Bag-of-Words models

Lecture 9

Slides from: S. Lazebnik, A. Torralba, L. Fei-Fei, D. Lowe, C. Szurka

Bag-of-features models





Overview: Bag-of-features models

- Origins and motivation
- Image representation
- Discriminative methods
 - Nearest-neighbor classification
 - Support vector machines
- Generative methods
 - Naïve Bayes
 - Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis
- Extensions: incorporating spatial information

Origin 1: Texture recognition

- Texture is characterized by the repetition of basic elements or *textons*
- For stochastic textures, it is the identity of the textons, not their spatial arrangement, that matters



Julesz, 1981; Cula & Dana, 2001; Leung & Malik 2001; Mori, Belongie & Malik, 2001; Schmid 2001; Varma & Zisserman, 2002, 2003; Lazebnik, Schmid & Ponce, 2003

Origin 1: Texture recognition



Julesz, 1981; Cula & Dana, 2001; Leung & Malik 2001; Mori, Belongie & Malik, 2001; Schmid 2001; Varma & Zisserman, 2002, 2003; Lazebnik, Schmid & Ponce, 2003

• Orderless document representation: frequencies of words from a dictionary Salton & MCGill (1983)

• Orderless document representation: frequencies of words from a dictionary Salton & McGill (1983)

2007-01-23: State of the Union Address

violence violent War washington weapons wesley

George W. Bush (2001-)

abandon accountable affordable afghanistan africa aided ally anbar armed army baghdad bless challenges chamber chaos choices civilians coalition commanders commitment confident confront congressman constitution corps debates deduction deficit deliver democratic deploy dikembe diplomacy disruptions earmarks economy einstein elections eliminates expand extremists failing faithful families freedom fuel funding god haven ideology immigration impose insurgents iran ica julie lebanon love madam marine math medicare moderation neighborhoods nuclear offensive palestinian payroll province pursuing qaeda radical regimes resolve retreat rieman sacrifices science sectarian senate september shia stays strength students succeed sunni tax territories threats uphold victory

• Orderless document representation: frequencies of words from a dictionary Salton & McGill (1983)



• Orderless document representation: frequencies of words from a dictionary Salton & McGill (1983)

2007-01-23: State of the Union Address George W. Bush (2001-)		
abandon choices c deficit c	1962-	10-22: Soviet Missiles in Cuba John F. Kennedy (1961-63)
expand	aban do	1941-12-08: Request for a Declaration of War
insurgen	build	Franklin D. Roosevelt (1933-45)
palestini	declined elimina	abandoning acknowledge aggression aggressors airplanes armaments armed arm y assault assembly authorizations bombing britain british cheerfully claiming constitution curtail december defeats defending delays democratic dictators disclose
septemt	halt ha	economic empire endanger facts false forgotten fortunes france freedom fulfilled fullness fundamental gangsters
violenc	modern	german germany god guam harbor hawaii hemisphere hint hitler hostilities immune improving indies innumerable
	recessio	invasion islands isolate Japanese labor metals midst midway navy nazis obligation offensive
	surveil	officially PACIFIC partisanship patriotism pearl peril perpetrated perpetual philippine preservation privilege reject repaired resisting retain revealing rumors seas soldiers speaks speedy stamina strength sunday sunk supremacy tanks taxes
		treachery true tyranny undertaken victory War wartime washington

1. Extract features







- 1. Extract features
- 2. Learn "visual vocabulary"



- 1. Extract features
- 2. Learn "visual vocabulary"
- 3. Quantize features using visual vocabulary

- 1. Extract features
- 2. Learn "visual vocabulary"
- 3. Quantize features using visual vocabulary
- Represent images by frequencies of "visual words"



- Regular grid
 - Vogel & Schiele, 2003
 - Fei-Fei & Perona, 2005



- Regular grid
 - Vogel & Schiele, 2003
 - Fei-Fei & Perona, 2005
- Interest point detector
 - Csurka et al. 2004
 - Fei-Fei & Perona, 2005
 - Sivic et al. 2005



- Regular grid
 - Vogel & Schiele, 2003
 - Fei-Fei & Perona, 2005
- Interest point detector
 - Csurka et al. 2004
 - Fei-Fei & Perona, 2005
 - Sivic et al. 2005
- Other methods
 - Random sampling (Vidal-Naquet & Ullman, 2002)
 - Segmentation-based patches (Barnard et al. 2003)





Compute SIFT descriptor

[Lowe'99]

Normalize patch



Detect patches

[Mikojaczyk and Schmid '02] [Mata, Chum, Urban & Pajdla, '02] [Sivic & Zisserman, '03]

Slide credit: Josef Sivic



2. Learning the visual vocabulary



2. Learning the visual vocabulary



Slide credit: Josef Sivic

2. Learning the visual vocabulary



Slide credit: Josef Sivic

K-means clustering

 Want to minimize sum of squared Euclidean distances between points x_i and their nearest cluster centers m_k

$$D(X,M) = \sum (x_i - m_k)^2$$

clusterk point i in clusterk

- Algorithm:
- Randomly initialize K cluster centers
- Iterate until convergence:
 - Assign each data point to the nearest center
 - Recompute each cluster center as the mean of all points assigned to it

From clustering to vector quantization

- Clustering is a common method for learning a visual vocabulary or codebook
 - Unsupervised learning process
 - Each cluster center produced by k-means becomes a codevector
 - Codebook can be learned on separate training set
 - Provided the training set is sufficiently representative, the codebook will be "universal"
- The codebook is used for quantizing features
 - A vector quantizer takes a feature vector and maps it to the index of the nearest codevector in a codebook
 - Codebook = visual vocabulary
 - Codevector = visual word

Example visual vocabulary



Fei-Fei et al. 2005

Image patch examples of visual words



Sivic et al. 2005

Visual vocabularies: Issues

- How to choose vocabulary size?
 - Too small: visual words not representative of all patches
 - Too large: quantization arti
- Generative or discriminati
- Computational efficiency
 - Vocabulary trees
 (Nister & Stewenius, 2006)



3. Image representation



Image classification

 Given the bag-of-features representations of images from different classes, how do we learn a model for distinguishing them?



Discriminative and generative methods for bags of features







Image classification

 Given the bag-of-features representations of images from different classes, how do we learn a model for distinguishing them?



Discriminative methods

 Learn a decision rule (classifier) assigning bagof-features representations of images to different classes



Classification

- Assign input vector to one of two or more classes
- Any decision rule divides input space into decision regions separated by decision boundaries

 X_2



Nearest Neighbor Classifier

 Assign label of nearest training data point to each test data point



from Duda et al.

Voronoi partitioning of feature space for two-category 2D and 3D data

K-Nearest Neighbors

- For a new point, find the k closest points from training data
- Labels of the k points "vote" to classify
- Works well provided there is lots of data and the distance function is good



Functions for comparing histograms

• L1 distance $D(h_1, h_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{N}$

$$D(h_1, h_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |h_1(i) - h_2(i)|$$

• χ^2 distance $D(h_1, h_2) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\Phi_1(i) - h_2(i)^2}{h_1(i) + h_2(i)}$

• Quadratic distance (cross-bin)

$$D(h_1, h_2) = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} (h_1(i) - h_2(j))^2$$

Jan Puzicha, Yossi Rubner, Carlo Tomasi, Joachim M. Buhmann: <u>Empirical Evaluation of</u> <u>Dissimilarity Measures for Color and Texture</u>. ICCV 1999

Earth Mover's Distance

- Each image is represented by a signature S consisting of a set of centers {m_i} and weights {w_i}
- Centers can be codewords from universal vocabulary, clusters of features in the image, or individual features (in which case quantization is not required)
- Earth Mover's Distance has the form

$$EMD(S_1, S_2) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{f_{ij} d(m_{1i}, m_{2j})}{f_{ij}}$$

where the *flows* f_{ij} are given by the solution of a *transportation problem*

Y. Rubner, C. Tomasi, and L. Guibas: A Metric for Distributions with Applications to Image Databases. ICCV 1998
Linear classifiers

• Find linear function (*hyperplane*) to separate positive and negative examples



Support vector machines

• Find hyperplane that maximizes the *margin* between the positive and negative examples

Support vector machines

• Find hyperplane that maximizes the *margin* between the positive and negative examples



 \mathbf{x}_i positive $(y_i = 1)$: $\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} + b \ge 1$ \mathbf{x}_i negative $(y_i = -1)$: $\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} + b \le -1$ For support vectors, $\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} + b = \pm 1$ Distance between point
and hyperplane: $\frac{|\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} + b|}{||\mathbf{w}||}$ Therefore, the margin is $2/||\mathbf{w}||$

Finding the maximum margin hyperplane

- 1. Maximize margin $2/||\mathbf{w}||$
- 2. Correctly classify all training data:

 \mathbf{x}_i positive $(y_i = 1)$: $\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} + b \ge 1$

 \mathbf{x}_i negative $(y_i = -1)$: $\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} + b \leq -1$

Quadratic optimization problem:

Minimize
$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$$

Subject to $y_i(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i + b) \ge 1$

Finding the maximum margin hyperplane



Finding the maximum margin hyperplane

- Solution: $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}$ $b = y_{i} - \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{i}$ for any support vector
- Classification function (decision boundary):

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b$$

- Notice that it relies on an *inner product* between the test point *x* and the support vectors *x_i*
- Solving the optimization problem also involves computing the inner products *x_i* · *x_j* between all pairs of training points

Nonlinear SVMs

• Datasets that are linearly separable work out great:



• But what if the dataset is just too hard?



• We can map it to a higher-dimensional space:



Nonlinear SVMs

 General idea: the original input space can always be mapped to some higherdimensional feature space where the training set is separable:



Nonlinear SVMs

The kernel trick: instead of explicitly computing the lifting transformation φ(x), define a kernel function K such that

$$K(\mathbf{x}_i,\mathbf{x}_j) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}_i) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}_j)$$

- (to be valid, the kernel function must satisfy *Mercer's condition*)
- This gives a nonlinear decision boundary in the original feature space:

$$\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} K(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}) + b$$

Kernels for bags of features

- Histogram intersection kernel: $I(h_1, h_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \min(h_1(i), h_2(i))$
- Generalized Gaussian kernel:

$$K(h_1, h_2) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{A}D(h_1, h_2)^2\right)$$

• *D* can be Euclidean distance, χ^2 distance, Earth Mover's Distance, etc.

J. Zhang, M. Marszalek, S. Lazebnik, and C. Schmid, <u>Local Features and Kernels for Classifcation</u> of <u>Texture and Object Categories</u>: <u>A Comprehensive Study</u>, IJCV 2007 Summary: SVMs for image classification

- 1. Pick an image representation (in our case, bag of features)
- 2. Pick a kernel function for that representation
- 3. Compute the matrix of kernel values between every pair of training examples
- 4. Feed the kernel matrix into your favorite SVM solver to obtain support vectors and weights
- 5. At test time: compute kernel values for your test example and each support vector, and combine them with the learned weights to get the value of the decision function

What about multi-class SVMs?

- Unfortunately, there is no "definitive" multi-class SVM formulation
- In practice, we have to obtain a multi-class SVM by combining multiple two-class SVMs
- One vs. others
 - Traning: learn an SVM for each class vs. the others
 - Testing: apply each SVM to test example and assign to it the class of the SVM that returns the highest decision value
- One vs. one
 - Training: learn an SVM for each pair of classes
 - Testing: each learned SVM "votes" for a class to assign to the test example

SVMs: Pros and cons

- Pros
 - Many publicly available SVM packages: <u>http://www.kernel-machines.org/software</u>
 - Kernel-based framework is very powerful, flexible
 - SVMs work very well in practice, even with very small training sample sizes
- Cons
 - No "direct" multi-class SVM, must combine twoclass SVMs
 - Computation, memory
 - During training time, must compute matrix of kernel values for every pair of examples
 - Learning can take a very long time for large-scale problems

Slide: S. Lazebnik

Summary: Discriminative methods

- Nearest-neighbor and k-nearest-neighbor classifiers
 - L1 distance, χ^2 distance, quadratic distance, Earth Mover's Distance
- Support vector machines
 - Linear classifiers
 - Margin maximization
 - The kernel trick
 - Kernel functions: histogram intersection, generalized Gaussian, pyramid match
 - Multi-class
- Of course, there are many other classifiers out there
 - Neural networks, boosting, decision trees, ...

Generative learning methods for bags of features

Model the probability of a bag of features given a class







Generative methods

- We will cover two models, both inspired by text document analysis:
 - Naïve Bayes
 - Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis

• Assume that each feature is conditionally independent *given the class*

$$p(f_1,...,f_N | c) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(f_i | c)$$

- *f_i*: *i*th feature in the image
- *N*: number of features in the image



• Assume that each feature is conditionally independent *given the class*

$$p(f_1, \dots, f_N \mid c) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(f_i \mid c) = \prod_{j=1}^M p(w_j \mid c)^{n(w_j)}$$

- f_i : *i*th feature in the image
- N: number of features in the image
- w_j : *j*th visual word in the vocabulary M: size of visual vocabulary $n(w_j)$: number of features of type w_j in the image

• Assume that each feature is conditionally independent *given the class*

$$p(f_1, \dots, f_N \mid c) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(f_i \mid c) = \prod_{j=1}^M p(w_j \mid c)^{n(w_j)}$$

 $p(w_i \mid c) =$

No. of features of type w_j in training images of class c

Total no. of features in training images of class c



• Assume that each feature is conditionally independent *given the class*

 $p(w_i \mid c) =$

$$p(f_1, \dots, f_N \mid c) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(f_i \mid c) = \prod_{j=1}^M p(w_j \mid c)^{n(w_j)}$$

No. of features of type w_j in training images of class c + 1

Total no. of features in training images of class c + M

(Laplace smoothing to avoid zero counts)



Csurka et al. 2004

• Maximum A Posteriori decision:

$$c^* = \arg\max_{c} p(c) \prod_{j=1}^{M} p(w_j | c)^{n(w_j)}$$

= $\arg\max_{c} \log p(c) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} n(w_j) \log p(w_j | c)$

(you should compute the log of the likelihood instead of the likelihood itself in order to avoid underflow)





• "Graphical model":



Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis





T. Hofmann, Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis, UAI 1999

Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis

- Unsupervised technique
- Two-level generative model: a document is a mixture of topics, and each topic has its own characteristic word distribution



T. Hofmann, Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis, UAI 1999

Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis

- Unsupervised technique
- Two-level generative model: a document is a mixture of topics, and each topic has its own characteristic word distribution



$$p(w_i | d_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p(w_i | z_k) p(z_k | d_j)$$

T. Hofmann, Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis, UAI 1999

The pLSA model



The pLSA model



Observed codeword distributions (*M*×*N*) Codeword distributions per topic (class) (*M*×*K*) Class distributions per image (K×N)

words

Learning pLSA parameters

Maximize likelihood of data:



Inference

• Finding the most likely topic (class) for an image:

$$z^* = \arg\max_{z} p(z \mid d)$$

Inference

• Finding the most likely topic (class) for an image:

$$z^* = \arg\max_{z} p(z \mid d)$$

• Finding the most likely topic (class) for a visual word in a given image:

$$z^* = \arg\max_{z} p(z \mid w, d) = \arg\max_{z} \frac{p(w \mid z) p(z \mid d)}{\sum_{z'} p(w \mid z') p(z' \mid d)}$$

Topic discovery in images





J. Sivic, B. Russell, A. Efros, A. Zisserman, B. Freeman, <u>Discovering Objects and their Location</u> in Images, ICCV 2005

Application of pLSA: Action recognition

Space-time interest points



Juan Carlos Niebles, Hongcheng Wang and Li Fei-Fei, <u>Unsupervised Learning of Human Action</u> <u>Categories Using Spatial-Temporal Words</u>, IJCV 2008.

Application of pLSA: Action recognition



Juan Carlos Niebles, Hongcheng Wang and Li Fei-Fei, <u>Unsupervised Learning of Human Action</u> <u>Categories Using Spatial-Temporal Words</u>, IJCV 2008.

pLSA model $p(w_i | d_i) = \sum p(w_i | z_k) p(z_k | d_i)$ k=1Probability of Probability of Probability of word i word i given topic k given in video j topic k video j (known) (unknown) (unknown)

- w_i = spatial-temporal word
- $-d_i = video$
- n(w_i, d_j) = co-occurrence table (# of occurrences of word w_i in video d_j)
- z = topic, corresponding to an action

Action recognition example



Fig. 10 Example frames from testing sequences in the KTH dataset. The spatial-temporal patches in each sequence are automatically colored according to action class that most likely generated its corresponding spatial-temporal word. Although some of the words are assigned to the wrong topic, most interest points are assigned to the correct action for each video. Consistently, the predicted action class corresponds to the actual ground truth. In addition, we usually observe that the second best ranked action class corresponds to a similar action: in the "jogging" example of the figure, the second best label is "running". The figure is best viewed in color and with PDF magnification

Multiple Actions










Multiple Actions



















Summary: Generative models

- Naïve Bayes
 - Unigram models in document analysis
 - Assumes conditional independence of words given class
 - Parameter estimation: frequency counting
- Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis
 - Unsupervised technique
 - Each document is a mixture of topics (image is a mixture of classes)
 - Can be thought of as matrix decomposition
 - Parameter estimation: Expectation-Maximization

Adding spatial information

- Computing bags of features on sub-windows of the whole image
- Using codebooks to vote for object position
- Generative part-based models

Spatial pyramid representation

- Extension of a bag of features
- Locally orderless representation at several levels of resolution





Lazebnik, Schmid & Ponce (CVPR 2006)

Spatial pyramid representation

- Extension of a bag of features
- Locally orderless representation at several levels of resolution



Lazebnik, Schmid & Ponce (CVPR 2006)

Spatial pyramid representation

- Extension of a bag of features
- Locally orderless representation at several levels of resolution



Lazebnik, Schmid & Ponce (CVPR 2006)

Scene category dataset



Multi-class classification results (100 training images per class)

	Weak fe	atures	Strong features	
	(vocabulary size: 16)		(vocabulary size: 200)	
Level	Single-level	Pyramid	Single-level	Pyramid
$0(1 \times 1)$	45.3 ± 0.5		72.2 ± 0.6	
$1(2 \times 2)$	53.6 ± 0.3	$56.2\pm\!0.6$	77.9 ± 0.6	79.0 ± 0.5
$2(4 \times 4)$	61.7 ± 0.6	64.7 ± 0.7	79.4 ± 0.3	81.1 ±0.3
3 (8 × 8)	63.3 ± 0.8	66.8 ±0.6	77.2 ± 0.4	80.7 ± 0.3

Caltech101 dataset

http://www.vision.caltech.edu/Image_Datasets/Caltech101/Caltech101.html



Multi-class classification results (30 training images per class)

	Weak features (16)		Strong features (200)	
Level	Single-level	Pyramid	Single-level	Pyramid
0	15.5 ± 0.9		41.2 ± 1.2	
1	31.4 ± 1.2	32.8 ± 1.3	55.9 ± 0.9	57.0 ± 0.8
2	47.2 ± 1.1	49.3 ± 1.4	63.6 ± 0.9	64.6 ± 0.8
3	52.2 ± 0.8	54.0 ± 1.1	60.3 ± 0.9	$64.6\pm\!0.7$

Examples from PASCAL VOC Challenge 2010

Bird





Bicycle





Boat



Bottle





Bus













Chair





Cow





Framework



Low Level Features

- Low level features: SIFT and its variants, LBP, HOG.
- Dense sampling and interest point detector;
- Represented as Bags of Words;





Panasonic ideas for life

Patch Level Features



The results

	SVM	Exclusive	Fusing	Our Best	Other's Best	
aeroplane	91.9	91.3	93	93	93.3	
bicycle	77.1	77	79	79	77	
bird	69.5	70	71.6	71.6	69.9	
boat	74.7	75.6	77.8	77.8	77.2	
bottle	52.5	50.7	54.3	54.3	53.7	
bus	84.3	83.2	85.2	85.2	85.9	
car	77.3	77.1	78.6	78.6	80.4	
cat	76.2	75.4	78.8	78.8	79.4	
chair	63	62.5	64.5	64.5	62.9	
cow	63.5	62.6	64	64	66.2	
diningtable	62.9	62.7	62.7	62.9	61.1	
dog	65	64.6	69.6	69.6	71.1	
horse	79.5	77.9	82	82	76.7	
motorbike	83.2	81.8	84.4	84.4	81.7	
person	91.2	91.1	91.6	91.6	90.2	
pottedplant	45.5	44.8	48.6	48.6	53.3	
sheep	65.4	64.2	64.9	65.4	66.3	
sofa	55	53.2	59.6	59.6	58	
train	87	86.3	89.4	89.4	87.5	
tymonitor	77.2	77.1	76.4	77.2	76.2	
MAP	72.095	71.455	73.8			

Panas

ideas for life

