



Programming Languages

CSCI-GA.2110-001

Summer 2012

Scoping and control structures



What can we name?

- mutable variables
- values
- functions
- types
- type constructors (e.g., list or vector)
- classes
- modules/packages
- execution points (labels)
- execution points with environment (continuation)

Binding times

A *binding* is an association of two things. The first is usually a name.

Binding time is the time at which the association is made.

Binding times:

- Language design time: semantics of most language constructs
- Language implementation time: implementation dependent semantics
- Compile time
- Link time
- Run time

Static means before run time, *dynamic* means during run time.

Binding in C++

```
1 class Base {
2     public:
3     virtual void value() { cout << "base_class"; return; }
4 };
5 class Child : public Base {
6     public:
7     virtual void value() { cout << "child_class"; return; }
8 };
9
10 int main() {
11     Base x;
12     Child y;
13     x=y;
14     Base *xp = new Child();
15     Base &xr = y;
16     x.value(); // static binding
17     xp->value(); // runtime binding
18     xr.value(); // runtime binding
19     return 0;
20 }
```



Scope and lifetime



Scope: the region of program text where a binding is active.

Lifetime: the period of time between the creation of an entity and its destruction.

Note that these talk about two different things.

For objects residing in memory, there are typically three areas of storage, corresponding to different lifetimes:

- **static** objects: lifetime of entire program execution
 - ◆ globals, **static** variables
- **stack** objects: from the time the function or block is entered until the time it is exited
 - ◆ local variables
- **heap** objects: arbitrary lifetimes, not corresponding to the entrance or exit of a function or block
 - ◆ dynamically allocated objects, e.g., with **new**

Two major scoping disciplines:

- **static:** binding of a name is given by its declaration in the innermost enclosing block
 - ◆ Most languages use some variant of this
 - ◆ *Closest nested scope* rule usually applies.
- **dynamic:** binding of a name is given by the most recent declaration encountered at runtime
 - ◆ Used in Lisp, Snobol, APL

Scoping example

```
var x = 1;

function f () { print x; }

function g () { var x = 10;  f(); }

function h () { var x = 100; f(); }

f(); g(); h();
```

Scoping	Output
Static	1 1 1
Dynamic	1 10 100

Static scoping variations

What is the scope of `x`?

```
{  
    statements1;  
    var x = 5;  
    statements2;  
}
```

- C++, Ada: `statements2`
- Legacy C: `statements2` (but `statements1` not allowed)
- Javascript: entire block
- Pascal: entire block, but not allowed to be used in `statements1`!

Memory Allocation

- Static: allocated once at compile time (usually in protected memory.)
Usually include:
 - ◆ Strings, constants, static variables.
- Stacks: allocated in *frames* on a first-in last-out basis. Frames usually store:
 - ◆ Actual parameters
 - ◆ Temporaries
 - ◆ Local variables
 - ◆ Bookkeeping information
 - ◆ Return address
- Heap: allocated from main memory according to an allocation policy.
 - ◆ First-fit
 - ◆ Best-fit

Overloading

Overloading is a form of ad-hoc polymorphism whereby methods and operators can have several meanings depending on context.

- Functions: normally distinguished by the function signature.
- Custom memory allocation (C++: `new` and `placement-new`)
- Operators
 - ◆ Some languages can define new operators (ALGOL 68, Fortran, F#, Smalltalk)
 - ◆ And others can't. (ML, Prolog)
 - ◆ Some languages will overload only a limited set (C++, Pascal, C#)
 - ◆ And others don't support overloading at all. (C, Java, JavaScript, BASIC)

Do not confuse with a similar but distinct concept of *coercion*.

Control Structures

A *control structure* is any mechanism that departs from the default of straight-line execution.

- selection
 - ◆ if statements
 - ◆ case statements
- iteration
 - ◆ while loops (unbounded)
 - ◆ for loops
 - ◆ iteration over collections
- other
 - ◆ goto
 - ◆ call/return
 - ◆ exceptions
 - ◆ continuations

All high level statements must ultimately execute on hardware.

Each hardware platform is different, but they share core characteristics.

- Microprocessor, bus, memory, ICs, peripherals.
- Main memory. Harvard vs. Von Neumann architecture.
- Registers: general, special-purpose (e.g., flags, program counter).
- Instructions: opcode plus operands.
- Frequent operations:
 - ◆ Move information between memory and registers.
 - ◆ Execute instructions (e.g., math, bit manipulation, compare)
 - ◆ Branch, conditionally or unconditionally.
- Cache.
 - ◆ Stores frequently accessed data and instructions.
 - ◆ Tries to predict what the hardware will do next.
 - ◆ Biased toward small blocks and backward branching.

The Infamous GoTo

- In machine language, there are no if statements or loops.
- We only have branches, which can be either unconditional or conditional (on a very simple condition).
- With this, we can implement loops, if statements, and case statements. In fact, we only need
 1. increment
 2. decrement
 3. branch on zeroto build a universal machine (one that is Turing complete).
- We don't do this in high-level languages because unstructured use of the goto can lead to confusing programs. See “Go To Statement Considered Harmful” by Edgar Dijkstra.

Selection

- `if Condition then Statement` – Pascal, Ada
- `if (Condition) Statement` – C/C++, Java
- To avoid ambiguities, use end marker: `end if`, “`}`”
- To deal with multiple alternatives, use keyword or bracketing:

```
if Condition then
    Statements
elsif Condition then
    Statements
else
    Statements
end if;
```

Nesting

```
if Condition1 then
    if Condition2 then
        Statements1
    end if;
else
    Statements2
end if;
```


Statement Grouping

- Pascal introduces begin-end pair to mark sequence
- C/C++/Java abbreviate keywords to { }
- Ada dispenses with brackets for sequences; keywords for the enclosing control structure are sufficient
`for J in 1..N loop ... end loop`
 - ◆ More writing but more readable
- Another possibility – make indentation significant (e.g., ABC, Python, Haskell)

Short-circuit evaluation

```
if x/y > 5 then z := ... -- what if y = 0?  
if y /= 0 and x/y > 5 then z := ...
```

But binary operators normally evaluate both arguments.

Solutions:

- a lazy evaluation rule for logical operators (Lisp, C)

```
C1 && C2      // don't evaluate C2 if C1 is false  
C1 || C2      // don't evaluate C2 if C1 is true
```

- a control structure with a different syntax (Ada)

```
                                -- don't evaluate C2  
if C1 and then C2 then        -- if C1 is false  
if C1 or else C2 then         -- if C1 is true
```

Multiway selection

Case statement needed when there are many possibilities “at the same logical level” (i.e., depending on the same condition)

```
case Next_Char is
  when 'I'      => Val := 1;
  when 'V'      => Val := 5;
  when 'X'      => Val := 10;
  when 'C'      => Val := 100;
  when 'D'      => Val := 500;
  when 'M'      => Val := 1000;
  when others => raise Illegal_Numeral;
end case;
```

Can be simulated by sequence of if-statements, but logic is obscured.

The Ada case statement

- no flow-through (unlike C/C++)
- all possible choices are covered
 - ◆ mechanism to specify default action for choices not given explicitly
- no inaccessible branches:
 - ◆ no duplicate choices (C/C++, Ada, Java)
- choices must be static (Ada, C/C++, Java, ML)
- in many languages, type of expression must be discrete (e.g., no floating point, no string)

Implementation of case

A possible implementation for C/C++/Java/Ada style case:

(If we have a finite set of possibilities, and the choices are computable at compile-time.)

- build table of case handlers, one entry for each case
- transform input value to table index
- branch to that address
- execute
- branch to end of case statement (if `break` keyword used)

This is not the typical implementation for a ML/Haskell style case.

Complications

```
case (n+1) is
  when integer'first..0    ⇒ Put_Line("negative");
  when 1                   ⇒ Put_Line("unit");
  when 3 | 5 | 7 | 11      ⇒ Put_Line("small_prime");
  when 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 ⇒ Put_Line("small_even");
  when 21                  ⇒ Put_Line("house_wins");
  when 12..20 | 22..99    ⇒ Put_Line("manageable");
  when others              ⇒ Put_Line("irrelevant");
end case;
```

Implementation would be a combination of tables and if statements.

Consider: Serial Copy

```
void send (int *to, int *from, int count) {  
  
    do {                                /* precondition: count > 0 */  
        *to++ = *from++;  
    } while (--count > 0);  
  
}
```

This is called *serial copy*. It requires a test and branch after each copy.

Is there a more efficient way of doing this?

Unstructured Flow (Duff's device)

```
void send (int *to, int *from, int count) {  
    register n = (count + 7) / 8;  
    switch (count % 8) {  
        case 0: do { *to++ = *from++;  
        case 7:      *to++ = *from++;  
        case 6:      *to++ = *from++;  
        case 5:      *to++ = *from++;  
        case 4:      *to++ = *from++;  
        case 3:      *to++ = *from++;  
        case 2:      *to++ = *from++;  
        case 1:      *to++ = *from++;  
                } while (--n > 0);  
    }  
}
```


Duff's Demystified (How Case Statements Actually Work in C)

```
if(x==0) goto label_case1;
if(x==1) goto label_case2;
if(x==2) goto label_case3;
if(x==3) goto label_case4;
goto label_finish;

label_case1:  do-something();
              goto label_finish; /* break */
label_case2:  do-something();
              goto label_finish; /* break */
label_case3:  do-something();
              goto label_finish; /* break */
label_case4:  do-something();

label_finish:
```

Indefinite loops

- All loops can be expressed as while-loops
 - ◆ good for invariant/assertion reasoning
- condition evaluated at each iteration
- if condition initially false, loop is never executed

```
while condition loop ... end loop;
```

is equivalent to

```
if condition then
  while condition loop ... end loop;
end if;
```

if condition has no side-effects

Executing while at least once

Sometimes we want to check condition at end instead of at beginning; this will guarantee loop is executed at least once.

- `repeat ... until condition;` (Pascal)
- `do { ... } while (condition);` (C)

can be simulated by `while` + a boolean variable:

```
first := True;  
while (first or else condition) loop  
    ...  
    first := False;  
end loop;
```

Breaking out

A more common need is to be able to break out of the loop in the middle of an iteration.

- `break` (C/C++, Java)
- `last` (Perl)
- `exit` (Ada)

```
loop
  ... part A ...
  exit when condition;
  ... part B ...
end loop;
```

Breaking way out

Sometimes, we want to break out of several levels of a nested loop

- give names to loops (Ada, Perl)
- use a goto (C/C++)

```
Outer: while C1 loop ...
  Inner: while C2 loop ...
    Innermost: while C3 loop ...
      exit Outer when Major_Failure;
      exit Inner when Small_Annoyance;
      ...
    end loop Innermost;
  end loop Inner;
end loop Outer;
```

Definite Loops

Counting loops are iterators over discrete domains:

- `for J in 1..10 loop ... end loop;`
- `for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) { ... }`

Design issues:

- evaluation of bounds
- scope of loop variable
- empty loops
- increments other than 1
- backwards iteration
- non-numeric domains

Evaluation of bounds

```
for J in 1..N loop
    ...
    N := N + 1;
end loop;          -- terminates?
```

Yes – in Ada, bounds are evaluated once before iteration starts.

Note: the above loop uses abominable style.

C/C++/Java loop has hybrid semantics:

```
for (int j = 0; j < last; j++) {
    ...
    last++;    -- terminates?
}
```

No – the condition “ $j < \text{last}$ ” is evaluated at the end of each iteration.

The loop variable

- is it mutable?
- what is its scope? (i.e., local to loop?)

Constant and local is a better choice:

- *constant*: disallows changes to the variable, which can affect the loop execution and be confusing
- *local*: don't need to worry about value of variable after loop exits

```
Count: integer := 17;  
...  
for Count in 1..10 loop  
    ...  
end loop;  
... -- Count is still 17
```


Different increments

Algol60:

```
for j from exp1 to exp2 by exp3 do ...
```

- too rich for most cases; typically, `exp3` is `+1` or `-1`.
- what are semantics if `exp1 > exp2` and `exp3 < 0`?

C/C++:

```
for (int j = exp1; j <= exp2; j += exp3) ...
```

Ada:

```
for J in 1..N loop ...  
for J in reverse 1..N loop ...
```

Everything else can be programmed with a while loop