

Pouring Liquids: A Study in Commonsense Physical Reasoning: Appendix: Verification of Pouring Scenario

Ernest Davis*
Dept. of Computer Science
New York University
davis@cs.nyu.edu

May 16, 2008

This appendix shows that the scenario specified in axioms PD.1-14 and PS.1–21 ends in a final state where some of the liquid l0 is in the pitcher and the remainder is in the pail.

The formal statements of the lemmas in this appendix, like the axioms in the main text of the paper, are (intended to be) written in a style that could be given directly to an automated theorem checker, after some straightforward syntactic desugaring. In the text of the proofs here, by contrast, I have followed the (God knows, rigid enough) comparatively informal style of normal mathematical writing. In particular:

- I will use partial functions, being careful only to use them only where defined.
- I assume standard results from Euclidean geometry and real analysis.
- I will use natural English for establishing the context of relations between fluents; I will often omit the hatch superscript for converting a function on atemporal entities to one on fluents; and I will often omit the place function on objects and liquids. For instance I will write “At time TA , $L \subset Q$,” rather than “holds(TA , $\uparrow L \subset^{\#} Q$)”.
- If RA and RB are regions then I will write $RA - RB$ and $RA \cap RB$ for the regularized difference and intersection, and likewise for region-valued fluents. Also, I will allow these expressions even in cases where they evaluate to the null set, and sometimes omit consideration of the null set case where it is trivial.
- It will be convenient to apply Boolean operators to liquid chunks in the obvious way.
- Where M is a rigid mapping and G is a geometric entity, I have written the second-order formulation $M(G)$ rather than the first-order expression “mappingImage(M, G)”.
- From the point of view of automated theorem proving, a large part of the proof of these lemmas is definition hunting and elementary temporal and spatial argumentation. I have omitted most of this, not even citing the definitions or axioms involved, except where this is non-trivial or interesting.

*This research was supported in part by NSF grant IIS-0534809.

I have divided the lemmas into general results, which are independent of the particular problem specification, and problem specific results. Obviously, a result of either form can be rephrased into the other. The idea is that, for the most part, general results are of some general applicability, whereas problem specific results require so many of the particular problem specifications as to make the corresponding general theorem ludicrously long.

General Results

Lemma 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall_{TS,TE,Q} \text{ holds}(TS, Q) \wedge \\ [\forall_{T2} TS < T2 \wedge \text{throughoutxE}(TS, T2, Q) \Rightarrow \text{holds}(T2, Q)] \wedge \\ [\forall_T \text{ holds}(T, Q) \wedge T < TE \Rightarrow \\ \quad \exists_{T3} T < T3 \wedge \text{throughout}(T, T3, Q)] \\ \Rightarrow \\ \text{throughout}(TS, TE, Q). \end{aligned}$$

Proof by contradiction. Suppose not. Let T be the greatest lower bound of all times between after TS when Q fails. If $T = TS$ then Q holds at T by the first condition of the lemma; if $T > TS$ then Q holds at T by the second condition of the lemma. In either case, by the third assumption of the lemma, Q continues to be true until some time $T3$ after T , contradicting the choice of T .

Lemma 2: (Conditionalized comprehension for fluents):

$$[\forall_{T:\text{time}} \Psi(T) \Rightarrow \exists_X \Theta(X, T)] \Rightarrow \exists_Q \forall_{T:\text{time}} \Psi(T) \Rightarrow \Theta(\text{value}(T, Q)).$$

Proof: In axiom schema T.1, define $\Phi(X, T) \equiv \Psi(T) \Rightarrow \Theta(X, T)$ (i.e. the value of X is arbitrary at times T where Ψ is not satisfied).

Lemma 3:

$$\text{region}(R) \wedge H > \text{bottom}(R) \Rightarrow \exists_{RB} \text{regionBelow}(R, H, RB).$$

Proof: Let P be a point in R for which $\text{height}(P) < H$. Since R is regular, P is in the closure of the interior of R ; hence the interior of R includes points below H . Therefore, we can define RB to be the closure of the part of the interior of R below H .

Definition 1:

$\text{disconnOpenBox}(RB, RI: \text{bregion})$

$$\text{disconnOpenBox}(RB, RI) \equiv$$

$$\forall_P P \in \text{boundary}(RI) \Rightarrow \text{height}(P) = \text{top}(RI) \vee P \in \text{boundary}(RB)$$

The definition of the relation $\text{disconnOpenBox}(RB, RI)$, meaning “ RB is an open box with disconnected interior RI ,” is the same as “ $\text{openBox}(RB, RI)$ ” except that it does not require that RI be connected. Thus, RI can consist of a number of disjoint regions boxed by RB , though all of these regions must have their tops at the same height.

Lemma 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disconnOpenBox}(RB, RI) \wedge \text{topSurface}(PST, RI) \wedge RB2 = \text{mappingImage}(M, RB) \wedge \\ RIM = \text{mappingImage}(M, RI) \wedge PTM = \text{mappingImage}(M, PST) \wedge \\ \text{regionBelow}(RIM, \text{bottom}(PSM), RI2) \Rightarrow \\ \text{disconnOpenBox}(RB2, RI2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: To establish that $\text{disconnOpenBox}(RB2, RI2)$, by definition 1 we must show that for any point $P \in \text{boundary}(RI2)$, either $\text{height}(P) = \text{top}(RI2)$ or $P \in \text{boundary}(RB2)$. Let P be any point in $\text{boundary}(RI2)$, and let $P1 = M^{-1}(P)$. By PD.6, $\text{height}(P) \leq \text{bottom}(PTM)$. Let $PT2$ be the

top surface of $RI2$. By construction either P is in $PT2$ or P is in $\text{boundary}(RIM)$. If $P \in PT2$ then $\text{height}(P) = \text{top}(RI2)$. Suppose that $P \in \text{boundary}(RIM)$. Then $P1 \in \text{boundary}(RI)$. Since $\text{openBox}(RB, RI)$ either $P1 \in PST$ or $P1 \in \text{boundary}(RB)$. If $P1 \in PST$ then $P \in PTM$, so $\text{height}(P) \geq \text{bottom}(PTM) = \text{top}(RI)$. If $P1 \in \text{boundary}(RB)$ then $P \in \text{boundary}(RB2)$. ■

Corollary 5:

$\text{object}(O) \wedge O = \text{source}(BI) = \text{source}(BT) \wedge$
 $\text{holds}(T1, \text{openBox}^\#(\uparrow O, \uparrow BI) \wedge \text{topSurface}^\#(\uparrow BT, \uparrow BI)) \wedge$
 $\text{holds}(T2, \text{isolated}(\uparrow BI, \{O\}, L)) \wedge \text{holds}(T2, \text{regionBelow}^\#(\uparrow BI, \text{bottom}^\#(\uparrow BT), RB)) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{holds}(T2, \text{disconnOpenBox}^\#(O, RB)).$

Proof: By PD.10 no objects enter into the interior of RI . The result is then immediate from lemma 4.

Lemma 6:

$\text{openBox}(RB, R1) \wedge \text{openBox}(RB, R2) \wedge \text{rccO}(R1, R2) \wedge$
 $\text{top}(R1) \leq \text{top}(R2) \Rightarrow$
 $R1 \subset R2.$

Proof by contradiction: Suppose that the left hand side holds, but that $P1$ is a point in $R1 - R2$. Since $\text{rccO}(R1, R2)$, let $P2$ be a point in $\text{interior}(R1 \cap R2)$. Since $R1$ is regular and thickly connected, there exists an open connected pointset $PSO \subset \text{interior}(R1)$ such that $P2 \in PSO$, $P1 \in \text{closure}(PSO)$. Since $P1 \notin R2$, PSO must intersect $\text{boundary}(R2)$. Since all of PSO is below $\text{top}(R1)$, this intersection must be below $\text{top}(R1)$ and thus below $\text{top}(R2)$. But since $\text{openBox}(RB, R2)$, any boundary point of $R2$ below $\text{top}(R2)$ is a boundary point of RB ; but there cannot be any boundary point of RB in the interior of $R1$.

Corollary 7:

$\text{openBox}(RB, R1) \wedge \text{openBox}(RB, R2) \wedge \text{rccO}(R1, R2) \Rightarrow$
 $R1 \subset R2 \vee R2 \subset R1.$

Proof: By lemma 6, if $\text{top}(R1) \leq \text{top}(R2)$ then $R1 \subset R2$, and vice versa.

Lemma 8:

$\text{openBox}(RB, RI) \Rightarrow \exists_{RM}^1 RI \subset RM \wedge \text{maxBox}(RB, RI).$

Proof: Let RM be the union of all regions $R1$ such that $R \subset R1$ and $\text{openBox}(RB, RM)$. It is immediate from lemma 6 that RM satisfies the stated condition, and that no other region can satisfy the condition.

Definition 2:

$\text{maxCuppedRegion}(R:\text{region}) \rightarrow \text{fluent}[\text{Bool}]$

$\text{maxCuppedRegion}(R) = \text{maxBox}^\#(\text{solidSpace}, R).$

Corollary 9:

$\text{holds}(T, \text{cuppedRegion}(R)) \Rightarrow$
 $\exists_{RM}^1 R \subset RM \wedge \text{holds}(T, \text{maxCuppedRegion}(RM)).$

Proof: Immediate from lemma 8 and the definitions.

Lemma 10:

$\text{object}(OB) \wedge \text{holds}(T, \text{isolated}(RI, \{OB\}, L)) \Rightarrow$
 $[\text{holds}(T, \text{openBox}^\#(\uparrow OB, RI)) \Leftrightarrow \# \text{cuppedRegion}(RI)].$

Proof: By the isolation condition, no object other than OB borders RI (PD.10). The result is then immediate from the definitions of cuppedRegion (CUPD.1) and solidSpace (ONTD.4).

Lemma 11:

$\text{object}(OB) \wedge \text{holds}(T, \text{isolated}(RI, \{OB\}, L) \wedge \# \text{maxBox}^\#(\uparrow OB, R)) \Rightarrow \text{holds}(T, \text{localMaxCup}(R))$

Proof: Using PD.10, let $D > 0$ be the minimal distance from RI to any object other than OB . By lemma 10, R is a cupped region in S . By the definition of maxBox , no superset of R is a cupped region cupped only by OB , and if $R1 \supset R$ is a cupped region involving some object in addition to OB , then $R1$ must include points at least D from R . Hence the conditions of SPILLD.1, SPILLD.2 are met.

Lemma 12:

$\text{holds}(T, \text{simpleBox}(OB)) \wedge \text{holds}(T, \text{isolated}(RI, \{OB\}, L) \wedge \text{holds}(T, \text{localMaxCup}(RI)) \Rightarrow \forall_R \text{holds}(T, \text{maxBox}^\#(\uparrow OB, R)) \Leftrightarrow R = RI$.

Proof: Since RI is a cupped region (SPILLD.2, SPILLD.1, CUPD.1), and is isolated from every object except OB , it follows that $\text{holds}(T, \text{openBox}(OB, RI))$. It is easily shown that $\text{holds}(T, \text{localMaxBox}(OB, RI))$. From SPILLD.2, PD.9 it follows that RI is the only region for which $\text{holds}(T, \text{localMaxBox}(OB, RI))$. Trivially $\text{maxBox}(OB, R)$ implies $\text{localMaxBox}(OB, R)$; hence $\text{maxBox}(OB, R)$ implies $R = RI$.

Lemma 13:

$\forall_{R:\text{region}, E>0} \exists_{D>0} \text{volumeOf}(\text{expand}(\text{boundary}(R), D) \cap R) < E$.

Proof: By definition of volume, there exist $\mu > 0$ and a grid decomposition of space into cubical voxels of side μ such that the total volume of the voxels that lie entirely inside R is at least $\text{volumeOf}(R) - E/2$. Thus, the number of grid voxels that lie entirely inside R is at least $N = (\text{volumeOf}(R) - E/2) / \mu^3$.

Now, choose $D < E/12N\mu^2$. For each grid voxel, the volume of the interior part of the voxel that lies at least D from the boundary of the voxel is $(\mu - 2D)^3 > \mu^3 - 6D\mu^2$; hence the union of these interior parts is at least $N(\mu^3 - 6D\mu^2) = \text{volumeOf}(R) - E$. But all these interior part of interior voxels are at least D from the boundary of R ; hence, the part of R that is within D of $\text{boundary}(R)$ has volume less than E .

Corollary 14:

$\forall_{R:\text{region}, E>0} \exists_{D>0} \text{volumeOf}(\text{expand}(\text{boundary}(R), D) - R) < E$.

Proof: Let $R2 = \text{closure}(\text{expand}(R, 1) - R)$; thus $\text{boundary}(R) \subset \text{boundary}(R2)$. Therefore for any $D < 1$, $\text{expand}(\text{boundary}(R), D) - R \subset \text{expand}(\text{boundary}(R2), D) \cap R2$. The result is then immediate from lemma 13.

Corollary 15:

$\forall_{R:\text{region}, E>0} \exists_{D>0} \text{volumeOf}(\text{expand}(\text{boundary}(R), D)) < E$.

Proof: Immediate from lemma 13 and corollary 14.

Lemma 16:

$\text{openBox}(RB, RI) \Rightarrow RI \subset \text{convexHull}(RB)$.

Proof by contradiction. Suppose that P is a point in RI that is not in the convex hull of RB . Then for any horizontal line L through P , one side or the other of L does not meet RB . Since RI is bounded, that ray of L from P must meet the boundary of RI at a point $P2$. Since $\text{openBox}(RB, RI)$ and $P2$ is not in $\text{boundary}(RB)$ we must have $\text{height}(P2) = \text{top}(RI)$, so $\text{height}(P) = \text{top}(RI)$. Thus, all points P that are not in the convex hull of RB have height exactly equal to $\text{top}(RI)$; but since the convex hull of RB is topologically closed, this is impossible.

Definition 3:

$\text{boxedPoint}(P: \text{point}, R: \text{region})$

$\text{maxShift}(M: \text{rigidMapping}, R: \text{region}) \rightarrow \text{distance}$.

boxedPoint(P, R) \equiv
 $\exists_{RI} \text{openBox}(R, RI) \wedge P \in RI$.

maxShift(M, R)= D \equiv
 $[\exists_{P \in R} \text{dist}(P, \text{mappingImage}(M, P))=D] \wedge [\forall_{P \in R} \text{dist}(P, \text{mappingImage}(M, P)) \leq D]$

Lemma 17:

maxShift($M, \text{convexHull}(RB)$) = maxShift(M, RB).

Proof: It is easily shown that, if P is on a line between PA and PB then $\text{dist}(P, M(P)) \leq \max(\text{dist}(PA, M(PA)), \text{dist}(PB, M(PB)))$. The result is then immediate.

Lemma 18:

maxShift(M, RB) $\leq E \wedge \text{openBox}(RB, RI) \wedge \text{point}(P) \wedge \text{expand}(P, 4E) \subset RI \Rightarrow$
boxedPoint($P, \text{mappingImage}(M, RB)$)

Proof: Let $R2B=M(RB)$, $R1X=M(RI)$. Using lemmas 16 and 17, maxShift(RI) $\leq E$. Let PST be the topSurface of RI and let $PT2=M(PST)$. Since $\text{expand}(P, 4E) \subset RI$ we have $\text{bottom}(RI) \leq \text{height}(P)-4E$ and $\text{top}(RI) \geq \text{height}(P)+4E$. Hence $\text{bottom}(PT2) \geq \text{bottom}(PST)-E \geq \text{height}(P)+3E > \text{height}(M(P))$. Since $M(P) \in R1X$, some of $R1X$ is below $\text{bottom}(PT2)$. Hence we can define $R1Y$ to be the part of $R1X$ below $\text{bottom}(PT2)$. By lemma 4, $R1Y$ is a disconnected open Box. Define $R12$ to be the thickly connected component of $R1Y$. Then $\text{openBox}(R12)$ and $P \in R12$, satisfying the theorem.

Corollary 19:

maxShift(M, RB) $\leq E \wedge \neg \text{boxedPoint}(P, RB) \wedge \text{boxedPoint}(P, \text{mappingImage}(M, RB)) \Rightarrow$
 $\exists_{PA} \text{dist}(P, PA) \leq 4E \wedge \neg \text{boxedPoint}(PA, RB)$

Proof: Just a logical rearrangement of Lemma 18.

Lemma 20:

maxShift(M, RB) $\leq E \wedge \neg \text{boxedPoint}(P, RB) \wedge \text{boxedPoint}(P, \text{mappingImage}(M, RB)) \wedge$
 $\text{dist}(P, RB) > 4E \Rightarrow$
 $\exists_{RI} \text{openBox}(RB, RI) \wedge \text{dist}(P, RI) \leq 4E$.

Proof: Assume that M, P, RB, E meet the conditions of the lemma. Let $R2B=M(RB)$ and let $R12$ be such that $P \in R12$, and $\text{openBox}(R2B, R12)$. By lemma 16, every point in $R12$ is in the convex hull of RB ; it follows easily that $\text{maxShift}(M, RI) \leq \text{maxShift}(M, RB) = E$. Let $RT2=\text{topSurface}(R12)$; thus every point in $RT2$ has height greater than that of P . Let $RT1 = M^{-1}(RT2)$; then $\text{bottom}(RT1) \geq \text{height}(RT2)-E \geq \text{height}(P)-E$.

Clearly $\text{dist}(P, R2B) \geq \text{dist}(P, RB) - \text{maxShift}(M, RB) \geq 3E$. Let $P2A$ be the point directly below P at distance $3E$ from P ; thus $P2A \in R12$. Since all the points on the line from P to $P2A$ are less than $3E$ from P , none of these points are in $R2B$; hence $P2A \in R12$. Let $PA = M^{-1}(P2A)$. Since $PA \in M^{-1}(R12)$ and $\text{height}(PA) \leq \text{height}(P)-2E < \text{bottom}(RT1)$, it follows that some of $M^{-1}(R12)$ is lower than $\text{bottom}(RT1)$. Let $R1X$ be the part of $M^{-1}(R12)$ lower than $\text{bottom}(RT1)$; by lemma 4, $\text{disconnOpenBox}(RB, R1X)$. Let $R1$ be the thickly connected component of $M^{-1}(R12)$ containing PA ; thus $\text{openBox}(RB, R1)$. Finally $\text{dist}(PA, P) \leq \text{dist}(PA, P2A) + \text{dist}(P2A, P) \leq 4E$, so $\text{dist}(P, RI) \leq 4E$.

Definition 4:

allBoxes(RB, RI :region)
symDiff(RA, RB, RC : region)

allBoxes(RB, RI) \equiv
 $\forall_P P \in RI \Leftrightarrow \text{boxedPoint}(P, RB)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{symDiff}(RA, RB, RC) &\equiv \\ &[[RA \subset RB \wedge \text{regDif}(RB, RA, RC)] \vee \\ &[[RB \subset RA \wedge \text{regDif}(RA, RB, RC)] \vee \\ &[\text{regDif}(RA, RB, RD) \wedge \text{regDif}(RB, RA, RE) \wedge RC = RD \cup RE.] \end{aligned}$$

In proofs, we will write $RP \ominus RQ$ for the regularized symmetric difference of RP and RQ . (We can't write this in lemmas because it may be empty.)

Corollary 21:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maxShift}(M, RB) < E \wedge \text{allBoxes}(RB, RI) \wedge \text{allBoxes}(\text{mappingImage}(M, RB), RMI) \wedge \\ \text{symDiff}(RI, RMI, RD) \Rightarrow \\ RD \subset \text{expand}(\text{boundary}(RI, 4E) \cup \text{expand}(\text{boundary}(RB), 4E)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: By corollary 19, if P is boxed in RB and not boxed in $M(RB)$ then it is within $4E$ of $\text{boundary}(RI)$. By lemma 20, if P is not boxed in RB and boxed in $M(RB)$ then it is either within $4E$ of $\text{boundary}(RI)$ or within $4E$ of $\text{boundary}(RB)$.

Lemma 22:

$$\text{simpleBox}(RB) \Rightarrow [\text{maxBox}(RB, RI) \Leftrightarrow \text{allBoxes}(RB, RI)]$$

Proof: Immediate from the definitions.

Definition 5:

$\text{maxShift1}(T1, T2:\text{time}, O:\text{object}) \rightarrow \text{distance}.$

$$\text{maxShift1}(T1, T2, O) =$$

$$\text{maxShift}(\text{mappingImage}(\text{value}(T2, \text{placement}(O)), \text{inverse}(\text{value}(T1, \text{placement}(O))), \text{shape}(O)).$$

Lemma 23:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{throughout}(TS, TE, \text{simpleBox}^\#(\uparrow O) \wedge^\# \text{maxBox}^\#(\uparrow O, Q)) \Rightarrow \\ \text{continuousVolume}(Q, TS, TE) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let T be any time between TS and TE . Let $E > 0$. Using corollary 14, choose $D1 > 0$ such that

$$\text{volumeOf}(\text{expand}(\text{value}(T, \text{boundary}^\#(\uparrow O) \cup \text{boundary}^\#(Q)), D1) < E.$$

Since O moves continuously, choose D such that, for any time $T1$ between TS and TE and between $T - D$ and $T + D$, $\text{maxShift1}(T1, T, O) < D1/4$. Using corollary 21 and lemma 22,

$$\text{volumeOf}(\text{value}(T1, Q) \ominus \text{value}(T, Q)) \leq$$

$$\text{volumeOf}(\text{expand}(\text{value}(T, \text{boundary}^\#(\uparrow O) \cup^\# \text{boundary}^\#(Q)), D1) < E.$$

Lemma 24:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{object}(O) \wedge \text{throughout}(TS, TE, \text{maxBox}^\#(\uparrow O, Q)) \wedge \text{continuousVolume}(Q, TS, TE) \Rightarrow \\ \text{continuous}(\text{top}^\#(Q), TS, TE). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: There are two cases:

Case 1: Every point P in Q such that $\text{height}(P) = \text{top}(Q)$ is in the boundary of O . In that case, O is a closed box and Q is always an entire thickly connected component of the complement of O ; that is, Q is a pseudo-object of constant shape moving with O . Since the shape of Q is constant and its placement tracks the placement of O and is continuous, $\text{top}(Q)$ is continuous.

Case 2: There exists a point P in the top surface of Q such that the ball of radius $D > 0$ does not intersect O . Over a small enough time interval, O does not come inside that ball. A discontinuous change in $\text{top}(Q)$ would cause the corresponding slice of that ball, of finite volume, to come in or out of Q , leading to a volume discontinuity of Q . (This is loosely worded, but can easily be made tight.)

■

We could weaken the condition “ $\text{maxBox}(O, Q)$ ” in the preceding lemma to be just “ $\text{openBox}(O, Q)$ ”, but the analysis of case 1 becomes a little trickier, and we do not need it.

Corollary 25:

$\text{object}(O) \wedge$
 $\text{throughout}(TS, TE, \text{simpleBox}^\#(\uparrow O) \wedge \# \text{maxBox}^\#(\uparrow O, Q)) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{continuous}(\text{top}^\#(Q), TS, TE)$

Proof: Immediate from lemmas 23 and 24.

Lemma 26:

$\text{simpleBox}(RB) \wedge \text{openBox}(RB, RI1) \wedge \text{openBox}(RB, RI2) \Rightarrow$
 $RI1 \subset RI2 \vee RI2 \subset RI1.$

Proof of the contrapositive. Suppose that neither $RI1$ nor $RI2$ is a subset of the other. Let $P1$ be a point in the interior of $RI1$ and let $D1$ be the distance from $P1$ to the boundary of $RI1$; thus $RI1$ contains a sphere of radius $D1$ centered at $P1$. Define $P2$ and $D2$ correspondingly for $RI2$. By lemma 7, $\text{rccDS}(RI1, RI2)$. Let $RA1$ be the closure of the union of all regions $RK1$ such that $RI1 \subset RK1$, $\text{openBox}(RB, RK1)$ and $\text{rccDS}(RK1, RI2)$, and let $RA2$ be the closure of the union of all regions $RK2$ such that $RI2 \subset RK2$, $\text{openBox}(RB, RK2)$ and $\text{rccDS}(RK1, RI2)$. It is easily verified that $\text{openBox}(RB, RA1)$, $\text{openBox}(RB, RA2)$, and $\text{rccDS}(RA1, RA2)$. Moreover suppose that $RQ1$ is any proper superset of $RA1$ such that $\text{openBox}(RB, RQ)$. Then $\text{rccO}(RQ1, RI2)$ by construction of $RA1$. Hence by lemma 7, $RI2 \subset RQ1$ (since RQ is clearly not a subset of $RI2$); so RQ contains P and thus contains a point that is at least $D1$ from any point in $RA1$. Thus $RA1$ is a localMaxBox for RB . Likewise $RA2$ is a localMaxBox for RB . But since RB has two localMaxBoxes , it does not satisfy $\text{simpleBox}(RB)$.

Lemma 27:

$\text{openBox}(RB, RI) \wedge \text{regDif}(\text{convexHull}(RB), RB, RD), \text{regionBelow}(RD, \text{top}(RI), RC) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{thicklyConnectedComponent}(RI, RC).$

Proof: By lemma 16, RI is a subset of $\text{convexHull}(RB)$. Since RI does not overlap RB , RI is a subset of RD . By assumption RI is thickly connected. Suppose that RO is a thickly connected set such that $RI \subset RO \subset RC$. If RO is a proper superset of RI , then some part of the boundary of RI must lie in the interior of RO ; but this is impossible, since the interior of RO is entirely below $\text{top}(RI)$ and entirely disjoint from RB . Hence $RO = RI$, so RI is a thickly connected component of RC .

Definition 6:

$\text{regInt}(R1, R2, R3: \text{region}).$

$\text{regInt}(R1, R2, R3) \equiv$
 $\forall_{R:\text{region}} R \subset R3 \Leftrightarrow R \subset R1 \wedge R \subset R2.$

Lemma 28:

$\text{continuousVolume}(QP, TS, TE) \wedge \text{continuousVolume}(QQ, TS, TE) \wedge$
 $\text{throughout}(TS, TE, \text{regInt}^\#(QP, QQ, Q)) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{continuousVolume}(Q, TS, TE).$

Proof: Note that $[RA \cap RB] \ominus [RC \cap RD] \subset [RA \ominus RC] \cup [RB \ominus RD]$
and therefore $\text{volumeOf}([RA \cap RB] \ominus [RC \cap RD]) \leq \text{volumeOf}(RA \ominus RC) + \text{volumeOf}(RB \ominus RD)$.
Let $T1$ and $T2$ be two times between TS and TE . Then taking $RA = \text{value}(T1, QP)$, $RB = \text{value}(T1, QQ)$,
 $RC = \text{value}(T2, QP)$, $RD = \text{value}(T2, QQ)$ gives
 $\text{volumeOf}(\text{value}(T1, Q) \ominus \text{value}(T2, Q)) <$
 $\text{volumeOf}(\text{value}(T1, QP) \ominus \text{value}(T2, QP)) + \text{volumeOf}(\text{value}(T1, QQ) \ominus \text{value}(T2, QQ)).$

Since QP and QQ are volume-continuous, the summands on the right-hand side of the inequality can be made arbitrarily small by requiring that $T1$ and $T2$ lie close enough; hence the term on the left-hand side, which is the definition of Q being volume continuous. ■

Corollary 29:

$\text{continuousVolume}(QP, TS, TE) \wedge \text{continuousVolume}(QQ, TS, TE) \wedge$
 $\text{throughout}(TS, TE, \text{regDif}^\#(QP, QQ, QR)) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{continuousVolume}(QR, TS, TE)$

Proof: Immediate from lemma 28 using the fact that the regularized difference of QP and QQ is the regularized intersection of QP with the complement of QQ .

Lemma 30:

$\text{continuousVolume}(Q, TS, TE) \Rightarrow \text{continuous}(\text{volumeOf}^\#(Q), TS, TE)$

Proof: Immediate.

Definition 7:

$\text{intersectVolume}(RA, RB: \text{region}) \rightarrow \text{volume}$

$\text{intersectVolume}(RA, RB)=V \Leftrightarrow$

$[\text{rccDS}(RA, RB) \wedge V = 0] \vee [\exists_{RI} \text{regInt}(RA, RB, RI) \wedge V = \text{volumeOf}(RI)]$

Corollary 31:

$\text{continuousVolume}(Q1, TS, TE) \wedge \text{continuousVolume}(Q2, TS, TE) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{continuous}(\text{intersectVolume}(Q1, Q2), TS, TE)$.

Proof: The proof of Lemma 28 extends immediately to the case where either or both intersections involved are the null set. The result then follows from lemma 30.

Definition 8:

$\text{allLiquidIn}(R: \text{region}, L: \text{liquidChunk}) \rightarrow \text{fluent}[\text{Bool}]$

$\text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(R: \text{region}) \rightarrow \text{fluent}[\text{volume}]$

$\text{allLiquidIn}(R, L) = \text{regInt}^\#(\text{liquidSpace}, R, L)$.

$\text{value}(T, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(R)) = V \Leftrightarrow$

$[\text{holds}(T, \text{allLiquidIn}(R, L)) \wedge \text{liqVolume}(L)=V] \vee [\text{emptyLiquid}(T, R) \wedge V=0]$.

Corollary 32:

$\text{continuousVolume}(Q, TS, TE) \Rightarrow \text{continuous}(\text{volumeOfLiquidIn}^\#(Q), TS, TE)$

Proof: Immediate by applying corollary 31 to the intersection of Q with liquidSpace .

Definition 9:

$\text{netInflow}(L: \text{liquidChunk}, Q: \text{fluent}[\text{region}], TS, TE: \text{time})$

$\text{netOutflow}(L: \text{liquidChunk}, Q: \text{fluent}[\text{region}], TS, TE: \text{time})$

$\text{netInflowVolume}(Q: \text{fluent}[\text{region}], TS, TE: \text{time}) \rightarrow \text{volume}$.

$\text{netOutflowVolume}(Q: \text{fluent}[\text{region}], TS, TE: \text{time}) \rightarrow \text{volume}$.

$\text{netInflow}(L, Q, TS, TE) \equiv$

$\text{flowsIn}(L, Q, TS, TE) \wedge [\forall_{L1} \text{flowsIn}(L1, Q, TS, TE) \Rightarrow \text{subChunk}(L1, L)]$

$\text{netOutflow}(L, Q, TS, TE) \equiv$

$\text{flowsOut}(L, Q, TS, TE) \wedge [\forall_{L1} \text{flowsOut}(L1, Q, TS, TE) \Rightarrow \text{subChunk}(L1, L)]$

$\text{netInflowVolume}(Q, TS, TE) = V \Leftrightarrow$

$[\text{netInflow}(L, Q, TS, TE) \wedge \text{volumeOf}(L)=V] \vee$

$[\text{noInflow}(Q, TS, TE) \wedge V = 0]$.

$\text{netOutflowVolume}(Q, TS, TE) = V \Leftrightarrow$
 $[\text{netOutflow}(L, Q, TS, TE) \wedge \text{volumeOf}(L)=V] \vee$
 $[\text{noOutflow}(Q, TS, TE) \wedge V = 0].$

Corollary 33:

Let TS and TE be times and let Q be a region-valued fluent such that $\text{continuousVolume}(Q, TS, TE)$. Define the functions of time $f(T) = \text{netInflowVolume}(Q, TS, T)$ and $g(T) = \text{netOutflowVolume}(Q, TS, T)$. Then f and g are continuous.

Proof: Let $L1, L2$ be such that $\text{holds}(\text{st}(H, T), \text{allLiquidIn}(Q, L1))$ and $\text{holds}(\text{start}(H), \text{allLiquidIn}(Q, L2))$. By definition $\text{netInflow}(Q, TS, T) = L1 - L2$ and $\text{netOutflow}(Q, TS, T) = L2 - L1$. (The minus signs here are set difference.) The result is then immediate from Corollary 32. (The case where either or both of $L1, L2$ are empty are trivial extensions.)

Lemma 34:

$\text{slowObjectsInContact}(Q, TS, TE) \wedge \text{continuousVolume}(Q, TS, TE) \wedge$
 $\text{allLiquidIn}(TS, Q, L) \wedge$
 $\text{throughout}(TS, TE, \text{cuppedRegion}^\#(Q) \wedge^\# \text{liqVolume}(L) =^\# \text{volume}^\#(Q) \wedge^\# \text{noDrivenLiqIn}(Q))$
 \Rightarrow
 $\text{netOutflow}(Q, TS, TE) = \text{netInflow}(Q, TS, TE).$

Proof: by contradiction. Suppose $\text{netOutflow}(Q, TS, TE) < \text{netInflow}(Q, TS, TE)$, Then by lemma AK

$\text{value}(TE, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(Q)) =$
 $\text{value}(TS, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(Q)) + \text{netInflowVolume}(Q, TS, TE) - \text{netOutflowVolume}(Q, TS, TE)$
 $>$
 $\text{value}(TS, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(Q)) = \text{liqVolume}(L) = \text{value}(TE, \text{volume}^\#(Q)),$
 which is impossible.

Suppose $\text{netOutflowVolume}(Q, TS, TE) > \text{netInflowVolume}(Q, TS, TE)$. For T between TS and TE let $h(T) = \text{netOutflowVolume}(Q, TS, T) - \text{netInflowVolume}(Q, TS, T)$.

Let $\epsilon = h(TE) > 0$. By corollary 29, h is continuous; hence there exists a $T1$ such that $h(T1) = \epsilon/2$, and for all T between $T1$ and TE $h(T) > \epsilon/2$. Then for all such T ,
 $\text{value}(T, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(Q)) = \text{value}(TS, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(Q)) + h(T) <$
 $\text{value}(T, \text{volumeOf}(Q)).$

Thus, if we bind TS of CUP.2 to T here and TE of CUP.2 to TE here, then the conditions of CUP.2 are satisfied. The conclusion of CUP.2 asserts that there is no outflow from T to TE which contradicts the fact the the volume of liquid in Q decreases from T to TE . ■

Corollary 35:

$\text{slowObjectsInContact}(Q, TS, TE) \wedge \text{continuousVolume}(Q, TS, TE) \wedge$
 $\text{allLiquidIn}(TS, Q, L) \wedge$
 $\text{throughout}(TS, TE, \text{cuppedRegion}^\#(Q) \wedge^\# \text{liqVolume}(L) \leq \text{volume}^\#(Q) \wedge^\# \text{noDrivenLiqIn}(Q))$
 \Rightarrow
 $\text{netOutflowVolume}(Q, TS, TE) \leq \text{netInflowVolume}(Q, TS, TE).$

Proof: Immediate from CUP.2 and Lemma 34.

Lemma 36:

$\text{slowObjectsInContact}(Q, TS, TE) \wedge \text{continuousVolume}(Q, TS, TE) \wedge$
 $VMIN \leq \text{value}(TS, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(Q)) \wedge$
 $\text{throughout}(TS, TE, \text{cuppedRegion}^\#(Q) \wedge^\# VMIN \leq^\# \text{volume}^\#(Q) \wedge^\# \text{noDrivenLiqIn}(Q))$
 \Rightarrow
 $VMIN \leq \text{value}(TE, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(Q)).$

Proof: Similar to the proof of lemma 34.

Suppose that $\text{value}(TE, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(Q)) < VMIN$.

Let $\epsilon = VMIN - \text{value}(TE, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(Q))$.

By continuity there exists a time $T1$ such that

$VMIN - \text{value}(T1, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(Q)) = \epsilon/2$ and such that

$VMIN - \text{value}(T, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(Q)) > \epsilon/2$ for all T between $T1$ and TE . Axiom CUP.2 then applies over the subhistory between $T1$ and TE , so there is no outflow from Q in that period; but that is inconsistent with the fact that the volume of liquid in Q decreases from $T1$ to TE .

Corollary 37:

$\text{slowObjectsInContact}(Q, TS, TE) \wedge \text{continuousVolume}(Q, TS, TE) \wedge$
 $\text{simpleOverflows}(L, Q, TS, TE) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{throughout}(TS, TE, \text{fullOfLiquid}(Q)).$

Proof: Let T be any time between TS and TE . Let $VMIN$ be the volume of liquid in Q at time T . The result is then immediate from lemma 36 and definition SPILLD.4.

Lemma 38:

$\text{throughout}(TS, TE, \text{bounded}(Q)) \wedge \text{continuousVolume}(Q, TS, TE) \wedge \text{continuous}(QZ, TS, TE) \wedge$
 $\text{throughout}(TS, TE, \text{regionBelow}^\#(Q, QZ, QB)) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{continuousVolume}(QB, TS, TE).$

Proof: Let $T1, T2$ be two times between TS and TE . RZ be the vertical column bounded below and above by $\text{value}(T1, QZ)$ and $\text{value}(T2, QZ)$ and whose horizontal cross-section is the union of the xy -projections of $\text{value}(T1, Q)$ and $\text{value}(T2, Q)$. Then it is easily seen that $\text{value}(T1, QB) \ominus \text{value}(T2, QB) \subset [\text{value}(T1, Q) \ominus \text{value}(T2, Q)] \cup RZ$. Since Q and QZ are continuous, the volumes of the terms on the right can be made arbitrarily small by requiring $T1$ and $T2$ to be sufficiently close. Thus, the same is true of the volume of $\text{value}(T1, QB) \ominus \text{value}(T2, QB)$, so QB is volume-continuous.

Lemma 39:

$\text{source}(BSPOUT) = OB \wedge DB > 0 \wedge$
 $\text{throughout}(TS, TE, \text{spout1}^\#(\uparrow OB, QI1, QI2, \uparrow BSPOUT, QOPEN, DB) \wedge^\# \text{simpleBox}^\#(\uparrow OB) \wedge$
 $\text{regDif}(QI1 \cup QI2, \uparrow BSPOUT, QS)) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{continuousHausdorff}(QS, TS, TE) \wedge \text{continuousVolume}(QS, TS, TE).$

Proof: The boundaries of QS are formed by OB , $BSPOUT$, and the top of $QI2$, which is always DB above $\text{top}(QI1)$, and is thus a continuous function of time. Continuity in the Hausdorff metric is immediate. Continuity in the volume metric follows directly from corollary 15 and lemma 38.

Definition 10: $\text{flatBottom}(R:\text{region}).$

$\text{flatBottom}(R) \equiv$
 $\forall_P \text{bottomPoint}(P, R) \Rightarrow \text{height}(P) = \text{bottom}(R).$

Lemma 40:

$\text{thicklyConnected}(R) \wedge \text{flatBottom}(R) \Rightarrow \text{connected}(\text{bottomSurface}(R)).$

Proof by contradiction. Suppose that the conditions hold but $\text{bottomSurface}(R)$ is not connected. Let $P1$ and $P2$ be points in two different connected components of $\text{bottomSurface}(R)$. Since R is thickly connected, there is a path PS from $P1$ to $P2$ through R . Let $PS1$ be the projection of PS onto the horizontal plane at $\text{height}(P1)$. Since $PS1$ goes from $P1$ to $P2$ through $\text{bottomSurface}(R)$, there must be a point PA in $PS1$ that is not a bottom point of R . Let PB be a point in PS that is directly above PA . There must be a bottom point PC of R directly below PB . Since $PC \neq PA$ and they are on the same vertical line, $\text{height}(PC) \neq \text{height}(PA) = \text{height}(P1)$, which contradicts

the assumption that $\text{connected}(\text{bottomSurface}(R))$.

Lemma 41:

$\text{flatBottom}(R) \wedge \text{openBox}(RB, RI) \wedge \text{rccO}(RI, R) \wedge \text{rccDS}(RB, R) \Rightarrow \text{bottomSurface}(R) \subset RI$

Proof: Let P be a point in $\text{interior}(RI) \cup \text{interior}(R)$. Since $\text{openBox}(RB, RI)$ there is a point PB on boundary RB directly below P . Since $\text{flatBottom}(R)$ there is a point PC on $\text{bottomSurface}(R)$ directly below P . Since R and RB are disjoint, $\text{height}(PB) \leq \text{height}(PC)$

Suppose that there is a point $P1 \in \text{bottomSurface}(R)$ which is not in RI . By lemma 40 there is a path from $P1$ to PC through $\text{bottomSurface}(R)$. Since $\text{openBox}(RB, RI)$, this path must meet boundary (RB) at a point PD . Since the path is not at $\text{top}(RI)$, there is open set in RB above PD ; this must overlap $\text{interior}(R)$, which contradicts the assumptions.

Problem Specific Results

Lemma 42:

$\forall_T T \geq t0 \Rightarrow \exists_{RB} \text{holds}(T, \text{regionBelow}^\#(\uparrow\text{bInsidePitcher}, \text{bottom}^\#(\uparrow\text{bTopPitcher}), RB))$.

Proof: Immediate from PS.6 and lemma 3.

Lemma 43:

$\forall_T T \geq t0 \Rightarrow \exists_R \text{holds}(T, \text{cuppedRegion}(R)) \wedge \text{holds}(T, R \subset^\# \uparrow\text{bInsidePitcher})$

Proof: Let RB be as in Lemma 42. From corollary 5, PS.2, PS.3, PS.20, RB is a disconnected open box. If we choose R to be a thickly connected component of RB then by lemma 10 R is a cupped region.

Lemma 44:

$\exists_Q^1 \text{everAfter}(t0, \text{rccO}^\#(Q, \uparrow\text{bInsidePitcher}) \wedge^\# \text{maxCuppedRegion}^\#(Q))$.

Proof: Immediate from lemmas 43 and corollary 9, with axiom T.2.

Definition 11:

Let qIn be the region-valued fluent satisfying lemma 44.

Lemma 45:

$\forall_{TE} t0 < TE \Rightarrow \text{continuousVolume}(qIn, t0, TE)$.

Proof: Immediate from lemma 23, PD.3, PD.4, PS.3.

Lemma 46:

$\text{everAfter}(t0, \text{noDrivenLiqIn}(qIn))$.

Proof: By SPILLD.5-7, a driven liquid $L1$ can only exist in upExpand of some liquid $L2$ in a localMaxCup that is overflowing. Since qIn is cupped by oPitcher , if $L1$ is in qIn then $L2$ must also be in qIn . Since there are no object other than OB that border any part of qIn (PS.22), the localMaxCup for $L2$ must be OB itself; but this is impossible since qIn contains it and OB is a simpleBox with only one localMaxBox .

Lemma 47:

$t0 < TE \Rightarrow \text{throughout}(t0, TE, \text{volumeOf}^\#(qIn) \geq^\# \text{liqVolume}(l0)) \Rightarrow \text{throughout}(t0, TE, \uparrow l0 \subset qIn)$.

Proof: By PS.20, the only object in contact with qIn is oPitcher .

By PS.5, CUPD.2, slowObjectsInContact($qIn, t0, TE$). By lemma 45, continuousVolume($qIn, t0, TE$).
 By construction, throughout($t0, TE, cuppedRegion(qIn)$).
 By hypothesis throughout($t0, TE, volumeOf^\#(qIn) \geq \#liqVolume(l0)$).
 By lemma 46, throughout($t0, TE, noDrivenLiqIn(qIn)$).
 Hence by corollary 35, netOutflowVolume($qIn, t0, TE$) \leq netInflowVolume($qIn, t0, TE$).
 However, since qIn is isolated from all liquids but $l0$ (PS.20), there is no inflow into qIn (FLOW.1, FLOW.3) so the next inflow volume is 0; hence the net outflow volume is 0. Since there is no outflow, $l0$ remains in qIn throughout $t0, TE$. (FLOW.2, FLOW.4).

Corollary 48:

throughout($t0, t1, \uparrow l0 \subset qIn$).

Proof: Immediate from lemma 47, PS.8, PD.7.

Lemma 49:

$\exists_L \text{subChunk}(L, l0) \wedge \text{everAfter}(t0, L \subset b\text{InsidePitcher})$

Proof: By lemma 42, ever after $t0$ there is a region of $b\text{InsidePitcher}$ below $\text{bottom}(b\text{TopPitcher})$. By lemma 4, this is a disconnected open box. By lemma 26, it must contain only one thickly connected component; thus it is a connected open box. Let $q1$ be the fluent whose value at a time is this region. By lemmas 38 and 30, $\text{volumeOf}(q1)$ is a continuous function of time. Since $\text{volumeOf}(q1)$ is always positive, it attains a positive minimum $v\text{Min}$ over the closed time intervals $[t0, t2]$. Since the pitcher is motionless after $t2$, $q1$ and $\text{volumeOf}(q1)$ are constant after $t2$, and thus $\text{volumeOf}(q1)$ is at least $v\text{Min}$ ever after $t2$. Thus, the conditions for lemma 36 are met, and there is always at least a volume $v\text{Min}$ of liquid inside $b\text{InsidePitcher}$.

Lemma 50:

$\text{everAfter}(t0, \text{volumeOfLiquidIn}(b\text{InsidePail}) < \text{volume}^\#(b\text{InsidePail}))$

Proof: By PS.21, ever after $t0$ the liquid in the pail is a subchunk of $l0$. By PS.13 $\text{volumeOf}(l0) < \text{volumeOf}(b\text{InsidePail})$.

Definition 12: Using PS.13, let $zp1$ be the height such that the volume of the part of $b\text{InsidePail}$ below $zp1$ is equal to $\text{liqVolume}(l0)$. By PS.13, $zp1 < \text{top}(b\text{InsidePail}) - \text{maxOutflow}$. Let $re0$ be the part of pouringRegion above $\text{top}(b\text{InsidePail})$. It is easily seen that $\text{flatBottom}(re0)$.

Definition 13:

$\text{horizExpand}(PS:\text{pointSet}, D:\text{distance}) \rightarrow \text{pointSet}$.

$P \in \text{horizExpand}(PS, D) \Leftrightarrow \exists_{PC \in PS} \text{dist}(P, PC) \leq D \wedge \text{height}(P) = \text{height}(PC)$

Let $\text{rccDC}(R1, R2)$ be the RCC relation “ $R1$ is disconnected from $R2$ ”.

Lemma 51:

$t0 < T \wedge \text{liquidChunk}(L) \wedge \text{holds}(T, \text{openBox}(o\text{Pail}, L)) \Rightarrow \text{holds}(T, \text{top}(L) < zp1) \wedge \text{holds}(T, \text{rccDC}(L, re0))$.

Proof: By PS.14 $L \subset b\text{InsidePail}$ in T . By lemma 50 $L \subset l0$. The result is immediate from definition 12.

Lemma 52:

$\neg \exists_{T1, L} \text{simpleOverflows}(L, b\text{InsidePail}, t0, T1)$.

Proof: Immediate from lemma 50 and SPILLD.5.

Lemma 53:

$t1 \leq T \wedge \text{liquidChunk}(L) \wedge \text{holds}(T, \text{cuppedRegion}^\#(\uparrow L) \wedge^\# \text{rccO}(L, re0)) \Rightarrow$

holds($T, L \subset \text{qIn}$).

Proof: By lemma 41, there are two cases to consider: either L contains $\text{bottomSurface}(\text{re0})$ or solidSpace overlaps re0 .

If L contains $\text{bottomSurface}(\text{re0})$ then L overlaps with bInsidePail . By corollary 7, either L is a subset of bInsidePail or vice versa. By lemma 51, if L is a subset of bInsidePail , then L does not overlap re0 . If bInsidePail is a subset of L then $\text{liqVolume}(L) > \text{volume}(\text{bInsidePail}) > \text{liqVolume}(\text{l0})$ so L contains liquid other than l0 ; but this is impossible by the isolation condition PS.21.

By the construction of pouringRegion PS.19 and the isolation condition PS.21, the only object that can overlap re0 is oPitcher . By definition 11, qIn is the unique maximal cupped region formed by oPitcher . By the isolation condition PS.20, oPitcher does not form any cupped region in combination with any other objects. ■

Lemma 54:

$\forall T \ t1 \leq T \Rightarrow$

$\exists_{RI2, \text{ROPEN}} \text{holds}(T, \text{spout1}^\#(\text{oPitcher}, \text{qIn}, RI2, \text{bSpout}, \text{ROPEN}, \text{maxOutflow}))$

Proof: Immediate from PS.9, PD.5. It is easily shown that the value of the quantified variable $RI1$ in PD.5 is uniquely determined and must be equal to qIn .

Definition 14:

Using lemma 54, let qAbove , qOpen be fluents whose value at every time T after $t1$ satisfies $\text{holds}(T, \text{spout1}^\#(\uparrow \text{oPitcher}, \text{qIn}, \text{qAbove}, \uparrow \text{bSpout}, \text{qOpen}, \text{maxOutflow}))$

Let $\text{qSource} = \text{qIn} \cup^\# \text{qAbove} -^\# \uparrow \text{bSpout}$.

Definition 15:

Let qExpand be the fluent equal to the union of all regions R such that $\text{drivenReg}(R)$ (at times when some region is driven).

$$\begin{aligned} \forall T \ [t1 \leq T \wedge \\ & [\exists_R \text{holds}(T, \text{drivenReg}(R))] \Rightarrow \\ & \forall_P \ [\text{holds}(T, P \in^\# \text{qExpand}) \Leftrightarrow \\ & \quad [\exists_R \ P \in R \wedge \text{holds}(T, \text{drivenReg}(R))]. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{qNearPitcher} = \text{qIn} \cup^\# \text{qAbove} \cup^\# \text{qExpand}$.

Lemma 55:

$t0 \leq T \Rightarrow \text{holds}(T, \text{qExpand} \subset^\# \text{qAbove} \cup^\# \text{expand}^\#(\text{bSpout}, \text{maxOutflow}))$.

Proof: Let P be a point in qExpand . Let R satisfy the conditions of definition 15. By SPILLD.6, R is a subset of some thickly connected component $R1$ of $\text{upExpand}(\text{topSurface}(\text{qIn}), \text{maxOutflow}, \text{solidFreeSpace})$. By definitions PD.4, PD.3, the boundaries of qAbove are the top surface of qIn , the boundary of bPitcher , the horizontal plane at height $\text{top}(\text{qIn}) + \text{maxOutflow}$, and qOpen . $R1$ does not penetrate into bPitcher , because it is disjoint from solidSpace ; it does not penetrate into qIn , because it is entirely above $\text{top}(\text{qIn})$; and it does not go above $\text{top}(\text{qAbove})$ because any point above $\text{top}(\text{qAbove})$ is more than maxOutflow from $\text{topSurface}(\text{qIn})$.

The boundary of qIn consists of the boundary of oPitcher and the top surface of qIn . As stated, $R1$ does not overlap oPitcher or qIn ; therefore, it meets the top surface of qIn from above. But the entire region immediately above $\text{topSurface}(\text{qIn})$ is either oPitcher or qAbove .

Suppose that P is outside qAbove . By SPILLD.6, there is a line PL of length at most maxOutflow from P to a point PB in $\text{topSurface}(\text{qIn})$ that goes through $R1$. It is easily shown that for $\epsilon > 0$ there

exist points $P1$ and $PB1$ within ϵ of $P1$ and $PB1$ respectively such that $PB1$ is in the interior of $qAbove$ and such that the line from $P1$ to $PB1$ stays in the interior of $R1$. Since this line goes from inside to outside $qAbove$, it crosses the boundary of $qAbove$; since the crossing point PC is in the interior of $R1$, it must be in $qOpen$. But then the distance from PC to $P1$ is at most $maxOutflow$, so the distance from PC to P is at most $maxOutflow + \epsilon$. Since ϵ can be made arbitrarily small, and since $qOpen \subset bSpout$, $dist(P, bSpout) \leq dist(P, qOpen) \leq maxOutflow$. ■

Lemma 56:

$t1 \leq T \Rightarrow$

$holds(T, (nonFlowingSpace \cap^{\#} re0) \subset^{\#} (\uparrow oPitcher \cup^{\#} qNearPitcher))$

Proof: By PS.17, PS.21 the only solid object that enters $re0$ is $oPitcher$. By construction, the only liquid cupped by $oPitcher$ is in qIn .

By SPILLD.6, SPILLD.7 any driven liquid must be within $upExpand$ of some overflowing cupped liquid. Any driven liquid associated with the overflow of $oPitcher$ is a subset of $qExpand$. Any weakly cupped liquid bounded by such a driven liquid together with $oPitcher$ is a subset of $qAbove$.

Since $oPitcher$ is isolated, there cannot be any cupped region involving $oPitcher$ in combination with some other object.

By lemma 52, $bInsidePail$ does not overflow, and by 53, there is never a filled cupped region that overlaps $bInsidePail$.

Suppose that there is a cupped region RC created by objects other than $bPitcher$. By the isolation condition PS.23, all such objects are at least $maxOutflow$ from $pouringRegion$. Let P be a point and let PL be the shortest line from P to $re0$. If PL is horizontal or moves downward from P to $re0$, then it must go through one of the solid objects that bounds RC ; hence $dist(P, re0) > maxOutflow$. If PL goes upward, then PL must intersect a bottom point of $re0$; but these are all in $bInsidePail$. In either case, there is no way for a driven chunk of liquid that stays within $maxOutflow$ of RC to overlap the inside of $re0$. The same is trivially true of weakly cupped liquids associated with an overflow of some other object.

Corollary 57:

$t1 \leq T \Rightarrow$

$holds(T, top^{\#}(nonFlowingSpace \cap^{\#} re0 -^{\#} \uparrow oPitcher) \leq^{\#} top^{\#}(qAbove))$

Proof: Immediate from lemma 56 plus the fact that $top(qExpand) \leq top(qIn) + maxOutflow = top(qAbove)$.

Lemma 58:

$t1 \leq T \Rightarrow$

$holds(T, R \subset re0 \wedge^{\#} rccDC^{\#}(R, qNearPitcher) \Rightarrow^{\#} canFlowDown(R))$.

Proof: By lemma 56 the only non flowing space in $RE0$ is $oPitcher \cup qExpand \cup qIn$. By PS.7, PD.9, the only flow stopping points of $oPitcher$ are in qIn .

Lemma 59:

$t1 \leq T \Rightarrow$

$holds(T, top^{\#}(\uparrow l0) \leq top^{\#}(qAbove))$

Proof by contradiction: Suppose this is false. Then there is a time TE after $t1$ and a liquid chunk $L1$ which is entirely above $top(qAbove)$ at TE . Using KIN.5, KIND.1, let $L2$ be a subchunk of $L1$ that is continuous Hausdoff from $t1$ to TE . For any time T between $t1$ and TE , let $f(T) = value(T, top(L2) - top(qAbove))$. Since $l0 \subset qIn$ at $t1$, we have $f(t1) < 0$ and $f(TE) > 0$. Since f is continuous, there exists a time TM in $H1$ such that $f(TM) = f(TE)/2$ and such that for all T between TM and TE , $f(T) > f(TM)$. Let $L3$ be a subchunk of $L2$ whose bottom is greater

than $\text{top}(\text{qAbove})$ in TM . By lemma 57, $L3$ is disconnected from nonFlowingSpace in TM ; hence there is a finite time interval over which $L3$ can flow down (DOWND.8, DOWND.9); hence $L3$ does flow down (DOWN.2); however, this contradicts the choice of TM . ■

Lemma 60:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall_{L,TS,TE} \text{subchunk}(L,l_0) \wedge t_1 \leq TS \leq TE \wedge \text{flowsOut}(L,\text{qSource},TS,TE) \wedge DA > 0 \Rightarrow \\ \exists_{TM,L_2} TS \leq TM \leq TE \wedge \text{subchunk}(L_2,L) \wedge \\ \text{holds}(TM, \uparrow L_2 \subset^{\#} \text{expand}^{\#}(\uparrow \text{bSpout}, DA)) \wedge \\ \forall_T TM \leq T \leq TE \Rightarrow \text{holds}(T, \text{rccDC}^{\#}(\uparrow L_2, \text{qSource})). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: By KIN.5, KIND.1 there exists a subchunk $L2$ of $L1$ such that throughout($TS, TE, \text{thicklyConnected}(L2)$), $\text{continuousHausdorff}(L2, H)$, and throughout($TS, TE, \text{diameter}(L2) \leq DA$).

Let TM be the greatest upper bound of all times when $\text{rccC}(L2, \text{qSource})$; that is, $\text{rccC}(L2, \text{qSource})$ at times prior to and arbitrarily close to $T1$ and $\text{rccDC}(L2, \text{qSource})$ from TM to TE .

By lemma 39 $\text{continuousVolume}(\text{qSource}, TS, TE)$ and $\text{continuousHausdorff}(\text{qSource}, TS, TE)$. By corollary 31, $\text{volumeOf}(L2 \cap \text{qSource})$ and $\text{distance}(L2, \text{qSource})$ are continuous functions of time. Since $\text{volumeOf}(L2 \cap \text{qSource})=0$ arbitrarily soon after TM and $\text{dist}(L2, \text{qSource})=0$ arbitrarily soon before TM , it follows that in TM , $\text{volumeOf}(L2 \cap \text{qSource})=0$ and $\text{dist}(L2 \cap \text{qSource})=0$; hence $L2$ is externally connected to qSource at TM . But the boundary of qSource consists of oPitcher , a top surface at height $\text{top}(\text{qAbove})$, and the current value of $QOPEN$, which is a surface inside bSpout . Since $L2$ cannot overlap with oPitcher or with the region above $\text{top}(\text{qExpand})$ (Lemma 59), it must meet qSource in $QOPEN$. Since $QOPEN \subset \text{bSpout}$ and $\text{diameter}(L2) < DA$ it follows that in TM , $L2 \subset \text{expand}(\text{bSpout}, DA)$. ■

Definition 16:

ql0Place : fluent[region].

$$\text{ql0Place} = \text{qSource} \cup^{\#} \text{re0} \cup^{\#} \uparrow \text{bInsidePail}.$$

Lemma 61:

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 \leq T \wedge \text{holds}(T, \uparrow l_0 \subset^{\#} \text{ql0Place}) \Rightarrow \\ \exists_D D > 0 \wedge \\ \forall_P \text{holds}(T, P \in^{\#} \uparrow l_0 \cap^{\#} (\text{nonFlowingSpace} \cup^{\#} \text{flowDisruptedSpace}) \wedge^{\#} \\ P \notin^{\#} \uparrow \text{oPitcher} \cup^{\#} \text{qSource}) \Rightarrow \\ \text{horizExpand}(P, D) \subset \text{re0}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let $D = \text{dist}(\text{boundary}(\text{pouringRegion}), \text{expand}(\text{bSpout}, 2 \cdot \text{maxOutflow}))$. By PS.18 $D > 0$. Assume that P satisfies the conditions of the implication in S . There are two cases: Either $P \in \text{nonFlowingSpace}$ or $P \in \text{flowDisruptedSpace}$.

By lemma 56, if $P \in \text{nonFlowingSpace} \cap \text{re0}$, then P is in $\text{oPitcher} \cup \text{qNearPitcher}$. By assumption P is not in $\text{oPitcher} \cup \text{qSource}$. By lemma 55, P is in $\text{expand}(\text{bSpout}, \text{maxOutflow})$. The result then follows from PS.18.

By DOWND.5, if $P \in \text{flowDisruptedSpace} \cap \text{re0}$, then P is in a thickly connected region R filled with liquid inside $\text{upExpand}(P1, \text{maxOutflow}, \text{solidFreeSpace})$ for some weak top point $P1$ of nonFlowingSpace . By PS.21, the liquid filling R is part of l_0 , so by lemma 59, R does not go higher than $\text{top}(\text{qAbove})$.

Since $P1$ is in l_0 , by the assumption $l_0 \subset \text{ql0Place}$, $P1$ is either in qSource , in re0 , or in bInsidePail . By lemma 56, if $P1$ is in re0 , then $P1$ is either in oPitcher , in qAbove , or in qExpand . There are

thus five possibilities, which we consider in turn.

1. $P1 \in \text{qSource}$. By the identical argument as in lemma 55, P is in $\text{qSource} \cup \text{expand}(\text{bSpout}, \text{maxOutflow})$ (because R can't go out through the other boundaries of qSource). Since P is not in qSource by assumption, P is in $\text{expand}(\text{bSpout}, \text{maxOutflow})$, so $\text{expand}(P, \text{maxOutflow}) \subset \text{re0}$ by PS.20.
2. $P1 \in \text{oPitcher}$. By PS.21, PD.13, either $P1$ is in bSpout , $\text{horizExpand}(P1, \text{maxOutflow})$ is in re0 , or P is in qIn . If $P1$ is in bSpout then $\text{horizExpand}(P1, \text{maxOutflow})$ is in re0 by PS.16, PS.18. If $P1$ is in qIn , then $P1 \in \text{qSource}$, which is case 1.
3. $P1 \in \text{qAbove}$. By definition of qSource , $P1$ is either in qSource , covered in case 1, or in bSpout , covered in PS.18.
4. $P1 \in \text{qExpand}$. By Lemma 55, $P1$ is either in qAbove , covered in case 3, or in $\text{expand}(\text{bSpout}, \text{maxOutflow})$, covered in PS.18.
5. $P1$ is in bInsidePail . Impossible by lemma 51.

■

Lemma 62:

$\forall_{TE} t1 < TE \wedge \text{throughoutxE}(t1, TE, \text{ql0InPlace}) \Rightarrow \text{hold}(TE, \text{ql0InPlace})$.

Proof: Let $QV = \text{volumeOf}(l0 \cap (\text{qSource} \cup \text{re0} \cup \text{bInsidePail}))$. Since $l0$ (KIN.4), qSource (lemma 39), re0 , and bInsidePail are all continuousVolume , QV is a $\text{continuous function of time}$ (lemmas 28 and 30). Since QV is equal to $\text{liqVolume}(l0)$ from $t1$ up until TE , it is still equal to $\text{liqVolume}(l0)$ at TE . ■

Lemma 63:

$t1 \leq TS \wedge DX > 0 \Rightarrow$
 $\exists_{TE} \forall_{L: \text{liquidChunk}, TA, TB} TS \leq TA \leq TE \wedge TS \leq TB \leq TE \wedge$
 $\text{holds}(TA, \uparrow L \subset \# \text{re0} \cap \# \text{flowUndisruptedSpace}) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{hausdorff}(\text{value}(TA, \uparrow L), \text{value}(TB, \uparrow L)) < DX$.

Proof: Immediate from DOWN.4. Choose D of DOWN.4 to be $D1/2$ here, and observe that $\text{hausdorff}(\text{value}(SA, \uparrow L), \text{value}(SB, \uparrow L)) \leq \text{hausdorff}(\text{value}(SA, \uparrow L), \text{value}(\text{start}(H), \uparrow L)) + \text{hausdorff}(\text{value}(SB, \uparrow L), \text{value}(\text{start}(H), \uparrow L))$ since the Hausdorff distance is a metric.

Lemma 64:

$t1 \leq TS \wedge \text{holds}(TS, \text{ql0InPlace}) \Rightarrow$
 $\exists_{TQ} TS < TQ \wedge \text{throughout}(TS, TQ, \text{ql0InPlace})$.

Proof: Let $D1$ satisfy lemma 61. Let $DX = \min(D1, \text{maxOutflow}, \text{bottom}(\text{re0}) - (\text{zp1} + \text{maxOutflow})/3$. (By lemma 51, zp1 is an upper bound on the height of cupped liquid in the pail.) Let TE satisfy lemma 63 for DX and TS .

We begin with three general observations:

Observation 1: Let $T2$ be between TS and TE . Suppose that L is in re0 in $T2$ and at least $3 \cdot DX$ from boundary(re0) and that L is disjoint from qSource throughout $[T2, TE]$. Then L is inside $\text{re0} \cup \text{bInsidePail}$ throughout $[T2, TE]$. Proof: Suppose that L goes outside $\text{re0} \cup \text{bInsidePail}$ some time between $T2$ and TE . By KIND.1, KIN.5 there is a subchunk $L2$ of L such that $L2$ is $\text{continuous Hausdorff}$ from $T2$ to TE , and the diameter of $L2$ is less than DX . Let $T3$ be the first time after $T2$ where $L2$ meets the complement of re0 . By continuity, $L2$ is in re0 in $S3$. Since $L2$ is disjoint

from $qSource$ in $T3$, and has diameter less than DX , by lemma 61 and construction of DX , $L2$ is in $flowUndisruptedSpace$ in $T3$. But then the Hausdorff distance between the position of $L2$ in $T3$ and its position in $T2$ is at least DX , contradicting the definition of TE .

Observation 2: If L is a subset of $qSource$ at some time $T2$ between TS and TE , then L is in $qSource \cup re0 \cup bInsidePail$ throughout $[TS, TE]$. Proof by contradiction: Suppose that $L1$ is a subchunk of L that is outside $qSource \cup re0 \cup bInsidePail$ at time $T2$. By lemma 60 there exists a subchunk $L2$ of $L1$ and a time TM between TS and $T2$ such that $L2$ is in $expand(bSpout, maxOutflow)$ at TM and $L2$ is disjoint from $qSource$ between TM and $T2$. But then by Observation 1, $L2$ remains in $re0 \cup bInsidePail$ throughout $[TM, T2]$, which is a contradiction.

Observation 3: Suppose that L is in $re0$ in TS and at least $3 \cdot DX$ from $boundary(re0)$. Then L is inside $re0 \cup bInsidePail$ from TS to TE . Proof by contradiction: Suppose that subchunk $L1$ of L is outside $re0 \cup bInsidePail$ between TS and TE . There are two cases:

- Case 3.A: Some of $L1$ goes inside $qSource$ between TS and TE . Let $L2$ be a subchunk of $L2$ that is inside $qSource$ at some time between TS and TE . Then $L2$ violates observation 2.
- Case 3.B: None of $L1$ goes inside $qSource$ during between TS and TE . Then $L1$ violates observation 1.

We now divide $l0$ into the following parts by location at TS (these are exhaustive but not mutually exclusive).

LA is the part of $l0$ in $bInsidePail$.

LB is the part of $l0$ in $flowUndisruptedSpace \cap re0$ below $top(qIn)$.

LC is the part of $l0$ in $qSource$.

LD is the part of $l0$ in $expand(bSpout, maxFlow)$.

LE is the part of $l0$ in $(flowDisruptedSpace \cap re0) - qSource$.

LF is the part of $l0$ in $flowUndisruptedSpace \cap re0$ between $top(qIn)$ and $top(qAbove)$.

Using lemmas 55 and 56 and the assumption that $holds(TS, l0 \subset ql0Place)$ it is immediate $LA \cup LB \cup LC \cup LD \cup LE \cup LF = l0$.

We consider these 6 subchunks of $l0$ one by one:

- LA is the part of $l0$ in $bInsidePail$. By CUP.1, LA remains in $bInsidePail$.
- LB is the part of $l0$ in $flowUndisruptedSpace \cap re0$ between $top(bInsidePail)$ and $top(qIn)$. Since LB is in $flowUndisruptedSpace$, the conditions of DOWN.3 are satisfied; hence there exists a TB and LX satisfying the conclusions of DOWN.3. Since LX is thickly connected and is below $top(qIn)$ it does not overlap with $qSource$; to reach $qSource$ it would have to go through the box OB . By PS.22 and PS.23, $l0Place$ does not come into contact with any liquid other than $l0$; hence LX is a subchunk of $l0$ and is inside $l0Place$; thus it is in $re0$. By DOWN.3 LX flows straight down during $[TS, TB]$ Since LX is in $re0$ in S , the region directly below LX is in $re0 \cup bInsidePail$. Thus, LX is $re0 \cup bInsidePail$ throughout $[TS, TB]$.
- LC is the part of $l0$ in $qSource$. By observation 2, LC remains in $qSource \cup re0 \cup bInsidePail$ throughout $[TS, TE]$.
- LD is the part of $l0$ in $expand(bSpout, maxFlow)$. By PS.20 this satisfies observation 3.
- LE is the part of $l0$ in $(flowDisruptedSpace \cap re0) - qSource$. By lemma 61, this satisfies observation 3.

- LF is the part of $l0$ in $\text{flowUndisruptedSpace} \cap \text{re0}$ between $\text{top}(q\text{In})$ and $\text{top}(q\text{Above})$. Since LF is in $\text{flowUndisruptedSpace}$, the conditions of DOWN.3 are satisfied; hence there exists an TF and LX satisfying the conclusions of DOWN.3. By PS.22 and PS.23, $l0\text{Place}$ does not come into contact with any liquid other than $l0$; hence LX is a subchunk of $l0$ and is inside $l0\text{Place}$; thus it is in $\text{re0} \cup q\text{Source}$. By DOWN.3 LX flows straight down during $[TS, TF]$. Let $TF1 = \min(TF, TE)$.

Suppose that there is a thickly connected subchunk $L2$ of LX that is outside $q0\text{Place}$ at time $T2$ between TS and $TF1$. By DOWND.12 there exists a continuous fluent $Q2$ of constant xy projection that coincides with $L2$ at $T2$ and throughout $[TS, T2]$ is thickly connected and inside L . Since $L2$ is outside re0 and $Q2$ moves vertically, $Q2$ is outside re0 throughout $[TS, T2]$. Therefore in S , $Q2$ is in $q\text{Source}$. Using KIND.2-4, let $Q3$ be any subchunk of $Q2$ and let $Q4$ be a subchunk of $Q3$ of diameter less than maxOutflow . Since $Q4$ is inside $q\text{Source}$ at the start and outside $q\text{Source}$ at the end, by continuity it must be partially inside $q\text{Source}$ in the middle. Since $Q4$ is thickly connected, it must cross the boundary of $q\text{Source}$. It can't go above the top of $q\text{Source}$, because $l0$ does not go above $q\text{Source}$. It can't go through $o\text{Pitcher}$. Therefore it must go through $b\text{Spout}$ (not impossible, if $b\text{Spout}$ moves horizontally, while $Q4$ moves downward). But in that case $Q4$ must be in re0 while it crosses $b\text{Spout}$; but this is a contradiction.

Therefore, if we choose $T1 = \min(TB, TF1)$, the lemma is satisfied. ■

Corollary 65: $\text{foreverAfter}(t0, \uparrow l0 \subset^\# q0\text{Place})$

Proof: Immediate from corollary 48 and lemmas 62, 64, and 1.

Theorem 1:

$\exists_{L1, L2: \text{liquidChunk}}$

$\text{eventuallyForever}(\uparrow l0 =^\# \uparrow L1 \cup^\# \uparrow L2 \wedge^\# \text{liqInContainer}(L1, o\text{Pitcher}) \wedge^\# \text{liqInContainer}(L2, o\text{Pail}))$.

Proof: By corollary 65, all of $l0$ is in $q0\text{Place}$ throughout $j0$. By lemma O, some of $l0$ is always inside $o\text{Pitcher}$. By PS.12, PS.13 the capacity of $o\text{Pitcher}$ after $t2$ is less than the volume of $l0$; hence, not all $l0$ can be in $o\text{Pitcher}$. By DOWN.5, re0 must eventually be empty sometime after $t2$. Hence, the part of $l0$ not in $o\text{Pitcher}$ must be in $o\text{Pail}$.