve; No intrinsic value)

<5> The BTC network is programmed to increase the money supply in a slowly increasing geometric series.

→ Until the number of BTC's reaches an upper limit of 21 million.

<6> Exchange Rate, fluctuates

\$1240 = 1 BTC (December 2013)

\$0.01 = 1 BTC.



Some Objects:

- 1) BITCOIN WALLET
 - 2) BITCOIN - ADRESSES. (≥1)
 - 3) BITCOIN - BLOCKCHAINS
 - 4) BITCOIN MINERS
- } Sender-
Receivers
- } Recommender-
Verifier.

↳ They solve increasingly difficult proof-of-work problems to be rewarded with BTC's (Satoshi's).

SIGNALING GAME

Information Asymmetry:

Informed
A
Sender



Uninformed
B
Receiver.

Deception

Needs verification.

a) Local / Propositional
Properties.
[CRYPTO SYSTEM]

b) Global / Modal
Properties
[COSTLY SIGNALING,
DISTRIBUTED
COMPUTATION.]

VERIFIER

Three-player

Sender-Receiver-Verifier
Game.

PUBLIC KEY CRYPTO SYSTEM

Asymmetric Cryptography:

2 separate keys. $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Public/Verification key } V_{rA} \\ \text{Private/Signing key } S_{gA} \end{array} \right.$

V_{rA} & S_{gA} are mathematically linked while they are computationally asymmetric.

ONE WAY FUNCTION.

<1> Mathematical Underpinning:

Algebraic Problem $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{a) Integer Factorization} \\ \text{b) Discrete Logarithm} \\ \text{c) Elliptic Curves.} \\ \text{d) Lattice Theory.} \end{array} \right.$

Closely related to ideal membership.

<2> It is computationally easy for a user

A to generate $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} V_{rA} \\ S_{gA} \end{array} \right.$

<3> It is "hard" to derive S_{gA} from V_{rA} .

(a) Anyone can verify A's identity

(b) No one (with conventional computational resources) can assume/steal A's identity.

- (c) A can have many persistent heteronyms (anonymity/pseudonymity).
- (d) A's identity can be linked to his genetic identity (e.g. whole-genome)
- (e) No secure key-exchange is necessary.

(4) Public key Encryption:

(message) $|_{Vr_A} \xrightarrow{CT}$ Send to A.

Only A can decode the message

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cypher Text } (CT) |_{Sg_A} &= (\text{message})_{Vr_A} \circ Sg_A \\ &= \text{message.} \end{aligned}$$

Verifies A's identity.

(Also anyone can send A a secret over open channel.)

(5) Private key Signature.

(message) $|_{Sg_A} \xrightarrow{ST}$ Sent by A.

Only A could have encoded/signed the message.

$$\begin{aligned} (ST) |_{Vr_A} &= (\text{message})_{Sg_A} \circ Vr_A \\ &= \text{message.} \end{aligned}$$

Authenticates A's action.

(Anyone can verify A's action.)

Example RSA (Rivest - Shamir - Adleman) -

(1) Choose 2 distinct prime numbers, $p, q, p \neq q$.

(2) Compute $n = pq$

$$\phi(n) = \phi(p) \phi(q) = (p-1)(q-1)$$

Euler's totient ϕ_n .

(3) Choose e , s.t. $\gcd(e, \phi(n)) = 1$

$$d \cdot e + c \phi(n) = 1$$

$$\therefore d \cdot e \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$$

$$d \equiv e^{-1} \pmod{\phi(n)}$$

(4) $V_r = e \leftarrow$ Public

$S_g = d \leftarrow$ Private

(5) $m = \text{message} \rightarrow m|_{V_r} = c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$

Polynomial in $\log |c|$
Repeated Squaring.

(6) $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$

$\rightarrow c|_{S_g} = m$ recovered in polytime

if one knows d or

$$\phi(n) = n - p - q + 1$$

or p, q (factorization of n)

Uses Fermat's little thm: $p = \text{prime}, p \nmid a$
 $\Rightarrow a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

$$ed + ec \cdot \varphi(n) = 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow ed - 1 = e(p-1)(q-1)$$

$$m^{ed} = m^{ed-1} \cdot m \\ = (m^{(p-1)})^{c(q-1)} m \equiv m \pmod{p}$$

similarly

$$m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod{q} \\ \therefore (me)^d \equiv m \pmod{pq}$$

SIGNALING GAME

(1) A \rightarrow $\begin{cases} \text{Private Signing Key } S_{gA} \\ \text{Public Verifying Key } V_{rA} \end{cases}$

(2) A detects

- (a) type/state $s \in S$ (e.g. ^{y=}BTC wallet)
- (b) message $m \in M$ (e.g. transfer x BTC to B)
- (c) time stamp t ($\wedge y-x \geq 0$)

(3) A Sends an augmented message.

$$C \equiv (V_{rA}, V_{rB}, m, \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{digest}}}{\#S}, t) \Big|_{S_{gA}}$$

<4> B verifies

(a) A sent the message

$$C | v_A \Rightarrow v_A, v_B, \text{etc...}$$

(b) Local Properties:

m is consistent with s .

(A sent the message

$$y_A \geq x_{A \rightarrow B}$$

A's wallet has BTC to
pay B)

$$\boxed{F(s, m)}$$

(c) Global Properties:

s_{t_1}, s_{t_2}, \dots etc.

satisfy certain state
transition properties.

(A did not double-spend)

$$G(s, t).$$

(d) B performs an action consistent
with \underline{m}

A gets utility $U_A(s, m, a)$

(e.g. receives a good)

B gets utility $U_B(s, m, a)$

$$y_B \leftarrow y_B + x_{A \rightarrow B}.$$

Verifying the global properties.

Create a Blockchain

$\langle s_1, t_1 \rangle, \langle s_2, t_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle s_n, t_n \rangle$

such that

$$t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n$$

$$\wedge \exists \text{message } (W_{r_A}, \dots, H(s_i, t_i)) \Big|_{S_{yA}}$$

Ingredients.

(a) BitCoin Miners.

(b) Costly Signaling (Proof of Work)

(c) Time stamp.

Blockchain (<https://blockchain.info/>)

→ a) Distributed File-System

b) Peer-to-Peer Network

c) Fault-Tolerance

§ CAP

{ Byzantine General Problem }

o Ripple Payment

SUMMARY. BTC.

A → B

(∀r_A, BTC.W(A) = X, Trans(A → B) = Y
// Y ≤ X @ t ∈ T) Sg_A.

Authentication:

Local Property Y ≤ X

X = Deposit_A [0..t]
- Withdraw_A [0..t]

Global Property

NO DOUBLE SPENDING

∀ t1 ≤ t2 Dep_A [0..t1] ≤ Dep_A [0..t2]

∀ WD_A [0..t1] ≤ WD [0..t2]

(Monotonicity of transactions)

+ PROOF-OF-WORK

