



# Programming Languages

Garbage collection

CSCI.GA-2110-001

Summer 2011



# Dynamic memory management

For most languages, the amount of memory used by a program cannot be determined at compile time

- earlier versions of FORTRAN are exceptions!

Some features that require dynamic memory allocation:

- recursion
- pointers, explicit allocation (e.g., `new`)
- higher order functions

# Types of Allocation

- Static – absolute address retained throughout program's execution.
  - ◆ Static variables
  - ◆ Global variables
  - ◆ Certain fixed data (e.g., string literals)
- Stack – last-in, first-out ordering.
  - ◆ Subroutine arguments
  - ◆ Local variables
  - ◆ Runtime system data structures (displays, etc.)
- Heap – general storage, for allocation at arbitrary times.
  - ◆ Explicitly or automatically allocated
  - ◆ Resizable types (e.g., String)
  - ◆ Java class instances
  - ◆ All objects and data structures in Python

# Stack vs Heap allocation

In imperative languages, space for local variables and parameters is allocated in activation records, on the *stack*.

The lifetime of such values follows a LIFO discipline – when the routine returns, we don't need its locals or arguments any more.

The lifetime (aka *extent*) of local variables may be longer than the lifetime of the procedure in which they were created.

These are allocated on the *heap*.

# Heap

The heap is finite – if we allocate too much space, we will run out.

Solution: deallocate space when it is no longer necessary.

Methods:

- Manual deallocation, with e.g., `free`, `delete` (C, Pascal)
- Automatic deallocation via garbage collection (Java, C#, Scheme, ML, Perl)
- Semi-automatic deallocation, using destructors (C++, Ada)
  - ◆ Automatic because that the destructor is called at certain points automatically
  - ◆ Manual because that the programmer writes the code for the destructor

Manual deallocation is dangerous (because not all current references to an object may be visible).

# Allocation

Two basic methods:

- free list – typically for manual and semi-automatic deallocation
- heap pointer – typically for automatic deallocation

## Free list method:

- a linked list of unused blocks of memory is maintained (the *free list*)
- **Allocation:** a search is done to find a free block of adequate size; it's removed from the free list
  - ◆ first-fit, best-fit
- **Deallocation:** the block is placed on the free list

Problems:

- may take some time to find a free block of the right size
- memory eventually becomes fragmented

# Allocation: Heap pointer

## Heap pointer method:

- initially, the heap pointer is set to bottom of heap
- **Allocation:** the heap pointer is incremented an appropriate amount
- **Deallocation:** defragmentation eventually required

## Problems:

- requires moving of live objects in memory

# Automatic deallocation

Basic garbage collection algorithms:

- reference counting – usually done by programmer
- mark/sweep – needs run-time support
  - ◆ variant: compacting
  - ◆ variant: non-recursive
- copying – needs run-time support
  - ◆ variant: incremental
  - ◆ variant: generational



# Mark/sweep & Copying GC

An object  $x$  is *live* (i.e., can be referenced) if:

- $x$  is pointed to by some variable located
  - ◆ on the stack (e.g., in an activation record)
  - ◆ in static memory
- there is a register (containing a temporary or intermediate value) that points to  $x$
- there is another object on the heap (e.g.,  $y$ ) that is live and points to  $x$

All live objects in the heap can be found by a graph traversal:

- start at the *roots* – local variables on the stack, static memory, registers.
- any object not reachable from the roots is *dead* and can be reclaimed

# Mark/sweep

- each object has an extra bit called the *mark bit*
- **mark phase**: the collector traverses the heap and sets the mark bit of each object encountered
- **sweep phase**: each object whose mark bit is not set goes on the free list

name	definition
GC()	<pre>for each root pointer p do     mark(p); sweep();</pre>
mark(p)	<pre>if p-&gt;mark /= 1 then     p-&gt;mark = 1; for each pointer field p-&gt;x do     mark(p-&gt;x);</pre>
sweep()	<pre>for each object x in heap do     if x.mark = 0 then insert(x, free_list);     else x.mark = 0;</pre>

# Copying

- heap is split into 2 parts: **FROM** space, and **TO** space
- objects allocated in **FROM** space
- when **FROM** space is full, garbage collection begins
- during traversal, each encountered object is copied to **TO** space
- when traversal is done, all live objects are in **TO** space
- now we flip the spaces – **FROM** space becomes **TO** space and vice versa
- Note: since we are moving objects, any pointers to them must be updated  
This is done by leaving a *forwarding address*

heap pointer method used for allocation – fast

# Copying

name	definition
GC()	for each root pointer p do p := traverse(p);
traverse(p)	if *p contains forwarding address then p := *p; // follow forwarding address return p; else { new_p := copy (p, TO_SPACE); *p := new_p; // write forwarding address for each pointer field p->x do new_p->x := traverse(p->x); return new_p; }

# Generational GC

- a variant of a copying garbage collector
- Observation: the older an object gets, the longer it is expected to stay around.  
Why?
  - ◆ many objects are very short-lived (e.g., intermediate values)
  - ◆ objects that live for a long time tend to make up central data structures in the program, and will probably be live until the end of the program
- Idea: instead of 2 heaps, use many heaps, one for each “generation”
  - ◆ younger generations collected more frequently than older generations (because younger generations will have more garbage to collect)
  - ◆ when a generation is traversed, live objects are copied to the next-older generation
  - ◆ when a generation fills up, we garbage collect it

# Reference Counting

The problem:

- we have several references to some data on the heap
- we want to release the memory when there are no more references to it
- may not have “built-in” garbage collection

**Idea:** Keep track of how many references point to the data, and free it when there are no more.

- set reference count to 1 for newly created objects
- increment reference count whenever we make a copy of a pointer to the object
- decrement reference count whenever a pointer to the object goes out of scope or stops pointing to the object
- when an object’s reference count becomes 0, we can free it

# Reference Counting

## Advantages:

- Memory can be reclaimed as soon as no longer needed.
- Simple, can be done by the programmer for languages not supporting GC.

## Disadvantages:

- Additional space needed for the reference count.
- Will not reclaim circular references.
- Can be inefficient (e.g., if many objects are reclaimed at once).

# Comparison

Costs of various methods:

$L$  = amount of storage occupied by live data

$M$  = size of heap

- Mark/sweep:  $O(L) + O(M) = O(M)$  since  $M > L$
- Copying:  $O(L)$   
experimental data for LISP:  $L \approx 0.3 * M$

Harder to compare with reference counting, but mark/sweep and copying are generally *faster*.



# C++: Important events in the lifetime of an object

Event	what gets called (declaration)
Creation	<code>C (...)</code> // <i>constructors</i>
Pass by value	<code>C (const C&amp;)</code> // <i>copy constructor</i>
Assignment	<code>C&amp; operator= (const C&amp;)</code>
Destruction	<code>~C ()</code> // <i>destructor</i>

A chief reason C++ has destructors is to enable implementation of *reference counting*.

# Reference Counting: Example

```
class C {
public:
    C () : p(NULL) { }
    C (const C& c) : p(c.p) { if (p) p->refCount++; }
    ~C () { if (p && --p->refCount == 0) delete p; }
    C& operator= (const C&);
    ...
private:
    struct RefCounted {
        int refCount;
        ...
        RefCounted (...) : refCount(1), ... { ... }
    };
    RefCounted *p;
}
```

# Reference Counting: assignment

```
const C& C::operator= (const C& c) {  
    if (c.p)  
        c.p->refCount++;  
  
    if (p)  
        p->refCount--;  
  
    p = c.p;  
  
    return *this;  
}
```

# Conservative collection

- What about weakly typed languages?
- What about languages not designed for GC? (hostile environments)

It turns out that strong typing is not necessary for garbage collection.

**Approach:** traverse the stack, static memory, heap and *guess* whether bit patterns “look like” a pointer.

- If memory beginning at address  $x$  was previously allocated and there is no pointer-like memory address pointing to  $x$ , then deallocate the block at  $x$ .
- If some bit pattern in memory points to  $x$ , do not deallocate  $x$ .
- Worst case: some objects may not be deallocated.