

Social*Networks

QUIZ #4

B. Mishra

04 March 2014

Q1. [10] Three Wall-street Quants meet at a Bar in Manhattan. They want to figure out the average of their salaries; however, their contracts forbid them from disclosing their own salaries to each other. How can they do it?

SOLN.1 *Let us assume that the three co-workers are A, B, and C and their individual salaries are S_a , S_b , and S_c , respectively. They proceed along the following steps:*

- *A adds a random amount, say R_a to his own salary and gives that to B (B cannot know A's salary as the random amount, added is only known to A). In this case, B will receive the figure $(S_a + R_a)$ from A.*
- *B does the same and gives the final amount to C (without showing that to A). Now C receives the figure $(S_a + R_a + S_b + R_b)$.*
- *C does the same and gives the final figure to A (without showing it to B). Now, A receives the figure $(S_a + R_a + S_b + R_b + S_c + R_c)$.*
- *Next A subtracts his random amount and gives the final figure to B (without showing that to C). B will now receive the figure $(S_a + S_b + R_b + S_c + R_c)$.*
- *Next, B subtracts his random amount and gives the final figure to C (without showing it to A). C will receive the figure $(S_a + S_b + S_c + R_c)$.*
- *Finally, C subtracts his random amount and then the figure becomes $(S_a + S_b + S_c)$. It is shown to everyone so that any one of them can compute the average simply by dividing this figure by 3.*

It is important to note here that at every stage (except the very last where C subtracts his random amount), only two co-Quants communicating each other should know the figures and not the third one.

Q2. [5] Will it work for any n number of quants?

SOLN.2 *Yes, except when $n \leq 2$, which are the trivial cases. For $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, there is nothing to show. For $n = 2$, if A can find the average $Av = (S_a + S_b)/2$, then he can figure out B's salary as $S_b = 2Av - S_a$.*

Q3. [5] Can n Quants also figure out the probability distribution function (PDF) of their salaries without revealing their individual salaries?

SOLN.3 Yes. Since they can use this trick to compute the moments:

$M_1 = \sum S_i, M_2 = \sum S_i^2, M_3 = \sum S_i^3$, etc. One could argue that from the moments, one can solve for the individual salaries S_i ; however, one still does not know which salary belongs to which Quant, as there is an ambiguity up to a permutation.

The last point is interesting, and can be solved using Viète's formula and Newton's identity (and a bit of Galois Theory). Let

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(S_a, S_b, S_c) &= 1 \\ e_1(S_a, S_b, S_c) &= S_a + S_b + S_c \\ e_2(S_a, S_b, S_c) &= S_a S_b + S_b S_c + S_c S_a \\ e_3(S_a, S_b, S_c) &= S_a S_b S_c \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(S_a, S_b, S_c) &= S_a + S_b + S_c \\ p_2(S_a, S_b, S_c) &= S_a^2 + S_b^2 + S_c^2 \\ p_3(S_a, S_b, S_c) &= S_a^3 + S_b^3 + S_c^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(S_a, S_b, S_c) &= p_1(S_a, S_b, S_c) \\ 2e_2(S_a, S_b, S_c) &= e_1(S_a, S_b, S_c)p_1(S_a, S_b, S_c) \\ &\quad - p_2(S_a, S_b, S_c) \\ 3e_3(S_a, S_b, S_c) &= e_2(S_a, S_b, S_c)p_1(S_a, S_b, S_c) \\ &\quad - e_1(S_a, S_b, S_c)p_2(S_a, S_b, S_c) \\ &\quad + p_3(S_a, S_b, S_c) \end{aligned}$$

The rest follows from the fact that we can now compute the salaries as roots of the following univariate polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned} &(t - S_a)(t - S_b)(t - S_c) \\ &= t^3 - e_1(S_a, S_b, S_c)t^2 + e_2(S_a, S_b, S_c)t - e_3(S_a, S_b, S_c). \end{aligned}$$

These arguments generalize to any n .