

NYU Bioinformatics Lab 10th Floor, 715 Broadway New York, NY 10012

Sequence Assembly Problem

Definition [Genome Sequence Assembly Problem] Given a set of fragments/reads $F = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ find a reconstruction R and a valid layout of the reads L such that the following set of properties (oracles) are satisfied :

- The observed distribution of fragment reads start point, D_{obs} , has the minimum deviation from the source distribution D_{src}
- The distance between mated reads must be consistent with the size of the fragments generated.
- The observed distribution of restriction enzyme sites, C_{obs} is consistent with the distribution of experimental optical map data C_{src} .





History and Motivations

- 1. Single Molecule Methods such as Optical Mapping.
- 2. Next Generation Sequencers.
- 3. SMASH (Single Molecule Approach to Sequencing by Hybridization) combining:
- (a) Optical Mapping
- (b) Binary Maps (with PNA probes)
- (c) Positional Sequencing by Hybridization (using a Beam Search Algorithm)

SUTTA provides a more general approach for haplotypic whole genome sequencing.

SUTTA assembler

Main Ingredients

- Exhaustive
- Not a greedy algorithm.
- Avoid getting stuck with a locally best solution

Implementation

- Use Branch-and-Bound (or Beam-Search) algorithm to improve algorithmic complexity.
- -Provide bounds and allow pruning of unpromising regions/directions.
- Implement by "dove-tailing" between local (short sequence-reads) and global (long-range maps and haplotypic) information.
- Tune heuristically (e.g., size of a priority queue) to get the best computational complexity and resource consumption for a specific error parameters and required accuracy
- Exploit underlying 0-1 laws
- Parallelize in a straight-forward way

SUTTA Scoring-and-Unfolding Trimmed Tree Assembler

Bud Mishra and Giuseppe Narzisi

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University

March 2009

Scoring

- Use a "score" function to choose the best global solution.
- Achieve high accuracy
- Model the "error processes" in the score, consisting of Bayesian likelihood and penalty functions
- -Use side-information (e.g., optical maps, mated pairs, basecontent, homologous reference sequences, diluted sequencing, low complexity representation, etc.) to sharpen the score function
- Use empirical-Bayes method to decide the statistics (null-model, threshold, p-values, base- or sequence-quality)
- -Agnostic to the underlying short- and long-range technologies, while being able to mix-and-match technologies

Pseudo-Code

```
Algorithm 1: SUTTA - pseudo code
  Input: Set of N reads
  Output: Set of contigs
 1 \mathcal{F} := \oslash;
                                                /* Forest of trees */
2 \mathcal{C}:=\oslash;
                                                  /* Set of contigs */
 \mathcal{B} := \bigcup_i^N \mathcal{R}_i;
                                   /* All the available reads */
 4 while (\mathcal{B} \neq \bigcirc) do
      \mathcal{R} := \mathcal{B}.getNextRead();
      if (!R.isUsed() && !R.isContained() ) then
          \mathcal{DT} := create\_double\_tree(\mathcal{R});
          \mathcal{F}.add(\mathcal{DT});
          Contig CTG := create_contig(DT);
          C.add(CTG);
          CTG.layout(); /* Compute layout of the contig */
11
          \mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B} \setminus \{\mathcal{CTG}.\mathsf{reads}\};\
                                             /* Remove used reads */
12
       else
13
                             /* jump to next available read */
     end
14
15 end
16 return C
```

Node expansion

- 1. Start with a random read (It will be the root of a tree; Use only the read that has not been "used" in a contig yet, or that is not "contained"
- 2. Create RIGHT Tree: Start with an unexplored leaf node (a read) with the best score-value; Choose all its non-contained "right"overlapping reads and expand the node by making them its children; Compute their scores. (Add the "contained" nodes along the way, while including them in the computed scores; Check that no read occurs repeatedly along any path of the tree). STOP when the tree cannot be expanded any further.
- 3. Create LEFT Tree: Symmetric to previous step.

Contig Construction

- The expand node routine is applied twice to generate LEFT and RIGHT trees for the start read.
- Next, the best LEFT path is concatenated with the root and the best RIGHT path to create a globally optimal contig.

```
than 3
```





Overlap Score

• "Weighted transitivity" score that formulates the following intuition: if read A overlaps read B, and read B overlaps read C, we will score those overlaps strongly if in addition A and C also overlap. This scoring approach implicitly assumes that the coverage is higher



• A simple generalization for higher coverage is obvious.

• This score cannot resolve repeats or haplotypic variations. Solution: augment the score with information for optical map alignment or mated-pair distances to put an appropriate reward/penalty term.

Dynamic Coverage Score

• **Observation**: compressed (expanded) regions are characterized by an increase (decrease) in the depth of coverage compared to the expected average coverage of the shotgun process.

• Idea: penalize solutions whose observed coverage deviates from the expected coverage of the shotgun process.



Optical Map Score

• Observation: restriction enzymes cut at precise locations in the genome. Let $< a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n >$ be the *restriction map* obtained by a

Streptococcus suis strain P1/7



References



Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University 251 Mercer St., New York, NY 10012

restriction enzyme digestion process.

• Idea: Organize the restriction sizes a_i into *n*-tuples. Build a hash

$$(a, b_1, \dots, b_n) = < \left\lfloor \frac{b_1}{a} \times \alpha \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{b_2}{a} \times \alpha \right\rfloor, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{b_n}{a} \times \alpha \right\rfloor >$$
 (2)

store *a* in the corresponding slot (with possible collisions).

• Create an in-silico map of the candidate solution and score it according to the number of *hits* that its *n*-tuples have in the hash table.



Shotgun data from Sanger Institute (2,007,491 bp)

Figure 1: Queue size analysis



Figure 2: DotPlot

[1] Sun Kim, Haixu Tang and Elaine R. Mardis. Genome Sequencing Technology and Algorithms. Artech House Publishers,, 1 edition (October 31, 2007).

[2] Kececioglu and Myers. Combinatorial algorithms for DNA sequence assembly. Algorithmica (1995) vol. 13 (1-2) pp. 7-51

[3] Adam M Phillippy et al. Genome assembly forensics: finding the elusive mis-assembly. Genome Biology (2008) vol. 9 (3) pp. R55