Introduction to Machine Learning, Spring 2016

Problem Set 1: Perceptron algorithm

Due: Friday, February 5, 2016 at 6pm (uploaded to NYU Classes.)

Your submission should include a PDF file called “solutions.pdf” with your answers to the below questions (including plots), and all of the code that you write. Important: See problem set policy on the course web site.

In this problem set you will implement the Perceptron algorithm and apply it to the problem of e-mail spam classification.

Instructions. You may use the programming language of your choice (we recommend Python, and using matplotlib for plotting). However, you are not permitted to use or reference any machine learning code or packages not written by yourself.

Data files. We have provided you with two files: spam_train.txt, spam_test.txt. Each row of the data files corresponds to a single email. The first column gives the label (1=spam, 0=not spam).

Pre-processing. The dataset included for this exercise is based on a subset of the SpamAssassin Public Corpus. Figure 1 shows a sample email that contains a URL, an email address (at the end), numbers, and dollar amounts. While many emails would contain similar types of entities (e.g., numbers, other URLs, or other email addresses), the specific entities (e.g., the specific URL or specific dollar amount) will be different in almost every email. Therefore, one method often employed in processing emails is to “normalize” these values, so that all URLs are treated the same, all numbers are treated the same, etc. For example, we could replace each URL in the email with the unique string “httpaddr” to indicate that a URL was present. This has the effect of letting the spam classifier make a classification decision based on whether any URL was present, rather than whether a specific URL was present. This typically improves the performance of a spam classifier, since spammers often randomize the URLs, and thus the odds of seeing any particular URL again in a new piece of spam is very small.

We have already implemented the following email preprocessing steps: lower-casing; removal of HTML tags; normalization of URLs, e-mail addresses, and numbers. In addition, words are reduced to their stemmed form. For example, “discount”, “discounts”, “discounted” and “discounting” are all replaced with “discount”. Finally, we removed all non-words and punctuation. The result of these preprocessing steps is shown in Figure 2.

> Anyone knows how much it costs to host a web portal ?
> Well, it depends on how many visitors youre expecting. This can be anywhere from less than 10 bucks a month to a couple of $100. You should checkout http://www.rackspace.com/ or perhaps Amazon EC2 if youre running something big..

To unsubscribe yourself from this mailing list, send an email to: groupname-unsubscribe@egroups.com

Figure 1: Sample e-mail in SpamAssassin corpus before pre-processing.
anyon know how much it cost to host a web portal well it depend on how mani visitor
your expect thi can be anywher from less than number buck a month to a coupl of
dollar numb you should checkout http addr or perhap amazon ec numb if your run someth
big to unsubscrib yourself from thi mail list send an email to email addr

Figure 2: Pre-processed version of the sample e-mail from Figure 1.

1. This problem set will involve your implementing several variants of the Perceptron al-
gorithm. Before you can build these models and measure their performance, split your
training data (i.e. spam_train.txt) into a training and validate set, putting the last 1000
emails into the validation set. Thus, you will have a new training set with 4000 emails and
a validation set with 1000 emails. You will not use spam_test.txt until problem 10.
Explain why measuring the performance of your final classifier would be problematic had
you not created this validation set.

2. Transform all of the data into feature vectors. Build a vocabulary list using only the 4000
e-mail training set by finding all words that occur across the training set. Note that we
assume that the data in the validation and test sets is completely unseen when we train
our model, and thus we do not use any information contained in them. Ignore all words
that appear in fewer than \(X = 30\) e-mails of the 4000 e-mail training set – this is both a
means of preventing overfitting and of improving scalability. For each email, transform it
into a feature vector \(\vec{x}\) where the \(i\)th entry, \(x_i\), is 1 if the \(i\)th word in the vocabulary occurs
in the email, and 0 otherwise.

3. Implement the functions \texttt{perceptron\_train(data)} and \texttt{perceptron\_test(w, data)}.
The function \texttt{perceptron\_train(data)} trains a perceptron classifier using the examples
provided to the function, and should return \(\vec{w}, k,\) and \(\text{iter}\), the final classification vector,
the number of updates (mistakes) performed, and the number of passes through the data,
respectively. You may assume that the input data provided to your function is linearly
separable (so the stopping criterion should be that all points are correctly classified). For
the corner case of \(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x} = 0\), predict the +1 (spam) class.
For this exercise, you do not need to add a bias feature to the feature vector (it turns
out not to improve classification accuracy, possibly because a frequently occurring word
already serves this purpose). Your implementation should cycle through the data points in
the order as given in the data files (rather than randomizing), so that results are consistent
for grading purposes.
The function \texttt{perceptron\_test(w, data)} should take as input the weight vector \(\vec{w}\) (the
classification vector to be used) and a set of examples. The function should return the test
error, i.e. the fraction of examples that are misclassified by \(\vec{w}\).

4. Train the linear classifier using your training set. How many mistakes are made before the
algorithm terminates? Test your implementation of \texttt{perceptron\_test} by running it with
the learned parameters and the training data, making sure that the training error is zero.
Next, classify the emails in your validation set. What is the validation error?

5. To better understand how the spam classifier works, we can inspect the parameters to see
which words the classifier thinks are the most predictive of spam. Using the vocabulary
list together with the parameters learned in the previous question, output the 15 words with the *most positive* weights. What are they? Which 15 words have the most *negative* weights?

6. Implement the *averaged* perceptron algorithm, which is the same as your current implementation but which, rather than returning the final weight vector, returns the average of all weight vectors considered during the algorithm (including examples where no mistake was made). Averaging reduces the variance between the different vectors, and is a powerful means of preventing the learning algorithm from overfitting (serving as a type of regularization).

7. One should expect that the test error decreases as the amount of training data increases. Using only the first \(N\) rows of your training data, run both the perceptron and the averaged perceptron algorithms on this smaller training set and evaluate the corresponding validation error (using all of the validation data). Do this for \(N = 100, 200, 400, 800, 2000, 4000\), and create a plot of the validation error of both algorithms as a function of \(N\).

8. Also for \(N = 100, 200, 400, 800, 2000, 4000\), create a plot of the number of perceptron iterations as a function of \(N\), where by iteration we mean a complete pass through the training data. As the amount of training data increases, the margin of the training set decreases, which generally leads to an increase in the number of iterations perceptron takes to converge (although it need not be monotonic).

9. One consequence of this is that the later iterations typically perform updates on only a small subset of the data points, which can contribute to overfitting. A way to solve this is to control the maximum number of iterations of the perceptron algorithm. Add an argument to both the perceptron and averaged perceptron algorithms that controls the maximum number of passes over the data.

10. Congratulations, you now understand various properties of the perceptron algorithm. Try various configurations of the algorithms on your own using all 4000 training points, and find a good configuration having a low error on your validation set. In particular, try changing the choice of perceptron algorithm and the maximum number of iterations. You could additionally change \(X\) from question 2 (this is optional). Report the validation error for several of the configurations that you tried; which configuration works best?

You are ready to train on the full training set, and see if it works on completely new data. Combine the training set and the validation set (i.e. use all of `spam_train.txt`) and learn using the best of the configurations previously found. You do not need to rebuild the vocabulary when re-training on the train+validate set.

What is the error on the test set (i.e., now you finally use `spam_test.txt`)?

**Acknowledgement:** This problem set is based partly on an assignment developed by Andrew Ng of Stanford University and Coursera.