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What is OOP? (part I)

The object idea:
- bundling of data (data members) and operations (methods) on that data
- restricting access to the data

An object contains:
- data members: arranged as a set of named fields
- methods: routines which take the object they are associated with as an argument
  (known as member functions in C++)
- constructors: routines which create a new object

A class is a construct which defines the data, methods and constructors associated with all of its instances (objects).
What is OOP? (part II)

The *inheritance* and *dynamic binding* ideas:

- classes can be extended (*inheritance*):
  - by adding new fields
  - by adding new methods
  - by *overriding* existing methods (changing behavior)

If class B extends class A, we say that B is a *subclass* or *derived* class of A, and A is a *superclass* or *base* class of B.

- dynamic binding: wherever an instance of a class is required, we can also use an instance of any of its subclasses; when we call one of its methods, the overridden versions are used.

- There should be an *is-a* relationship between a derived class and its base class.
Styles of OOLs

- in class-based OOLs, each object is an instance of a class (Java, C++, C#, Ada95, Smalltalk, OCaml, etc.)
- in prototype-based OOLS, each object is a clone of another object, possibly with modifications and/or additions (Self, NewtonScript, Javascript)

- Clones (in this context) are **not** copies.
- Clones inherit fields from the prototype object.
- Changes to prototype object (e.g., assignments) propagate to the clone.
- Clones can modify, add, remove, or hide fields (language dependent).
- Usually only the *changes* are stored in the clone object.
- Changes to the clone do not propagate back to the prototype.
var original = { a:'A', b:'B' };
var clone = owl.util.clone(original);
// clone.a == 'A'
// clone.b == 'B'
clone.a = 'Apple';
// clone.a == 'Apple'
// original.a == 'A' // unchanged
original.b = 'Banana'
// clone.b == 'Banana' // change shows through
clone.c = 'Car'
// original.c is undefined
original.a = 'Blah'
// clone.a == 'Apple' // clone’s new val hides original
delete clone.a
// clone.a = 'Blah' // original value visible again
// repeating "delete clone.a" won’t delete orig. value

Courtesy: http://oranlooney.com/functional-javascript
Other common OOP features

- multiple inheritance (inheriting from more than one parent class/object)
  - C++
  - Java (of interfaces only)
  - problem: how to handle possible name mangling due to diamond shaped inheritance hierarchy

- classes often provide package-like capabilities:
  - visibility control
  - ability to define types and classes in addition to data fields and methods
Java Features

- an imperative language (like C++, Ada, C, Pascal)
- is interpreted (like Scheme, APL)
- portions can be compiled using Just-in-Time compilation.
- is garbage-collected (like Scheme, ML, Smalltalk, Eiffel, Modula-3)
- is object-oriented (like Eiffel, more so than C++, Ada)
- a successful hybrid for a specific-application domain
- a reasonable general-purpose language for non-real-time applications

---

- Work in progress: language continues to evolve
- C# is latest, incompatible variant
Original design goals

From a 1993 white paper:

- simple
- object-oriented (inheritance, polymorphism)
- distributed
- interpreted
- multi-threaded
- robust
- secure
- architecture-neutral

Obviously, “simple” was dropped.
Portability

Critical concern: write once – run everywhere

Consequences:

- portable interpreter
- definition through virtual machine: the JVM
- run-time representation has high-level semantics
- supports dynamic loading
- high-level representation can be queried at run-time to provide reflection
- dynamic features make it hard to fully compile, safety requires numerous run-time checks
Conventional imperative languages are fully compiled:

- run-time structure is machine language
- minimal run-time type information
- language provides low-level tools for accessing storage
- safety requires fewer run-time checks because compiler (least for Ada and somewhat for C++) can verify correctness statically
- languages require static binding, run-time image cannot be easily modified
- different compilers may create portability problems
Notable Java omissions

- no operator overloading (syntactic annoyance)
- no separation of specification and body
- no enumerations until version 5 (2004)
- no generic facilities until version 5 (2004)
- destructors: supported (finalize) but virtually never used
  - Never know when finalize will run
  - finalize may never run
  - Not needed for deallocating memory (due to garbage collection)
  - Convention is to define and manually invoke a method called close to clean up resources (sockets, file handles) since these are usually time sensitive.
  - Objects with finalizers much slower to garbage collect
Coming soon in Java 8

- Lambda expressions
- Closures (Project Lambda)

Yey!
Statements

Most statements are like their C counterparts:

- switch (including C’s falling through behavior)
  - Supports strings
- for
- if
- while
- do ... while
- break and continue
  - Java also has labeled versions of break and continue, like Ada.
- return

Java has no goto!
The simplest Java program

class HelloWorld {
    public static void main (String[] args) {
        System.out.println("Hello, \n world");
    }
}
Classes in Java

Encapsulation of type and related operations

class Point {
    private double x, y;  // private data members

    public Point (double x, double y) {  // constructor
        this.x = x;  this.y = y;
    }

    public void move (double dx, double dy) {
        x += dx;  y += dy;
    }

    public double distance (Point p) {
        double xdist = x - p.x, ydist = y - p.y;
        return Math.sqrt(xdist * xdist + ydist * ydist);
    }

    public void display () { ... }
}
class ColoredPoint extends Point {
    private Color color;

    public ColoredPoint (double x, double y, Color c) {
        super(x, y);
        color = c;
    }

    public ColoredPoint (Color c) {
        super(0.0, 0.0);
        color = c;
    }

    public Color getColor () { return color; }  

    public void display () { ... }  // now in color!
Dynamic dispatching

Point p1 = new Point(2.0, 3.0);
ColoredPoint cp1 = new ColoredPoint(2.0, 3.0, Blue);

Point p2 = p1;       // OK
Point p3 = cp1;      // OK

ColoredPoint cp2 = cp1;  // OK
ColoredPoint cp3 = p1;  // Error

cp1.move(1.0, 1.0);  // cp1 and p3 affected

p1.display();  // Point’s display
cp1.display();  // ColoredPoint’s display
p3.display();   // ColoredPoint’s display
The same classes, translated into C++:

class Point {
    double m_x, m_y;  // private data members

public:

    Point (double x, double y) // constructor
        : m_x(x), m_y(y) { }

    virtual ~Point () { }

    virtual void move (double dx, double dy) {
        m_x += dx;  m_y += dy;
    }

    virtual double distance (const Point& p) {
        double xdist = m_x - p.m_x, ydist = m_y - p.m_y;
        return sqrt(xdist * xdist + ydist * ydist);
    }

    virtual void display () { ... }
};
Extending a class

class ColoredPoint : public Point {
    Color color;

public:

    ColoredPoint (double x, double y,
                 Color c) : Point(x, y), color(c) {}

    ColoredPoint (Color c) : Point(0.0, 0.0), color(c) { }

    virtual Color getColor () { return color; }
    virtual void display () { ... } // now in color!
};
Dynamic dispatching

Point *p1 = new Point(2.0, 3.0);
ColoredPoint *cp1 = new ColoredPoint(2.0, 3.0, Blue);

Point *p2 = p1;   // OK
Point *p3 = cp1;  // OK

ColoredPoint *cp2 = cp1;  // OK
ColoredPoint *cp3 = p1;  // Error

cp1->move(1.0, 1.0);  // cp1 and p3 affected

p1->display();       // Point’s display
cp1->display();      // ColoredPoint’s display
p3->display();       // ColoredPoint’s display
Implementation: the vtable

- vtables: used to determine which class’ method to invoke
- virtual method means: “use the subclass version”
- virtual methods are placed in the vtable
- One vtable per class

A typical implementation of a class in C++; using `Point` as an example:

![Diagram of vtable and Point instance](image-url)
An extended vtable

For ColoredPoint, we have:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ColoredPoint instance</th>
<th>ColoredPoint vtable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>d’tor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>move</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>color</td>
<td>distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>getColor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ColoredPoint version

Point version

Point version

ColoredPoint version

ColoredPoint version

Non-virtual member functions are never put in the vtable
Method modifiers

- **access modifiers:**
  - **public** - method is visible to external classes and all packages
  - **protected** - method is visible to subclasses and containing package
  - **private** - method is only visible within the class, no package access
  - **package** - a namespace to which classes belong

- **abstract** - method must be implemented in a subclass
- **static** - method cannot rely on class data members
- **final** - method cannot be overridden
- **synchronized** - method’s scope is a critical section
- **native** - the method contains native (e.g., C) code
- **strictfp** - method must use strict IEEE floating point math.
A new construct: interfaces

A Java interface allows otherwise unrelated classes to satisfy a given requirement.

This is orthogonal to inheritance.

- **inheritance**: an A is-a B (has the attributes of a B, and possibly others)
- **interface**: an A can-do X (and possibly other unrelated actions)
- interfaces are a better model for multiple inheritance

See also, Scott, section 9.4.3.
public interface Comparable {
    public int compareTo (Object x) throws ClassCastException;
    // returns -1 if this < x,
    // 0 if this = x,
    // +1 if this > x

    // Implementation needs to cast x to the proper class.

    // Any class that may appear in a container should implement Comparable, so the container can support sorting.
Coercion

C++ coerces non-primitives using copy constructors.

```cpp
class Foo {
    int value;

    public:
        Foo (int i) : value(i) {}  
    };

    void bar (Foo f) {}  

    int main()
    {
        bar(42);  // Equivalent to bar(Foo(42));  
    }
```

If coercion is not intended, use keyword `explicit`:

```cpp
explicit Foo(int i) : value(i) {}  
```

Now this is illegal:

```
bar(42);  // compiler error, but explicit cast OK: bar(Foo(42))  
```
## Comparison with C++

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Java</th>
<th>C++</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>methods</td>
<td>virtual member functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>public/protected/private</td>
<td>similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>static members</td>
<td>same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abstract methods</td>
<td>pure virtual member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>final</strong> methods</td>
<td>same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>interface</strong></td>
<td>pure virtual class with no data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implementation of an</td>
<td>virtual inheritance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interface</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auto default constructors</td>
<td>same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not used</td>
<td>copy constructors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not supported</td>
<td>method deletion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Simulating first-class functions

(Oh no, not more ML!! What is Plock doing to us?)

A simple first-class function:

```ml
fun mkAdder nonlocal = (fn arg => arg + nonlocal)
```

The corresponding C++ class:

```cpp
class Adder {
    int nonlocal;
public:
    Adder (int i) : nonlocal(i) { }
    int operator() (int arg) { return arg + nonlocal; }
};
```

`mkAdder 10` is roughly equivalent to `Adder(10)`
First-class functions strike back

A simple unsuspecting object (in Java, for variety):

class Account {
    private float theBalance;
    private float theRate;

    Account (float b, float r) {
        theBalance = b;
        theRate = r;
    }

    public void deposit (float x) {
        theBalance = theBalance + x;
    }

    public void compound () {
        theBalance = theBalance * (1.0 + theRate);
    }

    public float balance () {
        return theBalance;
    }
}
First-class functions strike back

The corresponding first-class function:

```
(define (Account b r)
  (let ((theBalance b) (theRate r))
    (lambda (method)
      (case method
        ((deposit)
         ((lambda (x) (set! theBalance (+ theBalance x))))
        ((compound)
         (set! theBalance (* theBalance (+ 1.0 theRate))))
        ((balance) theBalance)))))
```

new Account(100.0, 0.05) is roughly equivalent to (Account 100.0 0.05).
ML datatypes vs. inheritance

ML datatypes and OO inheritance organize data and routines in orthogonal ways:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>data variants</th>
<th>data operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>datatypes</td>
<td>all together/closed</td>
<td>scattered/open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>classes</td>
<td>scattered/open</td>
<td>all together/closed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- datatypes: easy to add new operations
  harder to add new variants

- classes: easy to add new variants
  harder to add new operations
OOP Pitfalls: circle & ellipse

A couple of facts:

- In mathematics, an ellipse (from the Greek for absence) is a curve where the sum of the distances from any point on the curve to two fixed points is constant. The two fixed points are called foci (plural of focus).
- A circle is a special kind of ellipse, where the two foci are the same point.

If we need to model circles and ellipses using OOP, what happens if we have class `Circle` inherit from class `Ellipse`?
Circles and ellipses

class Ellipse {
    ...

    public move (double dx, double dy) { ... }
    public resize (double x, double y) { ... }
}

class Circle extends Ellipse {
    ...

    public resize (double x, double y) { ??? }
}

We can’t implement a resize for Circle. That lets us make it asymmetric!

C++: Circle::resize (double x, double y) = delete;
Pitfalls: Array subclassing

In Java, if class B is a subclass of class A, then Java considers “array of B” to be a subclass of “array of A”:

```java
class A { ... }
class B extends A { ... }

B[] b = new B[5];
A[] a = b;  // allowed (a and b are now aliases)

a[1] = new A();  // Bzzzt! (Type error)
```

The problem is that arrays are *mutable*; they allow us to replace an element with a different element.
public void DoSomething (Object thing) {
    // what can be do with a generic object?
    if (thing instanceof Gizmo) {
        // we know the methods in class Gizmo
        ....
    }
}

instanceof requires an accessible run-time descriptor in the object.

Reflection is a general programming model that relies on run-time representations of aspects of the computation that are usually not available to the programmer.

More common in dynamically typed languages, e.g., Smalltalk and Common LISP.
Reflection and metaprogramming

Given an object at run-time, it is possible to obtain:

- its class
- its fields (data members) as strings
- the classes of its fields
- the methods of its class, as strings
- the types of the methods

It is then possible to construct calls to these methods.

- This is possible because the JVM provides a high-level representation of a class, with embedded strings that allow almost complete disassembly.

It is also possible to change the program by modifying the above.

- We’ve seen this before in the ML homework: continuations
- Can be abused. Other uses: malware
Reflection classes

- java.lang.Class
  
  ```java
  Class.getMethods () // returns array
                  // of method objects
  Class.getConstructor (Class[] parameterTypes)
                  // returns the constructor with those parameters
  ```

- java.lang.reflect.Array
  
  ```java
  Array.newInstance (Class componentType, int length)
  ```

- java.lang.reflect.Field
- java.lang.reflect.Method

Example: look for and invoke method doSomething in some instance foo:

```java
Method m = foo.getClass().getMethod("doSomething", null);
m.invoke(foo, null);
```
Beans are Java classes conforming to a certain structure. The beans technology requires run-time examination of foreign objects, in order to build dynamically a usable interface for them.

Class **Introspector** builds a method dictionary based on simple naming conventions:

```java
public boolean isCoffeeBean ( );       // is... predicate
public int getRoast ( );              // get... retrieval
public void setRoast (int darkness);  // set... assignment
```