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Who Am I?

• Mohamed Zahran (aka Z)
• Research interest: Computer architecture/OS/Compilers Interaction
• http://www.mzahran.com
• Office hours: Wed 2:00-4:00 pm
  – Or by appointment
• Office: WWH 320
Formal Goals of This Course

- Why GPUs
- GPU Architecture
- GPU-CPU Interaction
- GPU programming model
- When GPUs excel? When not?
- Solving real-life problems using GPUs
  - With the best performance we can get!
But I also want you to:

• Get more than an good grade
• Use what you have learned in MANY different contexts
  – GPUs can be used in many non-graphics applications
• Have a feeling of how hardware and software evolve and interact
• To enjoy the course!
The Course Web Page

- Lecture slides
- Reading assignments
- Info about labs, homework assignments, project, and exams.
- Useful links (manuals, tools, book errata, ... )
The Textbook

Programming Massively Parallel Processors: A Hands-on Approach

By

David B. Kirk & Wen-mei W. Hwu

3rd Edition
Grading

- Homework assignments: 15%
- Project: 25%
- Programming assignments: 30%
- Final Exam (open book/notes): 30%
Computer History

Eckert and Mauchly

- 1st working electronic computer (1946)
- 18,000 Vacuum tubes
- 1,800 instructions/sec
- 3,000 ft$^3$
Computer History

- Maurice Wilkes

EDSAC 1 (1949)

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/UoCCL/misc/EDSAC99/

1st stored program computer
650 instructions/sec
1,400 ft³
Intel 4004 Die Photo

- Introduced in 1970
- First microprocessor
- 2,250 transistors
- 12 mm²
- 108 KHz
Intel 8086 Die Scan

- 29,000 transistors
- 33 mm²
- 5 MHz
- Introduced in 1979
  - Basic architecture of the IA32 PC
Intel 80486 Die Scan

- 1,200,000 transistors
- 81 mm²
- 25 MHz
- Introduced in 1989
  - 1st pipelined implementation of IA32
Pentium Die Photo

- 3,100,000 transistors
- 296 mm$^2$
- 60 MHz
- Introduced in 1993
  - 1st superscalar implementation of IA32
Pentium III

- 9,500,000 transistors
- 125 mm$^2$
- 450 MHz
- Introduced in 1999

Pentium 4

- 55,000,000 transistors
- 146 mm$^2$
- 3 GHz
- Introduced in 2000

http://www.chip-architect.com
IBM Power 8

AMD Ryzen

Intel Core i7 (Nehalem)

Intel Xeon Phi (50 cores)

Tilera (72 cores)
The Famous Moore’s Law
People get used to the software

People ask for more improvements

Better Software

Hardware Improvement

Software cost dominates hardware cost.

Positive Cycle of Computer Industry
Important Questions

• **How to control software cost?**
  – By reducing redesigning of the software.

• **And how to do that?**
  – By making the application **scalable**
    • More cores
    • More threads per core
    • More memory
    • Faster interconnect
    • Basically: scalability in the face of hardware growth.
  – By making the application **portable**
    • Across different instruction sets (x86, ARM, …)
    • From multicore to GPU to FPGA to …. 
    • Shared vs distributed memory
    • …
The Status-Quo

• We moved from single core to multicore
  – for technological reasons

• Free lunch is over for software folks
  – The software will not become faster with every new generation of processors

• Not enough experience in parallel programming
  – Parallel programs of old days were restricted to some elite applications -> very few programmers
  – Now we need parallel programs for many different applications
Not only parallel programming

But Heterogeneous parallel programming!
Heterogeneity Everywhere

Neuromorphic Chips
Use best match for the job!
Software Perspective

Two type of developers

Performance Group
(C/C++, CUDA, OpenCL, ...)

Productivity Group
(Python, Scala, ...)

Attempts to Make Parallel Programming Easy

• 1\textsuperscript{st} idea: The right computer language would make parallel programming straightforward

  – Result so far: Some languages made parallel programming easier, but none has made it as fast, efficient, and flexible as traditional sequential programming.
Attempts to Make Parallel Programming Easy

- 2\textsuperscript{nd} idea: If you just design the hardware properly, parallel programming would become easy.
  - Result so far: no one has yet succeeded!
Attempts to Make Parallel Programming Easy

• 3rd idea: Write software that will automatically parallelize existing sequential programs.
  – Result so far: Success here is inversely proportional to the number of cores!
Two Main Goals

• Maintain execution speed of old sequential programs

• Increase throughput of parallel programs
Two Main Goals

• Maintain execution speed of old sequential programs
  ![CPU]

• Increase throughput of parallel programs
  ![GPU + CPU]
Performance

Theoretical GFLOP/s at base clock

Source: NVIDIA CUDA C Programming Guide
CPU is optimized for sequential code performance
Almost 10x the bandwidth of multicore (relaxed memory model)
Memory Bandwidth

Source: NVIDIA CUDA C Programming Guide
How to Choose A Processor for Your Application?

• Performance
• Very large installation base
• Practical form-factor and easy accessibility
• Support for IEEE floating point standard
(a) and (b) represent discrete GPU solutions, with a CPU-integrated memory controller in (b). Diagram (c) corresponds to integrated CPU-GPU solutions, as the AMD's Accelerated Processing Unit (APU) chips.


Tradeoff: Low energy vs higher performance
Integrated CPU+GPU processors

- More than 90% of processors shipping today include a GPU on die
- Low energy use is a key design goal

Intel 4th Generation Core Processor: “Haswell”

AMD Kaveri APU

4-core GT2 Desktop: 35 W package
2-core GT2 Ultrabook: 11.5 W package

Desktop: 45-95 W package
Mobile, embedded: 15 W package

source: Performance and Programmability Trade-offs in the OpenCL 2.0 SVM and Memory Model by Brian T. Lewis, Intel Labs
Is Any Application Suitable for GPU?

- Heck no!
- You will get the best performance from GPU if your application is:
  - Computation intensive
  - Many independent computations
  - Many similar computations

- 16 highly threaded SM’s,
- >128 FPU’s, 367 GFLOPS,
- 768 MB DRAM,
- 86.4 GB/S Mem BW,
- 4GB/S BW to CPU
A Glimpse at A GPU

Streaming Multiprocessor (SM)
A Glimpse at A Modern GPU

SPs within SM share control logic and instruction cache

Streaming Processor (SP)
A Glimpse at A Modern GPU

- Much higher bandwidth than typical system memory
- A bit slower than typical system memory
- Communication between GPU memory and system memory is slow
Amdahl's Law

\[
\text{Execution Time After Improvement} = \\
\text{Execution Time Unaffected} + \left( \frac{\text{Execution Time Affected}}{\text{Amount of Improvement}} \right)
\]

- **Example:**

  "Suppose a program runs in 100 seconds on a machine, with multiply responsible for 80 seconds of this time. How much do we have to improve the speed of multiplication if we want the program to run 4 times faster?"

  \[\text{How about making it 5 times faster?}\]

Improvement in your application speed depends on the portion that is parallelized.
Winning Applications Use Both CPU and GPU

• CPUs for sequential parts where latency matters
  – CPUs can be 10X+ faster than GPUs for sequential code

• GPUs for parallel parts where throughput wins
  – GPUs can be 10X+ faster than CPUs for parallel code

Source: NVIDIA GPU teaching kit
Things to Keep in Mind

• Try to increase the portion of your program that can be parallelized
• Figure out how to get around limited bandwidth of system memory
• When an application is suitable for parallel execution, a good implementation on GPU can achieve more than 100x speedup over sequential implementation.
• You can reach 10x fairly easy, beyond that ... stay with us!
Enough for Today

• Some applications are better run on CPU while others on GPU
• If you don’t care about performance, parallel programming is easy!
• Main limitations
  – The parallelizable portion of the code
  – The communication overhead between CPU and GPU
  – Memory bandwidth saturation

Welcome ... And Have Fun!