Recognizing Specific Objects & Faces

Lecture 8

Many slides from: S. Savarese, S. Lazebnik, D. Lowe, P. Viola

Overview

- Specific Object Recognition
 - Lowe '04
 - Rothganger et al. '03
- Face Dectection
 - Sub-space methods
 - Neural Network-based approaches
 - Viola & Jones
- Face Recognition

Specific Object Recognition

Slides from S. Savarese, D. Lowe and F. Rothganger





Where is the crunchy nut?





Challenges:

• Variability due to:

- View point
- Illumination
- Occlusions
- But not intra-class variation

Recognition of single 3D objects

-Representation

-Features

-2D/3D Geometrical constraints

-Model learning

-Recognition -Hypothesis generation

-Validation

- Rothganger et al. '04, '06
- Brown et al, '05
- Lowe '99, '04
- Ferrari et al. '04, '06
- Lazebnick et al '04

Representation

Interest points -- or Regions (group of interest points)

Detection

•Difference of Gaussian (DOG) [Lowe '99]

•Harris-Laplacian [Mikolajczyk & Schmid '01]

•Kadir-Brady [Kadir et al. '01]

•Laplacian [Gårding & Lindeberg, '96]

Adaptation [invariants]

Scale, rotation
Affine

Description

•SIFT

Color histograms

Geometrical constraints

- •2D spatial layout of keypoints
- Tracks of keypoints (regions) across views
- •3D locations and/or surface normals

Difference of Gaussian (DOG): used in Lowe 99, Brown et al '05



Harris-Laplace: used in Rothganger et al. '06

Laplacian: used in Lazebnik et al. '04





Representation

Interest points -- or Regions (group of interest points)

Detection

•Difference of Gaussian (DOG) [Lowe '99]

•Harris-Laplacian [Mikolajczyk & Schmid '01]

- •Kadir-Brady [Kadir et al. '01]
- •Laplacian [Gårding & Lindeberg, '96]

Adaptation [invariants]

•Scale, rotation

- Affine
- Description
 - SIFT
 - Color histograms

Geometrical constraints

- •2D spatial layout of keypoints
- Tracks of keypoints (regions) across views
- 3D locations and/or surface normals



Adaptation

 keypoints are transformed in order to be invariant to translation, rotation, scale, and other geometrical parameters



Change of scale, pose, illumination...

Scale & orientation adaptation





- SIFT: Create histogram of local gradient directions computed at selected scale
- Assign canonical orientation at peak of smoothed histogram



Affine adaptation

[used in Rothganger et al. '03, '06] [Lazebnick et al '04]

- 1. Define elliptical region using second moment matrix
- 2. Use main canonical orientation to remove orientation ambiguity
- 3. Map ellipsis onto unit square



Representation

Interest points -- or Regions (group of interest points)

Detection

Difference of Gaussian (DOG) [Lowe '99]

Harris-Laplacian [Mikolajczyk & Schmid '01]

Kadir-Brady [Kadir et al. '01]

Laplacian [Gårding & Lindeberg, '96]

- Adaptation [invariants] Scale, rotation Affine
- Description SIFT
 - Color histograms

Geometrical constraints

- 2D spatial layout of keypoints
- Tracks of keypoints (regions) across views
- 3D locations and/or surface normals



Keypoint description

[Lowe '99]

- Thresholded image gradients are sampled over 16x16 array of locations in scale space
- Create array of orientation histograms
- 8 orientations x 4x4 histogram array = 128 dimensions



Representation

Interest points -- or Regions (group of interest points)

- Detection
 - •Difference of Gaussian (DOG) [Lowe '99]
 - •Harris-Laplacian [Mikolajczyk & Schmid '01]
 - •Kadir-Brady [Kadir et al. '01]
 - •Laplacian [Gårding & Lindeberg, '96]
- Adaptation [invariants]
 - Scale, rotation
 - Affine
- Description
 - SIFT
 - Color histograms

Geometrical constraints

- •3D locations and/or surface normals
- •2D spatial layout of keypoints [collections of views]
- Tracks of keypoints (regions) across views

Object representation: 2D or 3D location of key points



Basic scheme

-Representation

- -Features
- -2D/3D Geometrical constraints

-Model learning

-Recognition -hypothesis generation

-validation

Model learning

Rothganger et al. '03 '06



Model learning

Rothganger et al. '03 '06

Build a 3D model:

- N images of object from N different view points
- Match key points between consecutive views
 [create sample set]



- Use affine structure from motion to compute 3D location and orientation + camera locations
- Affine factorization Tomasi & Kanade '92
- RANSAC
- 2 matches are needed rather than 4 thanks to affine invariant patches

Model learning

Rothganger et al. '03 '06

Build a 3D model:

- N images of object from N different view points
- Match key points between consecutive views
 [create sample set]

Use affine structure from motion to compute 3D location and orientation + camera locations

- Find connected components
- Use bundle adjustment to refine model
- Upgrade model to Euclidean assuming zero skew and square pixels



 2 matches are needed rather than 4 thanks to affine invariant patches

$$E = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i \in I_j} |\mathcal{S}_{ij} - \mathcal{M}_i \mathcal{N}_j|^2,$$







Learnt models

[Lowe '99]



Basic scheme

-Representation

- -Features -2D/3D Geometrical constraints
- -Model learning
- -Recognition [object instance from object model] -hypothesis generation
 - -Model verification

- Generate hypothesis
- Verify hypothesis
- Select hypothesis with lowest fitting error
- Generate recognition results

[Rothganger et al. '03 '06]



1. Find matches between model and test image features

[Rothganger et al. '03 '06]



- 1. Find matches between model and test image features
- 2. Generate hypothesis:

•Compute transformation M from N matches (N=2; affine camera; affine key points)

- 3. Model verification
 - Use M to project other matched 3D model features into test image
 - Compute residual = D(projections, measurements)

[Rothganger et al. '03 '06]



Goal: Estimate (fit) the best M in presence of outliers







Object to recognize



Matches verified with geometrical constraints



Initial matches based on appearance



Recovered pose



Basic scheme

-Representation

- -Features
- -2D/3D Geometrical constraints

-Model learning

Let's see some

results!

-Recognition [object instance from a single image] -hypothesis generation

-Model verification

3D Object Recognition results

Rothganger et al. '03 '06



Handle severe clutter

3D Object Recognition results Lowe. '99, '04













•Handle severe occlusions •Fast!
3D Object Recognition results

[Ferrari et al '04]



model view 1



test image

model view 8

Figure 17: Two compatible (and correct) GAMs. The nose GAM (black) is initially matched from model view 8, and is transferred to model view 1. Note how the other GAM (white) is very large and covers the head, arms and chest. A GAM can extend over multiple facets when the combination of viewpoints and surface orientations make the affine transformations of the region matches vary smoothly even across facet edges. In these cases, the resulting GAMs are larger and therefore more reliable and relevant.







3D Object Recognition results

Edward Hsiao, Alvaro Collet and Martial Hebert. Making specific features less discriminative to improve pointbased 3D object recognition. *IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (*CVPR*), June, 2010.



Google Goggles

http://www.google.com/support/mobile/bin/answer.py?hl=en&answer=166331



Overview

- Specific Object Recognition
 - Lowe '04
 - Rothganger et al. '03
- Face Dectection
 - Sub-space methods
 - Neural Network-based approaches
 - Viola & Jones
- Face Recognition

Face Detection & Recognition

Slides from P. Viola, S. Lazebnik, A. Torralba

Face detection







Face detection and recognition



(Oncumer annlication · iPhoto 2009



http://www.apple.com/ilife/iphoto/

Consumer application: iPhoto 2009

Can be trained to recognize notel



http://www.maclife.com/article/news/iphotos_faces_recognizes_cats

Challenges:

• Variability due to:

- Intra-class variation (but fairly small)
- Illumination
- Occlusions (eyeglasses, facial hair, hair)
- Fairly limited viewpoint (frontal, profile)

Practical Challenges of face detection

- Sliding window detector must evaluate tens of thousands of location/scale combinations
- Faces are rare: 0–10 per image
 - For computational efficiency, we should try to spend as little time as possible on the non-face windows
 - A megapixel image has ~10⁶ pixels and a comparable number of candidate face locations
 - To avoid having a false positive in every image image, our false positive rate has to be less than 10⁻⁶

Overview

- Specific Object Recognition
 - Lowe '04
 - Rothganger et al. '03
- Face Dectection
 - Sub-space methods
 - Neural Network-based approaches
 - Viola & Jones
- Face Recognition

Subspace Methods

- PCA ("Eigenfaces", Turk and Pentland)
- PCA (Bayesian, Moghaddam and Pentland)
- LDA/FLD ("Fisherfaces", Belhumeur & Kreigman)
- ICA

Principal Component Analysis

- Given: N data points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ in \mathbb{R}^d
- We want to find a new set of features that are linear combinations of original ones:

 $u(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{u}^T(\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})$

(**µ**: mean of data points)

• What unit vector **u** in R^d captures the most variance of the data?

Principal Component Analysis

• Direction that maximizes the variance of the projected data:

$$var(u) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mu) (\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mu))^{\mathrm{T}}$$
Projection of data point
$$= \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mu) (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mu)^{\mathrm{T}} \right] \mathbf{u}$$
Covariance matrix of data
$$= \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \Sigma \mathbf{u}$$

The direction that maximizes the variance is the eigenvector associated with the largest eigenvalue of Σ

Eigenfaces: Key idea

- Assume that most face images lie on a low-dimensional subspace determined by the first k (k<d) directions of maximum variance
- Use PCA to determine the vectors u₁,...u_k that span that subspace:

 $\mathbf{x} \approx \mathbf{\mu} + \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{w}_k \mathbf{u}_k$

- Represent each face using its "face space" coordinates (w₁,...w_k)
- Perform nearest-neighbor recognition in "face space"

M. Turk and A. Pentland, Face Recognition using Eigenfaces, CVPR 1991

Training images **x**₁,...,**x**_N



Top eigenvectors: $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots \mathbf{u}_k$

Mean: µ





• Face **x** in "face space" coordinates:



$$\mathbf{x} \to [\mathbf{u}_1^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu), \dots, \mathbf{u}_k^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)]$$
$$= w_1, \dots, w_k$$

• Face **x** in "face space" coordinates:



$$\mathbf{x} \to [\mathbf{u}_1^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu), \dots, \mathbf{u}_k^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)]$$
$$= w_1, \dots, w_k$$

• Reconstruction:



 $\mathbf{\hat{x}} = \mathbf{\mu} + \mathbf{w}_1\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2\mathbf{u}_2 + \mathbf{w}_3\mathbf{u}_3 + \mathbf{w}_4\mathbf{u}_4 + \dots$

Summary: Recognition with eigenfaces

Process labeled training images:

- Find mean μ and covariance matrix Σ
- Find k principal components (eigenvectors of Σ) u₁,...u_k
- Project each training image x_i onto subspace spanned by principal components:

 $(\mathbf{w}_{i1},\ldots,\mathbf{w}_{ik}) = (\mathbf{u}_1^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}), \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}))$

Given novel image **x**:

- Project onto subspace: $(w_1,...,w_k) = (\mathbf{u}_1^T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{\mu}), ..., \mathbf{u}_k^T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{\mu}))$
- Optional: check reconstruction error $\mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ to determine whether image is really a face
- Classify as closest training face in k-dimensional subspace

Limitations

Global appearance method: not robust to misalignment, background variation







Limitations

PCA assumes that the data has a Gaussian distribution (mean μ, covariance matrix Σ)



The shape of this dataset is not well described by its principal components

Limitations

• The direction of maximum variance is not always good for classification



Distribution-Based Face Detector

- Learn face and nonface models from examples [Sung and Poggio 95]
- Cluster and project the examples to a lower dimensional space using Gaussian distributions and PCA
- Detect faces using distance metric to face and nonface clusters



Distribution-Based Face Detector

• Learn face and nonface models from examples [Sung and Poggio 95]





Training Database 1000+ Real, 3000+ *VIRTUAL* 50,0000+ Non-Face Pattern



Overview

- Specific Object Recognition
 - Lowe '04
 - Rothganger et al. '03
- Face Dectection
 - Sub-space methods
 - Neural Network-based approaches
 - Viola & Jones
- Face Recognition

Neural Network-Based Face Detector

• Train a set of multilayer perceptrons and arbitrate a decision among all outputs [Rowley et al. 98]



Oval mask for ignoring background pixels: **Original window:** Best fit linear function: Lighting corrected window: (linear function subtracted) Histogram equalized window:

The steps in preprocessing a window. First, a linear function is fit to the intensity values in the window, and then subtracted out, correcting for some extreme lighting conditions. Then, histogram equalization is applied, to correct for different camera gains and to improve contrast. For each of these steps, the mapping is computed based on pixels inside the oval mask, while the mapping is applied to the entire window.

Example CMU face detector results

input



All images from: http://www.ius.cs.cmu.edu/demos/facedemo.html











The nevelipation of the uteiners deep Space Mass adventure?

STAR TREK



Example face images, randomly mirrored, rotated, translated, and scaled by small amounts (photos are of the three authors).



During training, the partially-trained system is applied to images of scenery which do not contain faces (like the one on the left). Any regions in the image detected as faces (which are expanded and shown on the right) are errors, which can be added into the set of negative training examples.



Images with all the above threshold detections indicated by boxes.





The framework used for merging multiple detections from a single network: A) The detections are recorded in an image pyramid. B) The detections are ``spread out'' and a threshold is applied. C) The centroids in scale and position are computed, and the regions contributing to each centroid are collapsed to single points. In the example shown, this leaves only two detections in the output pyramid. D) The final step is to check the proposed face locations for overlaps, and E) to remove overlapping detections if they exist. In this example, removing the overlapping detection eliminates what would otherwise be a false positive.






Osadchy, Miller, LeCun. Face Detection and Pose Estimation, 2004

Application of Convolutional Neural Networks



Osadchy, Miller, LeCun. Face Detection and Pose Estimation, 2004

• Non-linear dimensionality reduction



Osadchy, Miller, LeCun. Face Detection and Pose Estimation, 2004



Overview

- Specific Object Recognition
 - Lowe '04
 - Rothganger et al. '03
- Face Dectection
 - Sub-space methods
 - Neural Network-based approaches
 - Viola & Jones
- Face Recognition

Rapid Object Detection Using a Boosted Cascade of Simple Features

Paul Viola Michael J. Jones Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories (MERL) Cambridge, MA

Most of this work was done at Compaq CRL before the authors moved to MERL

Manuscript available on web:

http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/cache/papers/cs/23183/http:zSzzSzwww.ai.mit.eduzSzpeoplezSzviolazSzresearchzSzpublicationszSzICCV01-Viola-Jones.pdf/viola01robust.pdf

The Viola/Jones Face Detector

- A seminal approach to real-time object detection
- Training is slow, but detection is very fast
- Key ideas
 - Integral images for fast feature evaluation
 - *Boosting* for feature selection
 - Attentional cascade for fast rejection of non-face windows

P. Viola and M. Jones. <u>Rapid object detection using a boosted cascade of</u> <u>simple features.</u> CVPR 2001.

P. Viola and M. Jones. *Robust real-time face detection.* IJCV 57(2), 2004.

Image Features

"Rectangle filters"



Value =

 \sum (pixels in white area) – \sum (pixels in black area)

Example



Slide: S. Lazebnik

Fast computation with integral images

- The integral image computes a value at each pixel (x,y) that is the sum of the pixel values above and to the left of (x,y), inclusive
- This can quickly be computed in one pass through the image



Computing the integral image



Slide: S. Lazebnik

Computing the integral image



Cumulative row sum: s(x, y) = s(x-1, y) + i(x, y)Integral image: ii(x, y) = ii(x, y-1) + s(x, y)

MATLAB: ii = cumsum(cumsum(double(i)), 2); Slide: S. Lazebnik

Computing sum within a rectangle

- Let A,B,C,D be the values of the integral image at the corners of a rectangle
- Then the sum of original image values within the rectangle can be computed as:

sum = A - B - C + D

 Only 3 additions are required for any size of rectangle!



Example



Slide: S. Lazebnik

Feature selection

• For a 24x24 detection region, the number of possible rectangle features is ~160,000!



Feature selection

- For a 24x24 detection region, the number of possible rectangle features is ~160,000!
- At test time, it is impractical to evaluate the entire feature set
- Can we create a good classifier using just a small subset of all possible features?
- How to select such a subset?

- Boosting is a classification scheme that works by combining *weak learners* into a more accurate ensemble classifier
- Training consists of multiple *boosting rounds*
 - During each boosting round, we select a weak learner that does well on examples that were hard for the previous weak learners
 - "Hardness" is captured by weights attached to training examples

Y. Freund and R. Schapire, <u>A short introduction to boosting</u>, *Journal of Japanese Society for Artificial Intelligence*, 14(5):771-780, September, 1999.

Training procedure

- Initially, weight each training example equally
- In each boosting round:
 - Find the weak learner that achieves the lowest *weighted* training error
 - Raise the weights of training examples misclassified by current weak learner
- Compute final classifier as linear combination of all weak learners (weight of each learner is directly proportional to its accuracy)
- Exact formulas for re-weighting and combining weak learners depend on the particular boosting scheme (e.g., AdaBoost)

Y. Freund and R. Schapire, <u>A short introduction to boosting</u>, *Journal of Japanese Society for Artificial Intelligence*, 14(5):771-780, September, 1999.











Final classifier is linear combination of weak classifiers



Boosting vs. SVM

- Advantages of boosting
 - Integrates classifier training with feature selection
 - Complexity of training is linear instead of quadratic in the number of training examples
 - Flexibility in the choice of weak learners, boosting scheme
 - Testing is fast
 - Easy to implement
- Disadvantages
 - Needs many training examples
 - Training is slow
 - Often doesn't work as well as SVM (especially for manyclass problems)

 Define weak learners based on rectangle features



- Define weak learners based on rectangle features
- For each round of boosting:
 - Evaluate each rectangle filter on each example
 - Select best filter/threshold combination based on weighted training error
 - Reweight examples

• First two features selected by boosting:



This feature combination can yield 100% detection rate and 50% false positive rate

• A 200-feature classifier can yield 95% detection rate and a false positive rate of 1 in 14084



Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve

Attentional cascade

- We start with simple classifiers which reject many of the negative sub-windows while detecting almost all positive sub-windows
- Positive response from the first classifier triggers the evaluation of a second (more complex) classifier, and so on
- A negative outcome at any point leads to the immediate rejection of the sub-window



Attentional cascade

 Chain classifiers that are progressively more complex and have lower false positive rates:

Receiver operating characteristic





Attentional cascade

- The detection rate and the false positive rate of the cascade are found by multiplying the respective rates of the individual stages
- A detection rate of 0.9 and a false positive rate on the order of 10⁻⁶ can be achieved by a 10-stage cascade if each stage has a detection rate of 0.99 (0.99¹⁰ ≈ 0.9) and a false positive rate of about 0.30 (0.3¹⁰ ≈ 6×10⁻⁶)



Training the cascade

- Set target detection and false positive rates for each stage
- Keep adding features to the current stage until its target rates have been met
 - Need to lower AdaBoost threshold to maximize detection (as opposed to minimizing total classification error)
 - Test on a *validation set*
- If the overall false positive rate is not low enough, then add another stage
- Use false positives from current stage as the negative training examples for the next stage

The implemented system

Training Data

- 5000 faces
 - All frontal, rescaled to 24x24 pixels
- 300 million non-faces
 9500 non-face images
- Faces are normalized
 Scale, translation
- Many variations
 - Across individuals
 - Illumination
 - Pose



System performance

- Training time: "weeks" on 466 MHz Sun workstation
- 38 layers, total of 6061 features
- Average of 10 features evaluated per window on test set
- "On a 700 Mhz Pentium III processor, the face detector can process a 384 by 288 pixel image in about .067 seconds"
 - 15 Hz
 - 15 times faster than previous detector of comparable accuracy (Rowley et al., 1998)
Output of Face Detector on Test Images



Funny Nikon ads

"The Nikon S60 detects up to 12 faces."



Slide: S. Lazebnik

Other detection tasks



Facial Feature Localization



Profile Detection



Male vs. female

Profile Detection







Profile Features



Summary: Viola/Jones detector

- Rectangle features
- Integral images for fast computation
- Boosting for feature selection
- Attentional cascade for fast rejection of negative windows

Face Recognition



N. Kumar, A. C. Berg, P. N. Belhumeur, and S. K. Nayar, <u>"Attribute and Simile Classifiers for Face</u> <u>Verification,"</u> ICCV 2009.

Face Recognition

Attributes for training



Similes for training

N. Kumar, A. C. Berg, P. N. Belhumeur, and S. K. Nayar, <u>"Attribute and Simile Classifiers for Face</u> <u>Verification,"</u> ICCV 2009.

Face Recognition

Results on Labeled Faces in the Wild Dataset



N. Kumar, A. C. Berg, P. N. Belhumeur, and S. K. Nayar, <u>"Attribute and Simile Classifiers for Face</u> <u>Verification,"</u> ICCV 2009.