





Programming Languages

CSCI-GA.2110-001

Summer 2012

Dr. Cory Plock



What this course is

- A study of programming language paradigms
 - ◆ Imperative
 - ◆ Functional
 - ◆ Logical
 - ◆ Object-oriented
- Tour of programming language history & roots.
- Introduction to core language design & implementation concepts.
- Exposure to languages/paradigms you may not have used before.
- Reasoning about language benefits/pitfalls.
- Explores programming language implementation.
- Offers an appreciation of language standards.
- Provides the ability to more quickly learn new languages.



What this course isn't



- A comprehensive study of particular languages.
- An exercise in learning as many languages as possible.
- A software engineering course.
- A compiler course.

The main themes of programming language design and use:

- Paradigm (Model of computation)
- Expressiveness
 - ◆ control structures
 - ◆ abstraction mechanisms
 - ◆ types and their operations
 - ◆ tools for programming in the large
- Ease of use: Writeability / Readability / Maintainability

Language as a tool for thought

- Role of language as a communication vehicle among programmers can be just as important as ease of writing
- All general-purpose languages are *Turing complete* (They can compute the same things)
- But languages can make expression of certain algorithms difficult or easy.
 - ◆ Try multiplying two Roman numerals
- Idioms in language A may be useful inspiration when writing in language B.

- Copying a string `q` to `p` in C:

```
while (*p++ = *q++) ;
```

- Removing duplicates from the list `@xs` in Perl:

```
my %seen = ();  
@xs = grep { ! $seen{$_}++; } @xs;
```

- Computing the sum of numbers in list `xs` in Haskell:

```
foldr (+) 0 xs
```

Is this natural? *It is if you're used to it*

Programming paradigms

- *Imperative (von Neumann)*: **Fortran, Pascal, C, Ada**
 - ◆ programs have mutable storage (state) modified by assignments
 - ◆ the most common and familiar paradigm
- *Functional (applicative)*: **Scheme, Lisp, ML, Haskell**
 - ◆ functions are first-class values
 - ◆ *side effects* (e.g., assignments) discouraged
- *Logical (declarative)*: **Prolog, Mercury**
 - ◆ programs are sets of assertions and rules
- *Object-Oriented*: **Simula 67, Smalltalk, C++, Ada95, Java, C#**
 - ◆ data structures and their operations are bundled together
 - ◆ inheritance
- Functional + Logical: **Curry**
- Functional + Object-Oriented: **O'Caml, O'Haskell**

The Beginnings

- Before FORTRAN/COBOL/ALGOL, programs were written in *assembly*.
- FORTRAN

- ◆ Invented by John Backus et al., released in 1957.
- ◆ First successful high-level programming language.
- ◆ Primary use: scientific computing and mathematics.
- ◆ Example:

$A = C + D$

- COBOL
- ◆ Designed by committee, released late 1960.
- ◆ Common or Business-Oriented Language.
- ◆ Data processing, business, finance, administrative systems.
- ◆ Example:

ADD C TO D GIVING A

The Beginnings (Continued)

■ ALGOL

- ◆ Invented by a group of European & American computer scientists, released in 1958.
- ◆ Popularized many PL concepts still in use today.
 - BNF
 - Compound statements using blocks
 - case statement
 - Call-by-reference
 - Orthogonality
- ◆ Was not a commercial success (e.g., no standard I/O).

```
IF Ivar > Jvar THEN Ivar ELSE Jvar FI := 3;
```

Genealogy

- **FORTRAN** (1957) \Rightarrow **Fortran90**, **HP**
- **COBOL** (1960) \Rightarrow **COBOL 2000**
- **Algol60** \Rightarrow **Algol68/Algol W** \Rightarrow **Pascal** \Rightarrow **Ada**
- **Algol60** \Rightarrow **BCPL** \Rightarrow **C** \Rightarrow **C++**
- **Algol60** \Rightarrow **Simula** \Rightarrow **Smalltalk**
- **APL** \Rightarrow **J**
- **Snobol** \Rightarrow **Icon**
- **Lisp** \Rightarrow **Scheme** \Rightarrow **ML** \Rightarrow **Haskell**

with lots of cross-pollination: e.g., **Java** is influenced by **C++**, **Smalltalk**, **Lisp**, **Ada**, etc.

Predictable performance vs. ease of writing

- Low-level languages mirror the physical machine:
 - ◆ **Assembly, C, Fortran**
- High-level languages model an abstract machine with useful capabilities:
 - ◆ **ML, SetI, Prolog, SQL, Haskell**
- Wide-spectrum languages try to do both:
 - ◆ **Ada, C++, Java, C#**
- High-level languages have garbage collection, are often interpreted, and cannot be used for real-time programming. The higher the level, the harder it is to determine cost of operations.

Modern imperative languages (e.g., Ada, C++, Java) have similar characteristics:

- large number of features (grammar with several hundred productions, 500 page reference manuals, ...)
- a complex type system
- procedural mechanisms
- object-oriented facilities
- abstraction mechanisms, with information hiding
- several storage-allocation mechanisms
- facilities for concurrent programming
- facilities for generic programming

Language standards

Developed by working groups of standards bodies (ANSI, ISO).

- Pro: Discourages countless language flavors (ala LISP)
- Con: Places creative freedom in the hands of a few people.
- Major compiler manufacturers generally align to the standards.
- Main goal: increases portability.
- Specifies universal language syntax.
- Defines, but does **not enforce**, syntactic and semantic correctness.

Example: incorrect code, but GNU C++ compiler doesn't warn by default:

```
int x;  
int y = x + 2; // x is undefined
```

The programming environment may be larger than the language.

- The predefined libraries are *indispensable* to the proper use of the language, *and its popularity*.
- The libraries are defined in the language itself, but they have to be internalized by a good programmer.

Examples:

- C++ standard template library
- Java Swing classes
- Ada I/O packages

Syntax and semantics

- Syntax refers to external representation:
 - ◆ Given some text, is it a well-formed program?
- Semantics denotes meaning:
 - ◆ Given a well-formed program, what does it mean?
 - ◆ Often depends on context.

The division is somewhat arbitrary.

- Note: It *is* possible to fully describe the syntax and semantics of a programming language by syntactic means (e.g., Algol68 and W-grammars), but this is highly impractical.

Typically use a grammar for the context-free aspects, and different method for the rest.

- Similar looking constructs in different languages often have subtly (or not-so-subtly) different meanings

Major phases of a compiler:

1. lexer: text \longrightarrow tokens
2. parser: tokens \longrightarrow parse tree
3. semantic analyzer: parse tree \longrightarrow abstract syntax tree
4. intermediate code generation
5. optimization (machine independent): local & global redundancy elimination, loop optimization
6. target code generation
7. optimization (machine dependent): instruction scheduling, register allocation, peephole optimization

A *grammar* G is a tuple (Σ, N, S, δ)

- Σ is the set of *terminal* symbols (alphabet)
- N is the set of *non-terminal* symbols
- S is the distinguished non-terminal: the root symbol
- δ is the set of rewrite rules (productions) of the form:

$$ABC \dots ::= XYZ \dots$$

where A, B, C, X, Y, Z are terminals and non terminals.

- The *language* is the set of sentences containing **only** terminal symbols that can be generated by applying the rewriting rules starting from the root symbol (let's call such sentences *strings*)

BNF: notation for context-free grammars

(BNF = Backus-Naur Form) Some conventional abbreviations:

- alternation: $\text{Symb} ::= \text{Letter} \mid \text{Digit}$
- repetition: $\text{Id} ::= \text{Letter} \{ \text{Symb} \}$
or we can use a Kleene star: $\text{Id} ::= \text{Letter} \text{Symb}^*$
for one or more repetitions: $\text{Int} ::= \text{Digit}^+$
- option: $\text{Num} ::= \text{Digit}^+ [\text{Digit}^*]$
- abbreviations do not add to expressive power of grammar
- need convention for metasymbols – what if “|” is in the language?

Grammar Example (partial)

```
<typedekl>      ::= type <typedeflist>
<typedeflist>   ::= <typedef> [ <typedeflist> ]
<typedef>       ::= <typeid> = <typespec> ;
<typespec>      ::= <typeid> |
                    <arraydef> | <ptrdef> | <rangedef> |
                    <enumdef> | <recdef>

<typeid>        ::= <ident>
<arraydef>      ::= [ packed ] array '[' <rangedef> ']' of <typeid>
<ptrdef>        ::= ^ <typeid>
<rangedef>      ::= <number> .. <number>
<number>        ::= <digit> [ <number> ]
<enumdef>       ::= ( <idlist> )
<idlist>        ::= <ident> { , <ident> }
<recdef>        ::= record <vardecllist> end ;
```

The Chomsky hierarchy

- Regular grammars (Type 3)
 - ◆ all productions can be written in the form: $N ::= TN$
 - ◆ one non-terminal on left side; at most one on right
- Context-free grammars (Type 2)
 - ◆ all productions can be written in the form: $N ::= XYZ$
 - ◆ one non-terminal on the left-hand side; mixture on right
- Context-sensitive grammars (Type 1)
 - ◆ number of symbols on the left is no greater than on the right
 - ◆ no production shrinks the size of the sentential form
- Type-0 grammars
 - ◆ no restrictions

Regular expressions

Regular expressions can be used to generate or recognize regular languages.

We say that a regular expression R denotes the language $\llbracket R \rrbracket$.

Basic regular expressions:

- ϵ denotes \emptyset
- a character x , where $x \in \Sigma$, denotes $\{x\}$
- (sequencing) a sequence of two regular expressions RS denotes $\{\alpha\beta \mid \alpha \in \llbracket R \rrbracket, \beta \in \llbracket S \rrbracket\}$
- (alternation) $R|S$ denotes $\llbracket R \rrbracket \cup \llbracket S \rrbracket$
- (Kleene star) R^* denotes the set of strings which are concatenations of zero or more strings from $\llbracket R \rrbracket$
- parentheses are used for grouping

Shorthands:

- $R^? \equiv \epsilon|R$
- $R^+ \equiv RR^*$

Regular grammar example

A grammar for floating point numbers:

$$\text{Float} ::= \text{Digits} \mid \text{Digits} . \text{Digits}$$
$$\text{Digits} ::= \text{Digit} \mid \text{Digit Digits}$$
$$\text{Digit} ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9$$

A regular expression for floating point numbers:

$$(0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9)^+ (.(0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9)^+)?$$

Perl offer some shorthands:

$$[0-9]^+(\. [0-9]^+)?$$

or

$$\backslash d^+(\. \backslash d^+)?$$

Lexical: formation of words or tokens.

- Described (mainly) by regular grammars
- Terminals are characters. Some choices:
 - ◆ character set: ASCII, Latin-1, ISO646, Unicode, etc.
 - ◆ is case significant?
- Is indentation significant?
 - ◆ Python, Occam, Haskell

Example: identifiers

$$\text{Id} ::= \text{Letter IdRest}$$
$$\text{IdRest} ::= \epsilon \mid \text{Letter IdRest} \mid \text{Digit IdRest}$$

Missing from above grammar: limit of identifier length

Parse trees

A parse tree describes the grammatical structure of a sentence

- root of tree is root symbol of grammar
- leaf nodes are terminal symbols
- internal nodes are non-terminal symbols
- an internal node and its descendants correspond to some production for that non terminal
- top-down tree traversal represents the process of generating the given sentence from the grammar
- construction of tree from sentence is *parsing*

Ambiguity

If the parse tree for a sentence is not unique, the grammar is *ambiguous*:

$$E ::= E + E \mid E * E \mid \text{Id}$$

Two possible parse trees for “A + B * C”:

- $((A + B) * C)$
- $(A + (B * C))$

One solution: rearrange grammar:

$$\begin{aligned} E &::= E + T \mid T \\ T &::= T * \text{Id} \mid \text{Id} \end{aligned}$$

Harder problems – disambiguate these (courtesy of Ada):

- `function_call ::= name (expression_list)`
- `indexed_component ::= name (index_list)`
- `type_conversion ::= name (expression)`

Dangling else problem

Consider:

$$S ::= \text{if } E \text{ then } S$$
$$S ::= \text{if } E \text{ then } S \text{ else } S$$

The sentence

$$\text{if } E_1 \text{ then if } E_2 \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2$$

is ambiguous (Which then does else S_2 match?)

Solutions:

- Pascal rule: else matches most recent if
- grammatical solution: different productions for balanced and unbalanced if-statements
- grammatical solution: introduce explicit end-marker

The general ambiguity problem is unsolvable

Scanners and Parsers

- **Scanners** (or *tokenizers*) read input, identify, and extract small input fragments called tokens.
 - ◆ Identifiers
 - ◆ Constants
 - ◆ Keywords
 - ◆ Symbols: (,), [,], !, =, !=, etc.
- **Parsers** attempt to match input tokens to grammar rules.
 - ◆ **LL** (or: recursive descent, top-down) parsers are depth-first, begin at the start symbol and recurse on each RHS non-terminal.
 - ◆ **LR** (or: bottom-up) parsers

Tools for creating scanners and parsers

- **Lex** (or **Flex**) is a lexical analyzer generator.
 - ◆ Input: rules containing regular expressions.
 - ◆ Output: a lexical analyzer.
- **Yacc** (or **Bison**) is a parser generator.
 - ◆ Input: Context-free grammar and Lex input (optional).
 - ◆ Output: An LR parser.