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CPU Evolution

• Evolving single-core CPUs
  • Special purpose units
  • FPU, SSEs, etc

• Hybrid multi-core CPUs
  • Cell: 1 PowerPC core, 8 SPEs
  • AMD Fusion: CPU + GPU
  • Intel Sandy Bridge and later
## Classifying Multicore CPUs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Same Cores</th>
<th>Different Cores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Different ISAs</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>• Some SoCs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Multicore/many core CPUs, GPUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same ISA</td>
<td>Traditional homogeneous multicore CPUs</td>
<td>Cores with different capabilities and functional units</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Designing Heterogeneous Multicore CPUs

1. Design series of cores from scratch
2. Reuse series of previously-implemented CPU cores and change the interface
3. Hybrid approach
Why Make Heterogeneous Multicore CPUs?

• CPU design should balance throughput (multicore performance) with good single-threaded performance
  • SMT and CMP: have they achieved this?

• Heterogeneous multicore is more efficient
  • Match application to core(s) with capabilities
  • Provide better area-efficient coverage of workload demand
Matching Applications and Cores

• Different applications have different resource requirements
  • How to determine these requirements
  • Time-variant needs

• Gains from matching threads/processes to cores
  • Better performance
  • More efficient execution: lower power consumption
Matching Applications and Cores

• Applications with fewer, sophisticated threads
  • Traditional multicore
  • Latency-optimized cores

• Applications with more, simpler threads
  • Large number of throughput optimized cores
  • E.g.: GPUs
Two Challenges

Remember this from Lecture 3?

Data movement costs more than computation.
Issues in Heterogeneous Multicore Processors

1. Scalability
2. Scheduling
3. Task switching cost
4. Task migration cost
5. Core-switching decisions
6. Memory model (shared memory?)
7. Coherence
8. ...
Real-World Heterogeneous Multicore CPUS
Real-World Heterogeneous Multicore CPUs

- Cell Processor: Playstation 3
- Espresso: Wii U
- AMD Fusion, later AMD APU
- Intel Sandy Bridge (and beyond)
- Core Fusion
Cell Processor: Playstation 3

- Each Cell chip:
  - One PowerPC core (PPE)
  - 8 Synergistic Processing Elements (SPEs)
  - On-chip memory controller, I/O, and interconnect

- Playstation 3
  - 1 Cell chip, 6 usable SPEs
  - 256MB DRAM
Cell Processor: PowerPC Element (PPE)

- 3.2 GHz
- Dual issue, in-order
- 2-way multithreaded
- 512KB L2 cache
- No hardware prefetching
- SIMD + FMA
  - Fused multiply-add: good for graphics + physics
- Performance
  - Float: 25.6 GFLOPS
  - Double: 6.4 GFLOPS

Purpose
- Compatibility processor
  - Legacy code, libraries, etc
- System functions
  - Initializing and managing SPEs
Cell Processor: Synergistic Processing Element (SPE)

- Dual-issue, in-order, VLIW-inspired SIMB processor
- 128x 128b registers
- 256KB local store (LS)
  - No explicit data or instruction caches
  - Runs programs directly from the local store
- Memory Flow Controller (MFC)
  - Programmable DMA engine
  - On-chip network interface

- Performance
  - Float: 25.6 GFLOPS
  - Double: 1.83 GFLOPS
  - LS: 51.2 GB/s
  - Interconnect: 25.6 GB/s
Cell Processor: Threading Model

• PPE runs programs on SPEs
  1. Create SPE context
  2. Load SPE program binary to SPE local store
  3. Create pthread to run it

• Simplified pthread contents
  spe_context_run(...);
  pthread_exit(...);

• Work split into repeated phases (BSP):
  • Code that runs on SPEs
  • Code that runs on PPE
  • Barriers
Cell Processor: Threading Model

- **PPE**
  - Create pthreads
  - SPE phase
  - Barrier
  - PPE phase
  - Barrier
  - SPE program
  - SPE phase
  - Barrier
  - Join pthreads
Cell Processor: Communication

- PPE communicates with SPEs via
  - Mailboxes (FIFO)
  - HW signals
  - DRAM
  - Direct access (DMA) to SPEs’ local stores
Espresso: Wii U

- Three 45nm PowerPC cores
- 1.24GHz
- Symmetric multiprocessing with MESI support
- 32-bit integer unit, 64-bit FPU
- Asymmetric L2 caches
- 6 execution units per core
AMD “Trinity” APU

- Two dual-core x86 units (4 cores total)
- 2MB L2 cache total (1MB local per dual-core unit)
- Radeon HD GPU, with speed scaling for power management (304-800MHz)
AMD “Trinity” APU

“TRINITY” APU

- DDR3 Controller
- L2 Cache
- L2 Cache
- Dual Core x86 Module
- Dual Core x86 Module
- GPU
- UNB
- GMC
- AMD HD Media Accelerator
- AMD HD Media Accelerator (UVD, AMD Accelerated Video Converter)
- Unified Northbridge
- Northern Islands AMD Radeon™ GPU
- New: Dual Channel DDR3 Memory Controller
- New: Up to 4 “Piledriver” Cores with 2MB L2
- New: HDMI, DisplayPort 1.2, DVI controllers
- New: PCI Express I/O — 24 lanes, optional digital display interfaces
• First processor with on-board GPU
  • Predecessor had separate dies in same ceramic package
  • Advantage: lower memory latency
  • Blurry line between heterogenous multicores and closely-integrated separate chips

• Shared L3 cache (even accessible to GPU)
• Multimedia applications
  • Advanced Vector Extensions (AVX)
• Power efficiency
Intel: Sandy Bridge and Beyond
Core Fusion: Research CPU

- Common pattern: applications have sequential and parallel phases
  - Modern CPUs do not handle this
  - Burden on programmer

- Die composition is immutable
  - Number of cores
  - Number of functional units per core
  - Amount of cache per core
Core Fusion: Research CPU

- Independent cores with independent L1 caches
- Cores can be merged together as needed
- Application control
  - FUSE and SPLIT operations
  - OS driver controls application’s ability to control core layout, as with other configurable hardware

Programming Heterogeneous Multicore CPUs
Programmers’ Burden

• Programmers reason about algorithms, correctness, and thread partitioning

• Programmers don’t reason about asymmetry
  • Asymmetry negatively affects applications
  • Unexpected or even unpredictable workload behavior

• Fixing asymmetry
  • Evaluate threads’ work partitioning
  • Automatic scheduling of asymmetric threads
Programmers’ Burden

• Assist the hardware
• Define as many threads as you can
• Know the OS and the hardware
  • How many cores? How heterogeneous?
  • How does OS scheduling work?
• Combine threads if necessary
  • Bind threads to cores if necessary
• Avoid load imbalance -> wasted waiting
  • Even with homogeneous multicore processors!
  • Lab 2–3 designs
Load Imbalance Sources

- Poor single-processor performance
  - Memory inefficiencies -> optimize for caching
- Too much parallelism overhead
  - Thread lifetime is too short
- Different amounts of per-core work
- Different resources per processor
Recognizing Load Imbalance

- Easiest: time spent at synchronization is high and uneven across cores
- Imbalance may change over time
- Insufficient parallelism often leads to load imbalance
- Useful tools
  - Tuning and Analysis Utility (Tau): http://www.cs.uoregon.edu/research/tau/home.php
  - Parallel Application Programming Interface (PAPI): http://icl.cs.utk.edu/papi/
  - Paradyn: http://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~paradyn/
Important Load Balancing Questions

• **Thread Costs**
  • Do all threads have equal costs?
  • If not, when are the costs known?

• **Thread Dependencies**
  • Can all threads be run in any order?
  • If not, when are the dependencies known?

• **Locality**
  • Does locality affect any threads’ performance?
  • If so, when is information about communication known?
Important Load Balancing Questions: Thread Cost

- Thread cost known before starting
  - Matrix multiplication

- Thread cost known when thread created
  - N-body

- Thread cost known when thread ends
  - Search
Important Load Balancing Questions: Thread Dependencies

- Threads can execute in any order
  - Dependency-free loops
- Threads have a known dependency graph
  - Matrix computations
- Threads have no known dependency graph
  - Search
Important Load Balancing Questions: Thread Communication

• Threads do not communicate
  • Pixel shaders

• Thread communication is predictable
  • PDE solver

• Thread communication is unpredictable
  • N-body physics simulation
Solutions

• Task queue
  • Centralized or distributed
• Work stealing
• Work pushing
• Offline scheduling
• Self-scheduling
• ...

Rhetorical Question

Are heterogeneous cores of different ISAs in one processor useful?
Conclusions

• Modern CPUs look increasingly like heterogeneous CPUs
  • Good for power and performance
  • Potential unpredictability

• Importance of load balancing in homogeneous and heterogeneous CPUs