

Honors Analysis of Algorithms

Problem Set 5

Collaboration is allowed, but you must write your own solutions. Proofs of correctness are a must.

Problem 1 (Randomized Algorithms)

Solve: [Kleinberg Tardos] Chapter 13, problem 1, page 782.

Problem 2 (Randomized Algorithms)

Solve: [Kleinberg Tardos] Chapter 13, problem 10, page 789.

Hint: Consider an agent such that there are k agents with a higher bid than her. What is the probability that her bid results in an update of b^ ?*

Problem 3 (Randomized Algorithms)

Solve: [Kleinberg Tardos] Chapter 13, problem 11, page 789.

Problem 4

Solve Problem 5 from the 2019 PhD exam:

https://cs.nyu.edu/home/phd/algorithms_exams/algorithms_2019fall_exam.pdf

Problem 5

In this problem, we explore the notion of *oracle reducibility*. If A is a language, then a *Turing machine with oracle A* is a Turing machine with a “magical” subroutine that decides membership in A . In other words, the subroutine, when given a string w , tells the machine whether or not $w \in A$. Let

$$\text{HALT}_{\text{TM}} = \{ \langle M, x \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine that halts on } x \}.$$

Show that there is a Turing machine with oracle HALT_{TM} that decides the following problem with only *two* questions to the oracle: Given three (machine, input) pairs $\langle M_1, x_1 \rangle, \langle M_2, x_2 \rangle, \langle M_3, x_3 \rangle$, decide for each pair whether the Turing machine halts on the corresponding input.

Note: This is trivial if one allows *three* questions. Just ask the oracle whether $\langle M_i, x_i \rangle \in \text{HALT}_{\text{TM}}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Problem 6

Show that the collection of Turing-recognizable languages is closed under the operation of (a) union (b) concatenation (c) star and (d) intersection. What about complementation operation?