Tianjin

June 2007

The Erdős-Rényi Phase Transition

Joel Spencer

TP! trivial being! I have received your letter, you should have written already a week ago.

The spirit of Cantor was with me for some length of time during the last few days, the results of our encounters are the following

letter, Paul Erdős to Paul Turán

November 11, 1936

Paul Erdős and Alfred Rényi On the Evolution of Random Graphs Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl volume 8, 17-61, 1960

 $\Gamma_{n,N(n)}$: *n* vertices, random N(n) edges

[...] the largest component of $\Gamma_{n,N(n)}$ is of order $\log n$ for $\frac{N(n)}{n} \sim c < \frac{1}{2}$, of order $n^{2/3}$ for $\frac{N(n)}{n} \sim \frac{1}{2}$ and of order n for $\frac{N(n)}{n} \sim c > \frac{1}{2}$. This double "jump" when c passes the value $\frac{1}{2}$ is one of the most striking facts concerning random graphs.

The (Traditional) "Double Jump"

$$G(n,p)$$
, $p = \frac{c}{n}$ (or $\sim \frac{c}{2}n$ edges)

(Average Degree c, "natural" model)

• c < 1

Biggest Component $O(\ln n)$

 $|C_1| \sim |C_2| \sim \dots$

All Components simple (= tree/unicyclic)

• *c* = 1

Biggest Component $\Theta(n^{2/3})$

 $|C_1|n^{-2/3}$ nontrivial distribution

 $|C_2|/|C_1|$ nontrivial distribution

Complexity of C_1 nontrivial distribution

```
• c > 1
```

Giant Component $|C_1| \sim yn$, y = y(c) > 0All other $|C_i| = O(\ln n)$ and simple

The Five Phases

Subcritical: $p = \frac{c}{n}$ and c < 1Barely subcritical: $p \sim \frac{1}{n}$ and $p = \frac{1}{n} - \lambda(n)n^{-4/3}$ with $\lambda(n) \to \infty$

The Critical Window

$$p = \frac{1}{n} + \lambda n^{-4/3}$$

 λ arbitrary real, but constant.

Barely supercritical: $p \sim \frac{1}{n}$ and $p = \frac{1}{n} + \lambda(n)n^{-4/3}$ with $\lambda(n) \to \infty$

Supercritical: $p = \frac{c}{n}$ and c > 1

• Barely Subcritical

$$p\sim rac{1}{n}$$
 and $p=rac{1}{n}-\lambda(n)n^{-4/3}$ with $\lambda(n)
ightarrow\infty$

All components simple.

Top k components about same size

$$|C_1| = o(n^{2/3})$$

$$p\sim rac{1}{n}$$
 and $p=rac{1}{n}+\lambda(n)n^{-4/3}$ with $\lambda(n)
ightarrow\infty$

Dominant Component

 $|C_1| \gg n^{2/3}$, High Complexity

All other $|C| \ll n^{2/3}$, Simple

Duality: Remove Dominant Component and get Subcritical Picture.

Math Physics Bond Percolation

- Z^d . Bond "open" with probability p
- There exists a critical probability p_c
- Subcritical, $p < p_c$.
- All C finite, $E[|C(\vec{0})|]$ finite
- $\Pr[|C(\vec{0})| \ge u]$ exponential tail
- Supercritical, $p > p_c$.

Unique Infinite Component

 $E[|C(\vec{0})|]$ infinite

 $\Pr[|C(\vec{0})| \ge u|$ finite] exponential tail

• Critical, $p = p_c$.

All C finite, $E[|C(\vec{0})|]$ infinite, heavy tail Key topic: $p = p_c \pm \epsilon$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Random 3-SAT

n Boolean x_1, \ldots, x_n

 $L = \{x_1, \overline{x_1}, \dots, x_n, \overline{x_n}\}$ literals

Random Clauses $C_i = y_{i1} \lor y_{i2} \lor y_{i3}$, $y_{ij} \in L$

 $f(m) := \Pr[C_1 \land \cdots \land C_m \text{satisfiable}]$

Conjecture: There exists critical c_0

- Subcritical, $c < c_0$, $f(cn) \sim 1$
- Supercritical, $c > c_0$, $f(cn) \sim 0$

Friedgut: Criticality, but possibly nonuniform Critical Window **???**: $m_0(n)$ with $f(m_0) = \frac{1}{2}$. Is there scaling $m = m_0 + \lambda n^{\alpha}$ to "see" f(m)go ~ 1 to ~ 0.

Evolution of *n*-Cube

Ajtai, Komlos, Szemeredi

Bollobas, Luczak, Kohayakawa

Borgs, Chayes, Slade, JS, van der Hofstad

p = c/n

- c < 1 subcritical
- c > 1 giant $\Omega(2^n)$ component
- Critical $p_0 \sim n^{-1}$
- At $p_0(1-\epsilon)$ all "small"

At $p_0(1 + \epsilon)$. For $\epsilon = \Omega(n^{-100})$ and more:

Giant $2\epsilon n$. Second open

Critical Window (dominant emerges): open

Poisson Birth Process

Root node "Eve"

Parameter c

Each node has Po(c) children

(Poisson: $Pr[Po(c) = k] = e^{-c}c^k/k!$)

 $Z_t \sim Po(c)$, iid

t-th node has Z_t children

Queue Size Y_t . $Y_0 = 1$ (Eve)

 $Y_t = Y_{t-1} + Z_t - 1$ (Has children and dies)

Fictional Continuation: Y_t defined though pro-

cess stops when some $Y_s = 0$.

Size $T = T_c^{po}$ is minimal t with $Y_t = 0$.

 $T = \infty$: All $Y_t > 0$.

 $T = T_c$ is total size

Binomial Birth Process

- Parameters m, p
- $Z_t \sim B[m,p]$, iid
- $T = T_{m,p}^{bin}$ total size.
- For m large, p small, mp moderate:
- Binomial is very close to Poisson c = mp.

Binomial Birth Process very close to Poisson Birth Process

Graph Birth Process

Parameters
$$n, p$$

Generate $C(v)$ in $G(n, p)$. BFS
Queue: $Y_0 = 1$, $Y_t = Y_{t-1} + Z_t - 1$
Points Born: $Z_t \sim B[N_{t-1}, p]$
Dead Points (popped): t
Live Points (in Queue): Y_t
Neutral Points(in Reservoir): N_t
 $t + Y_t + N_t = n$
 $N_0 = n - 1$, $N_t = N_{t-1} - Z_t$, $N_t \sim B[n - 1, (1 - p)^t]$
 $T = T_{n,p}^{gr}$: minimal t with $Y_t = 0$
 $T = t$ implies $N_t = n - t$

Poisson Birth Trichotomy

• c < 1

T finite

• *c* = 1

T finite

E[T] infinite (heavy tail)

• c > 1

 $\Pr[T = \infty] = y = y(c) > 0$

Poisson Birth Exact

$$\Pr[T_c = u] = \frac{e^{-uc}(uc)^{u-1}}{u!}$$

$$\Pr[T_1 = u] = \frac{e^{-u}u^{u-1}}{u!} = \Theta(u^{-3/2})$$

For c > 1, $\Pr[T = \infty] = y = y(c) > 0$ where

$$1 - y = e^{-cy}$$

For c < 1, $\alpha := ce^{1-c} < 1$ $\Pr[T_c > u] = O(\alpha^u)$ Exponential Tail

Poisson Birth Near Criticality

$$c = 1 + \epsilon, \ T = T_c^{po}$$

$$\Pr[T = \infty] \sim 2\epsilon$$

$$\Pr[T = u] \sim (2\pi)^{-1/2} u^{-3/2} (ce^{1-c})^k$$

$$\ln[ce^{1-c}] \sim -\epsilon^2/2$$
• u small: $u = o(\epsilon^{-2})$

$$\Pr[T_c = u] \sim \Pr[T_1 = u] = \Theta(u^{-3/2})$$
Scaling: $u = A\epsilon^{-2}$

$$\Pr[\infty > T_{1+\epsilon} > A\epsilon^{-2}] = \epsilon e^{-(1+o(1))A/2}$$

$$\Pr[T_{1-\epsilon} > A\epsilon^{-2}] = \epsilon e^{-(1+o(1))A/2}$$

Poisson Birth \sim Graph Birth

 $Z_1 \sim B[n-1,p]$ roughly Po(c), c = pn. *Ecological Limitation:* $Z_t \sim B[N_{t-1},p]$. Process succeeds, N_{t-1} gets smaller Fewer new vertices Death is inevitable Upper: $\Pr[T_{n,p}^{gr} \ge u] \le \Pr[T_{n-1,p}^{bin} \ge u]$ Proof: Replenish reservoir Lower: $\Pr[T_{n,p}^{gr} \ge u] \ge \Pr[T_{n-u,p}^{bin} \ge u]$ Proof: Hold reservoir to n - u. Why $n^{-4/3}$ for Critical Window

$$p = (1 + \epsilon)/n, \ \epsilon > 0, \ \epsilon = o(1).$$
$$\Pr[T_{1+\epsilon}^{po} = \infty] \sim 2\epsilon.$$

The $\sim 2\epsilon n$ points "going to infinity" merge to form dominant component.

 T^{po} finite is $O(\epsilon^{-2})$, corresponds to component sizes $O(\epsilon^{-2})$.

Finite/Infinite Poisson Dichotomy becomes Small/Dominant Graph Dichotomy

if
$$\epsilon^{-2} \ll 2n\epsilon$$
, or $\epsilon \gg n^{-1/3}$.

The Barely Subcritical Region

$$p = (1 - \epsilon)/n, \ \epsilon = \lambda n^{-1/3},$$
$$Pr[|C(v)| \ge u] \le \Pr[T_{1-\epsilon} \ge u]$$
$$u = K\epsilon^{-2} \ln n \Rightarrow \Pr(n^{-1})$$

No Such component.

More delicately:

Parametrize $u = K\epsilon^{-2} \ln \lambda = Kn^{2/3}\lambda^{-2} \ln \lambda$ K big: $Pr[|C(v)| \ge u] = O(\epsilon\lambda^{-10})$ Expected $n\epsilon\lambda^{-10} = n^{2/3}\lambda^{-9}$ vertices in components of size $\ge Kn^{2/3}\lambda^{-2} \ln \lambda$ No such component!

Barely Supercritical

$$p = (1 + \epsilon)/n$$
, $\epsilon = \lambda n^{-1/3}$, $\lambda \to +\infty$

Trichotomy on Component Size

Small: $|C| < K\epsilon^{-2} \ln n$ [can be impoved!]

Large:
$$(1-\delta)2\epsilon n < |C| < (1+\delta)2\epsilon n$$

Awkward: All else

No Middle Ground

No Awkward Components

Suffices: $\Pr[C(v) \text{ awkward}] = o(n^{-1})$

No Middle Ground

 $Y_t = n - t - N_t = B[n - 1, 1 - (1 - p)^t] - (t - 1)$ At start $E[Y_t] \sim \epsilon t$ [Negligible EcoLim] When $t \gg \epsilon^{-2} \ln n$, $E[Y_t] \gg \operatorname{Var}[Y_t]^{1/2} \sim t^{1/2}$, $\Pr[Y_t = 0] = o(n^{-10})$ Later $E[Y_t] = (n - 1)[1 - (1 - p)^t] - (t - 1) \sim \epsilon t - \frac{t^2}{2n}$ For $t \sim 2\epsilon n$, $E[Y_t] \sim 0$, dominant component. |C(v)| = t implies $Y_t = 0$. For $t \sim y\epsilon n$, $y \neq 2$: $\Pr[|C(v)| = t] \leq \Pr[Y_t = 0] = o(n^{-10})$.

Escape Probability

$$S := K\epsilon^{-2} \ln n, \ \alpha := \Pr[|C(v)| \ge S]$$

$$\Pr[|C(v)| \ge S] \le \Pr[T_{n-1,p}^{bin} \ge S]$$

$$np = 1 + \epsilon, \ S \gg \epsilon^{-2} \text{ so } \sim 2\epsilon$$

$$\Pr[T_{n-S,p}^{bin} \ge S] \le \Pr[|C(v)| \ge S]$$

(Here $\epsilon \gg n^{-1/3} \ln^{1/3} n$ but with care ...)
• As $Sp = o(\epsilon)$ EcoLim negligible!

$$p(n-S) = 1 + \epsilon + o(\epsilon) \text{ so } \Pr \sim 2\epsilon$$

Sandwich: Escape Prob $\sim 2\epsilon$

Almost Done

Not Small implies Large $\sim 2\epsilon n$ Expected $2\epsilon n$ in Large components BUT

Can we have two

of size $2\epsilon n$

half the time?

Sprinkling

Add sprinkle of $n^{-4/3}$, $p \leftarrow p^+$ If G(n,p) had two Large they would merge That would give $\geq 4\epsilon n$ in $G(n,p^+)$ But $p^+ = (1 + \epsilon + o(\epsilon))/n$ has nothing $\geq 4\epsilon n$ Conclusion:

- G(n,p) has precisely one Large component
- It has size $\sim 2\epsilon n$
- As no middle ground:

All other component sizes $\leq K \epsilon^{-2} \ln n$.

So Large Component is Dominant Component

Computer Experiment (Try It!)

$$n = 500000$$
 vertices. Start: Empty
Add random edges
Parametrize $e/\binom{n}{2} = (1 + \lambda n^{-1/3})/n$
Merge-Find for Component Size/Complexity
 $-4 \le \lambda \le +4$, $|C_i| = c_i n^{2/3}$

See biggest merge into dominant

- It is six in the morning.
- The house is asleep.
- Nice music is playing.
- I prove and conjecture.
- Paul Erdős, in letter to Vera Sós