How Do We Design Software?

- We all understand
  - Algorithms
  - Data structures
  - Classes

- When describing a design, algorithms/data structures/classes form the vocabulary

- But there are higher levels of design

What are Design Patterns?

“A pattern describes a problem that occurs often, along with a tried solution to the problem”

- Christopher Alexander, 1977

- Descriptions of communicating objects and classes that are customized to solve a general design problem in a particular context
  - Not individual classes or libraries
    - Such as lists, hash tables
    - Not full designs

Design Patterns: History

- Christopher Alexander
  - An architect
  - A Berkeley professor
  - The father of design patterns
    - Applied to architecture
    - “Pattern Languages” (1977)

- The Gang of Four
  - Erich Gamma, Richard Helm, Ralph Johnson, John Vlissides
  - Application of design patterns to object-oriented programming
  - Book: Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software
Elements of a Design Pattern

1. Pattern name
   - Useful part of design vocabulary
2. Problem solved and applicability
   - When to apply the pattern
3. Solution
   - Participants and their relationships
4. Consequences
   - Costs of applying the pattern, space and time trade-offs
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Improved Communication

One of the main benefits of design patterns is that they name common (and successful) ways of building software.
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More Specifically

- Teaching and learning
  - It is much easier to learn architecture from descriptions of design patterns than from reading code
- Teamwork
  - Members of a team have a way to name and discuss the elements of their design
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Example: A Text Editor

- Describe a text editor using patterns
  - A running example
- Introduces several important patterns
- Gives an overall flavor of pattern culture

Note: This example is from the "Design Patterns" book.
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Text Editor Requirements

- A WYSIWYG editor
- Text and graphics can be freely mixed
- Graphical user interface
  - Toolbars, scrollbars, etc.
- Multiple windowing systems
- Traversal operations: spell-checking, hyphenation

Problem: Document Structure

A document is represented by its physical structure:
- Primitive glyphs
  - characters, rectangles, circles, pictures, ...
- Lines
  - A sequence of glyphs
- Columns
  - A sequence of lines
- Pages
  - A sequence of columns
- Documents
  - A sequence of pages

What is your design?

The Game

- I describe a design problem for the editor
- I ask “What is your design?”
  - This is audience participation time
- I give you the wise and insightful pattern

Alternative Designs

- Classes for Character, Circle, Line, Column, Page, ...
  - Not so good
  - A lot of code duplication
- One (abstract) class of Glyph
  - Each element realized by a subclass of Glyph
  - All elements present the same interface
    - How to draw
    - Compute bounding rectangle
    - Mouse hit detection
  - Makes extending the class easy
  - Treats all elements uniformly
Example of Hierarchical Composition

Notes

- Drawing
  - Each primitive element draws itself
    - At its assigned location
  - Each compound element recursively calls draw on its elements
    - But doesn’t care what those elements are

```
Line::Draw(Window w) {
    for each c in children do
        c->Draw(w);
}
```

Composites

- This is the composite pattern
  - Goes by many other names
    - Recursive composition, structural induction, tree walk, ...
    - Predates design patterns

- Applies to any hierarchical structure
  - Leaves and internal nodes have same functionality
  - Composite implements the same interface as the contained elements

Diagram
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**Problem: Formatting**

- A particular physical structure for a document
  - Decisions about layout
  - Must deal with e.g., line breaking

- Design issues
  - Layout is complicated
  - No best algorithm
    - Many alternatives, simple to complex

  *What is your design?*

---

**Not So Good**

- Add a `format` method to each `Glyph` class

- Problems
  - Can’t modify the algorithm without modifying `Glyph`
  - Can’t easily add new formatting algorithms

---

**The Core Issue**

- Formatting is complex
  - We don’t want that complexity to pollute `Glyph`
  - We may want to change the formatting method

- Encapsulate formatting behind an interface
  - Each formatting algorithm an instance
  - `Glyph` only deals with the interface

---

**Diagram**

- `glyph`
  - `Drew(W indow)`
  - `IntersectedPoint p)`
  - `Insert( glyph )`

- `Formatter`
  - `Format()`
  - ...

- `FormatWord`
  - `Format()`
  - ...

- `Formatter`
  - `Format()`
  - ...

- `Document`
  - `Drew(W indow)`
  - `IntersectedPoint p)`
  - `Insert( glyph g )`

- `formatter`

---
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Strategies

- This is the *strategy* pattern
  - Isolates variations in algorithms we might use
  - Formatter is the strategy, Compositor is context
- General principle
  - *encapsulate variation*
- In OO languages, this means defining abstract classes for things that are likely to change

Problem: Enhancing the User Interface

- We will want to decorate elements of the UI
  - Add borders
  - Scrollbars
  - Etc.
- How do we incorporate this into the physical structure?
  - What is your design?

Not So Good

- Object behavior can be extended using inheritance
  - Major drawback: inheritance structure is static
- Subclass elements of *Glyph*
  - BorderedComposition
  - ScrolledComposition
  - BorderedAndScrolledComposition
  - ScrolledAndBorderedComposition
  - ...
- Leads to an explosion of classes

Decorators

- Want to have a number of decorations (e.g., Border, ScrollBar, Menu) that we can mix independently
  - X = new ScrollBar(new Border(new Character))
  - We have n decorators and 2^n combinations
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**Transparent Enclosure**

- Define Decorator
  - Implements Glyph
  - Has one member Glyph decorated
  - Border, ScrollBar, Menu extend Decorator

  Border::Draw(Window w) {
    decorated->draw(w);
    drawBorder(decorated->bounds());
  }

---

**Decorators**

- This is the decorator pattern
- A way of adding responsibilities to an object
- Commonly extending a composite
  - As in this example

---

**Problem: Supporting Look-and-Feel Standards**

- Different look-and-feel standards
  - Appearance of scrollbars, menus, etc.
- We want the editor to support them all
  - What do we write in code like
    ```
    ScrollBar scr = new ?
    ```

  *What is your design?*
### The Not-so-Good Strawmen

- **Terrible**
  ```java
  ScrollBar scr = new MotifScrollBar
  ```

- **Little better**
  ```java
  ScrollBar scr;
  if (style == MOTIF) then scr = new MotifScrollBar
  else if (style == ...) then ...
  ```

  - will have similar conditionals for menus, borders, etc.

### Abstract Object Creation

- **Encapsulate what varies in a class**

- **Here object creation varies**
  - Want to create different menu, scrollbar, etc
  - Depending on current look-and-feel

- **Define a GUIFactory class**
  - One method to create each look-and-feel dependent object
  - One GUIFactory object for each look-and-feel
  - Created itself using conditionals

### Diagram

```
MotifFactory
| CreateScrollBar() { return new MotifScrollBar(); } |
| CreateMenu() { return new MotifMenu(); } |

MacFactory
| CreateScrollBar() { return new MacScrollBar(); } |
| CreateMenu0() { return new MacMenu(); } |

GuiFactory
| CreateScrollBar() |
| CreateMenu() |
```

### Diagram 2: Abstract Products

```
Glyph

ScrollBar

MotifScrollBar

MacScrollBar
```

---
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Factories

- This is the abstract factory pattern
- A class which
  - Abstracts the creation of a family of objects
  - Different instances provide alternative implementations of that family
- Note
  - The "current" factory is still a global variable
  - The factory can be changed even at runtime

Problem: Supporting Multiple Window Systems

- We want to run on multiple window systems
- Problem: Wide variation in standards
  - Big interfaces
    - Can't afford to implement own windowing system
  - Different models of window operations
    - Resizing, drawing, raising, etc.
  - Different functionality

  What is your design?

A First Cut

- Take the intersection of all functionality
  - A feature is in our window model if it is in every real-world windowing system we want to support
- Define an abstract factory to hide variation
  - Create windowing objects for current window system using the factory
- Problem: intersection of functionality may not be large enough

Second Cut

- Define our own abstract window hierarchy
  - All operations we need represented
  - Model is tuned to our application
- Define a parallel hierarchy
  - Abstracts concrete window systems
  - Has all functionality we need
    - I.e., could be more than the intersection of functions
    - Requires writing methods for systems missing functionality
**User Commands**

- User has a vocabulary of operations
  - E.g., jump to a particular page
  - Operations can be invoked multiple ways
    - By a menu
    - By clicking an icon
    - By keyboard shortcut
    -Want undo/redo/command line option/menu option
- How do we represent user commands?

**What is your design?**

**Bridges**

- This is the bridge pattern
  - Note we have two hierarchies
    - Logical
      - The view of our application, tuned to our needs
    - Implementation
      - The interface to the outside world
      - Abstract base class, with multiple implementations
- Logical, implementational views can evolve
  - Independently,
  - So long as the “bridge” is maintained

**A Good Design**

- Define a class of user operations
  - Abstract class
    - Presents interface common to all operations
      - E.g., undo/redo
  - Each operation is a subclass
    - Jump to a page, cut, paste, ...
**Problem: Spell Checking**

- Considerations
  - Spell-checking requires traversing the document
    - Need to see every glyph, in order
    - Information we need is scattered all over the document
  - There may be other analyses we want to perform
    - E.g., grammar analysis

  What is your design?

**One Possibility**

- Iterators
  - Hide the structure of a container from clients
  - A method for
    - Pointing to the first element
    - Advancing to the next element
    - Getting the current element
    - Testing for termination

```java
iterator i = CreateIterator(composition);
for (i = i.first(); !i.isDone(); i = i.next())
    { ... do something with Glyph i->current() ... }
```
**Diagram**

```
    Iterator
    |     |
    |     |
    PreorderIterator
    |     |
     First()  Next()
    |     |
    PostorderIterator
    |     |
     First()  Next()
```

**Notes**

- Iterators work well if we don’t need to know the type of the elements being iterated over.
  - E.g., send kill message to all processes in a queue
- Not a good fit for spell-checking
  ```
  for(i = i->first(); !i->isDone(); i = i->next())
  { ... do something with Glyph i->current() ... }
  ```
- Must cast i->current() to spell-check it ...
  ```
  if(i instanceof Char) { ... } else { ... }
  ```

**Visitors**

- The visitor pattern is more general
  - Iterators provide traversal of containers
  - Visitors allow
    - Traversal
    - And type-specific actions
- The idea
  - Separate traversal from the action
  - Have a “do it” method for each element type
  - Can be overridden in a particular traversal

**Diagram**

```
    Glyph
    |     |     |
    |     |     |
    Visitor
    |     |     |
    Character
    |     |     |
     Draw(Window)
     Scan(Visitor v)
     |     |     |
     |     |     |
     Picture
     |     |     |
     Draw(Window)
     Scan(Visitor v)
     |     |     |
     |     |     |
     Line
     |     |     |
     Draw(Window)
     Scan(Visitor v)
     |     |     |
     |     |     |
     for each c in children
c->Scan(v)
```
**Visitor Comments**

- The dynamic dispatch on Glyph::Scan achieves type-safe casting
  - dynamic dispatch to Char::Scan, Picture::Scan, ...
- Each of the Glyph::Scan
  - calls the visitor-specific action (e.g., Visitor::visitChar)
  - implements the search (e.g., in Line::Scan)
- Have a visitor for each action (e.g., spell-check, search-and-replace)

---

**Design Patterns**

- A good idea
  - Simple
  - Describe useful "micro-architectures"
  - Capture common organizations of classes/objects
  - Give us a richer vocabulary of design
- Relatively few patterns of real generality
- Watch out for the hype...